

No:

---

*In the Supreme Court of the United States*

---

JODY D KIMBRELL Petitioner

v.

POIPU HOLDINGS, LLC

Carlos L. Javelera, Joan Javelera, Respondents

**APPENDIX**

Court of Ninth Circuit Appeals 25-2483 (App. Pg 1)

Orders of Central District of California Court

24-00314 (App. Pgs. 2-7)

25-08590 (App. Pgs. 8-9)

Central District of Illinois

25-01147 (App Pgs. 10-14)

25-1147 Central Dist. Of Illinois order denying  
lifting prohibition App Pgs. 15-21

Case 22-1401 Kimbrell vs Housing and Urban Dev.  
Naming each cooperating RICO member stealing  
from FHA mortgage program. Central Dist. of  
Illinois dismissed case filed sanctions and barred  
Kimbrell from filing in Court venue. App. Pgs. 22- 28

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

MAY 20 2025

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: JODY D. KIMBRELL.

JODY D. KIMBRELL,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF  
CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES,

Respondent,

POIPU HOLDINGS, LLC; et al.,

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 25-2483

D.C. No.

2:25-cv-00314-MWC-JPR  
Central District of California,  
Los Angeles

ORDER

Before: W. FLETCHER, CALLAHAN, and KOH, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner has not demonstrated a clear and indisputable right to the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See In re Mersho*, 6 F.4th 891, 897 (9th Cir. 2021) (“To determine whether a writ of mandamus should be granted, we weigh the five factors outlined in *Bauman v. United States District Court.*”); *Bauman v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). The petition, as supplemented, is denied.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

**DENIED.**

**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**