

25-5870

No. _____

25-5870

ORIGINAL

FILED

MAR 26 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

In Re JOSHUA MEADORS — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

JOSHUA MEADORS

(Your Name)

450 BAUGHET STREET

(Address)

LOS ANGELES CA, 90012

(City, State, Zip Code)

(210) 282-2942

(Phone Number)

Note C.A. Penal Code
Sections. 825, 859(b),
739, 976, 1382, 821,
801

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Comes Now that I petitioner and Claimant Joshua Meadors, moves this honorable court in inquiring why my Constitutional Rights has been deprived of, on criminal Court case TA14809901 and 24CMCF0179301.

Why on case TA14809901 was I not prosecuted within 3 years of commitment date of January 30, 2019 and was sentenced on 4/1/22? Why was I not discharged From my 4 year Formal probation after maximum custody credits had exceeded? Why was I in court on 9/11/24 when there was no probable or reasonable cause?

On case 24CMCF0179301 why was I not in court within 48 hours of arrest? pursuant to penal code 825.

Why did I sit in custody For 28 actual Days after being booked and arrested on 9/11/24 - why was I Illegally arraigned on October 9, 2024.

Why did the District Attorney File the case on 10/9/24 after penal code 739. statutory time limits exceeded?

Why was I Not in preliminary hearing within 10 days pursuant to 859 b of the California penal Code?

Why was my Due process Rights Deprived and Violated by Statutory errors and over looked?

Why did I get forced to trial, Found guilty and No Judge granted any of my motions or petitions?

LIST OF PARTIES

- [] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- [] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

petition is as follows: *Alfred, son of John, of the County of York, in the State of New York, do hereby certify that the within and foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original of the same, as the same appears from the records of the County of York, in the State of New York, and that the same is a true and correct copy of the original of the same, as the same appears from the records of the County of York, in the State of New York.*

RELATED CASES

2nd TB of O'Brien. At present
4th TB of O'Brien. At present

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A *united states Court of Appeals*

APPENDIX B *united states District Court*

APPENDIX C *Highest state Court*

APPENDIX D *state Bar administrative court of California*

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES THOWBRIDGE v. OEHINSEN, 207 app DIV. 740
202. N.Y.S. 833; 150 N.E. 556

- U.S. v. Tod 263 U.S. 149 44 S. CT 54, 57, 68 L. Ed. 221.
- Briehl v. Dulles, 248 F.2d. 561, 583
- Lansing v. Smith 21 D. 89 (NEW YORK S.C. 1829)
- United States v. Lee, 106 U.S. 204 (March 3 1989)
meaning American citizen upon the soil
- Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356, at 370 (1886)
- Hagen v. Lavine, 415 U.S. 528, 39 L. Ed. 2d 577, 94 S. Ct. 1327 (1974)

STATUTES AND RULES - California Penal Code(s)

801 pc. 3 years For a crime/offense punishable by prison. to be commenced/prosecuted

825 pc. Defendant in All cases must appear in front of a magistry within 48 hours of Arrest.

859(b) must be in preliminary hearing within (10) days if not case is to be dismissed.

739. pc. it is the duty of the prosecutor when a defendant has been arrested and committed to file a information within 15 days after commitment... U.C.C. 1-207, U.C.C. 8-105.

House Joint Resolution of 192 of June 5th, 1933 - U.C.C. 3-415

OTHER 4th Amendment of the United States of America.

Constitution, 5th Amendment of the Constitution of U.S.A.

6th Amendment of the Constitution of America..

Uniform Commercial Code, uniform Trust Codes, Title 18

U.S.C. (18 USC 241) (18 USC ch 13 242), title 18 part 1

ch. 47 sec. 1001, Title 18 part ch. 79 sec. 1621

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- 5th Amendment Due process.
- 4th Amendment probable or reasonable cause to warrant
- 6th Amendment Right to Enjoy Speedy Trial.
- 1st Amendment of Freedom of Speech pro per status
TAKEN.

California Penal Codes

- 2900.5(d) Duty of Courts to Do a credit calculation
- 801 pc - 3 years to commence and prosecute case
- 825 pc - 48 hours to be in court from arrest without
un-necessary Delay.
- 859(b) pc - 10 days to be in preliminary hearing. preliminary was set beyond 10 court days.
- 739 pc - 15 days for prosecutor to file case from arrest
and commitment - note case was not filed until 28 actual days
after 9/11/24 arrest on 10/9/24.
- 821, custody must bring defendant in court within 48
hours of arrest for arraignment, plea, and bail.

Due process requires, prosecution to prosecute case.
within 3 years on case # TA14809901

Due process requires defendant being brought into court
for due process of law in a statutory time manner.

Due process requires the release on Formal probation
once maximum custody credits has exceeded, of defendant.

See. Jose NUNEZ - Vasangos v. Superior Court (2024) 828
Cal. Rptr. 3d. 39 - Court did not have Authority to detain a person.

SUPREME COURT OF the United STATES.

JOSHUA MEADORS # 6882080
50 BAUCHET Street

3 LOS ANGELES CA 90012

4 PRO SE - SUI JURIS

5 JOSHUA MEADORS

6 petitioner

7 v.

8 PAM BONDI - Attorney General

9

10 Respondent

11

12 Comes NOW that I petitioner and Demurrer,

13 JOSHUA R. MEADORS, moves the honorable Court in motion

14 upon how the writ will be in Aid of the Courts

15 Appellate jurisdiction on the grounds that the

16 Supreme Court of the District of Columbia

17 holds the original Jurisdiction and all other

18 courts are Legislative and not constitutional

19 Courts of Article 3 section 1:2 of the United States

20 Constitution. All states are Foreign States to

21 the American Republic. Relief can be obtained

22 in other Forms or Court, however jurisdiction.

23 is original to the original Supreme Sovereign

24 Court. IF the state of California can not see

25 such errors and constitutional violations I


26 rather be in proceedings with a competent

27 Court who upholds the Constitution and

28 Justice. and because I'm still in jail

no one has done anything I want

• Motion of Jurisdiction
original Admiralty
Maritime Jurisdiction.



OFFICE OF THE CLERK
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
269-8-5052

STATEMENT OF THE CASE
& RULE 20.4(A) STATEMENT

On or About September 11, 2024 I was summons to court for a probation that had exceeded its maximum custody credits on case TAMH004901 of the lower courts. I was illegally removed from the custody, being removed as a matter of course as a matter of fact. I was held in custody it was believed to be a breach of a peace violation and for resisting arrest. I was then held in LA county Jail by Sheriff Deputies for 28 actual days until illegally being released on October 9, 2024. My Due process rights were Severally Deprived of.

The original case TAMH004901 was prosecuted originating at the LA County Jail when I was arrested on January 30, 2019, pursuant to California Penal Code 801.

On case 24CMCF0179301 that occurred during above case. I received order. I was not in court within 48 hours of my September 11, 2024 removal arrest on case TAMH004901, or the 28 days on case no 24CMCF0179301, pursuant to California Penal Code 801. I was being illegally detained, and Falsely imprisoned. Being held in custody From September 11, 2024 until, October 9th, 2024. For 28 actual days is violation of Constitutional laws of the United States of America both having no probable cause to arrest me once statutory time exceeded upon several situations and a court date of 28 days in between holding me in custody for 28 actual days. I was not arraigned in a timely manner, it was not a preliminary hearing in a timely manner. I did not waive time on October 9, 2024. My 14th Amendment Right was taken to by my own Agency. I am forced to have attorney and

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I made several request and actions For court to do an. Accurate credit calculation of IN and out of custody credits on Formal Probation, however this 1st Amendment petition should be granted on the grounds that it is unlawful to detain a person once custody Maximum credits has been. Exceeded. This is a claim of miscalculation of credits on A case TA14809901 that was not even to be prosecuted on the grounds it was not commenced within 3 years of January 30, 2019. Note I was sentenced on 4/1/12.


Reasons For granting this petition is on the grounds of multiple errors / *coram nobis* a writ of Error and on the grounds that I have been deprived of LFC, Liberty and enjoyment of property by my 5th and 14th amendment due process rights being ultimately violated. Also my 1st constitutional rights being TAKEN, my 4th, 5th, 14th. All my motions, petitions, declarations including challenge of the Superior Courts illegal LACK of jurisdiction. The law provides that jurisdiction must be proven NEVER ASSUMED, and All laws repugnant to the United States Constitution are Null and Void. I Demurrer JOSHUA meadors have been a victim prior to September 11 2024 arrest on both cases. Why the case was not prosecuted in Time, why was I not in court within 48 hours, why was I not in arraignment or preliminary hearing pursuant to statutory time limits, why is my due process being deprived. why was A illegal warrant accepted why superior courts does not have original Jurisdiction. These are my reasons why petition should be granted

I have been forced into trial with an Attorney who refused to
allow me a recess or defense on my behalf. I wanted to be
my own Attorney. I have been found guilty on a six count
indictment, where all elements of the crime must be proven
without reasonable doubt. Pursuant to (CALCRIM) 820 in
particular element (3) of pc 243(b), (CALCRIM) 945 particularly
elements 1 and 3 of pc 243(b)(1), (CALCRIM) 2652 element
1, for pc 261. Whereas All jurisdictions requires the state
to produce a prima facie case during the trial of 261. This
indictment has been found to be not "preliminary" under the
law. The indictment is a permanent indictment. The state
has exceeded their maximum sentence, also it is illegal to hold a
person in pre-trial custody without the process of law.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of habeas corpus should be granted.

Respectfully submitted, JOSHUA MEADORS



Date: 10-2-15

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JOSHUA MEADORS — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

PAMI BONDI - A.G. — RESPONDENT(S)

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, JOSHUA MEADORS, do swear or declare that on this date, August 2, 2025, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* and PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

Attorney General - Rob Bonta, THE people of the State
of California, Robert Luna HEAD SHERIFF
California State BAR, Superior Courts of California

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 2, 2025

Joshua Meadors
(Signature)

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**