

## Appendix A

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA  
MIDDLE DISTRICT

TENISHA ROONEY,

Petitioner

v.

UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION  
BOARD OF REVIEW,

Respondent

No. 495 MAL 2024

Application for Reconsideration

**ORDER**

**PER CURIAM**

**AND NOW**, this 11<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2025, the Application for Reconsideration is  
**DENIED.**

A True Copy Amy Dreibelbis, Esquire  
As Of 06/11/2025

Attest: Amy Dreibelbis  
Deputy Prothonotary  
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

Appendix B  
pg. 1

IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Tenisha Rooney,	:	
Petitioner	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
Unemployment Compensation	:	
Board of Review,	:	
Respondent	:	No. 624 C.D. 2024

**PER CURIAM**      **MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

NOW, September 24, 2024, upon consideration of the Unemployment Compensation Board of Review's (Board) "Application for Summary Relief" (Application), which the Court treats as an application to dismiss pursuant to Pa.R.A.P. 1972(a)(3), and the answer in opposition filed by Tenisha Rooney (Petitioner), this Court issues the following Order:

In its Application, the Board asserts that, on January 2, 2024, an unemployment compensation Referee issued a decision which found that Petitioner did not have an overpayment of unemployment compensation benefits. The Board further states that Petitioner then filed an appeal and, on March 18, 2024, it issued an order disallowing the appeal because the Referee found no overpayment. As such, the Board argues that Petitioner was not aggrieved by the Board's decision and that, therefore, her appeal should be quashed.

Our review of this matter reveals that the Referee reversed the Department of Labor and Industry's determination disallowing benefits, found no overpayment, and granted benefits. Because it is clear that Petitioner was not aggrieved by the Board's March 18, 2024 decision and order disallowing her appeal

Appendix E

of the Referee's decision which was not adverse to her, the Board's Application is GRANTED, and Petitioner's petition for review is hereby DISMISSED.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> After reviewing Petitioner's answer, it is not clear why she believes an appealable issue exists in this case. However, we do note that Petitioner references a prior case of hers which is docketed at No. 180 C.D. 2024. In that case, this Court issued a Memorandum and Order quashing her appeal because it was untimely filed. See *Rooney v. Unemployment Comp. Bd. of Rev.* (Pa. Cmwlth., No. 180 C.D. 2024, filed June 13, 2024). A review of the docket reveals that Petitioner filed a petition for leave to file a petition for allowance of appeal *nunc pro tunc* with the Supreme Court, which is currently pending.

**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**