

No. 25-5800

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORIGINAL

FILED

AUG 20 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

David Clethrin — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Douglas Bender — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Sixth circuit court of Appeals
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

David Clethrin
(Your Name)

P.O. Box 874
(Address)

Chapel Hill NC 27514
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

RECEIVED

AUG 26 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Questions

24-cv-1243

1. What is considered for access to the courts?
2. Does Johnson v Avery, 89 S.Ct. 747 need to be expanded?
3. Does Bounds v Smith, 97 S.Ct. 1491 need to be expanded?
4. What is considered as access to the courts?
5. What if someone has Bad handwriting
6. What is a complaint for the purpose of Johnson v Avery?
7. Does Johnson v Avery only limit to habeas petitions

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

see the attached appendix

APPENDIX C

Index

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

APPENDIX Index

Appendix A Docket 2 24-CV-1243

Appendix B appeal Decision april 17, 2025

Appendix C Docket 1 24-CV-1243

Appendix D sixth circuit Deadlines 24-3762

appendix E Prose appellant's Brief 24-3762

appendix F Denial on en Banc/hearing 24-3762

appendix G Mandate 24-3762

appendix H Johnson v Avery, 89 SCt 747

appendix I Bounds v Smith, 97 SCt 1491

appendix J chicken scratch ohio 9/9/2024

appendix K chicken scratch New Jersey lawsuit

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Johnson v Avery, 189 S. Ct 747
Boumediene v Smith, 475 Ct 1491

STATUTES AND RULES

Access to Courts
Title 28 United States Code 2241

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: June 11, 2025, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

constitutional statutory and provisions
24-CV-1243

1. Title 28 United States code 2241
2. Johnson v Avery 84 S.Ct 747
3. Bounds v Smith, 975 Ct 1491
4. access to the courts

Statement of case
24-cv-1843

The matter is an issue that expands from Johnson v Avery, 89 S.Ct. 747 and Bounds v Smith 975 Ct 1491 which is a denial to access the courts.

as in Johnson v Avery a government and F.B.I. agents can't a bridge or impair the right to a Federal habeas that is since the petitioner can't type up documents the courts would have to try and read any handwritten matters which wouldn't be the petitioner's problem. But yet the facility had sold typewriter ribbon and correctional tape for typewriters which for those with bad handwriting should be able to type such documents.

In Bounds v Smith it was stated prisoners have a right to "adequate opportunity to present their claims fairly." which doesn't state by what means. In which for example the petitioner has nerve damage which can't effect handwriting stuff.

So such expands both Johnson v Avery and Bounds v Smith

27-CV-1243
Reason to grant writ

Due to the nature of being able to prepare a legal document whether it's habeas or of a lawsuit to be able have it be legible so that a proper relief can be granted for such, Both Johnson v Avery and Bounds need to be expanded for the interest of justice.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 8, 2025