

No. 25-5794

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Jasmine Golden v. Amazon

Jasmine Golden — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Amazon — RESPONDENT(S)

REHEARING ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

The Supreme Court of the United States

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Jasmine Golden

(Your Name)

1800 Trogon Street

(Address)

Greensboro, NC 27403

(City, State, Zip Code)

(336) 447-0921

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. *I have proof of sales campaign results evidencing actual sales of my posters and associated intellectual property. I never received any official record of sales even though I have evidence of sales through campaigns I paid for. Amazon never provided me any explanation for what happened with these sales. During the entire time I held a business account with Amazon, I never made a single sale. This is no coincidence. It is clearly indicative of the criminal activity, including theft, intentional block and maligning of my brand name and business on the part of Amazon.*

2. *As my Writ of Certiorari states, Amazon directly linked images of trash and trash bags to my brand name and provided links which should have guided customers directly to my (Amazon) online store but instead connected to several brand trash bags (not just one) with no connection to me or my business. This was done maliciously in order to prevent the marketing of my posters and artwork and to desecrate my business entity, intellectual property, and brand name. Amazon never offered to compensate me for this intentional desecration of my intellectual property nor did they offer to repay any of the money I spent to maintain my online store account, not to mention additional cost associated of sales campaigns.*

3. *With the assistance of Guilford County Superior Court, Amazon was able to have a Gatekeeper Order imposed effectively preventing me from defending myself in my civil action against them in Guilford County. That Gatekeeper Order is still in force today and is being used to prevent me from filing ANY civil action in Guilford County, where I reside. This clearly opens me up to the possibility of being robbed of my credentials, credentialed work, intellectual property, and livelihood. Guilford County Superior Court was complicit in these criminal acts to rob me of my intellectual property sales, maligning of my business, and obstruction of justice. They are aware, as was Amazon, that having filed as Indigent I would be unable to obtain legal counsel to represent me in my civil action.*

4. *I have evidence (attached) that Amazon is still operating illicitly using my branded products and expired (closed) online account to market and or procure payment of fees with unknown entities. My Amazon online marketplace account has been closed for more than four years yet I've received several notifications from their German administrators that, apparently, my marketplace account is open (without my knowledge and permission) and they're "unable to process my products" because of discrepancies with my product numbers and labels. I believe this is indicative of either dishonest, perhaps further criminal actions on the part of Amazon or their absolute incompetence. I respectfully ask that this evidence should be considered in my Petition for Rehearing.*

LIST OF PARTIES

- All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

Jasmine Golden

v

Amazon

From Guilford
(23CVS2130)

From N.C. Court of Appeals
(P23-105)

From Guilford
(22CVS6301)

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

The Rules of Appellate Procedure allow review by writ of certiorari “in appropriate circumstances ... to permit review of the judgments and order of trial tribunals when the right to prosecute an appeal has been lost by failure to take timely action.” N.C. R App. P. 21(a)(1). Two factors inform if a writ of certiorari should issue:

(1) whether the petitioner can show “merit or that error was probably committed below;” and (2) if the writ is justified by “extraordinary circumstances.” *Cryan v.*

Nat'l Council of Young Men's Christian Associations of United States, 384 N.C. 569, 572, 887 S.E.2d 848, 851 (2023).

Petitioner, Jasmine Golden, has demonstrated her case meets these criteria such that certiorari review is warranted. Petitioner submits as supplement to her petition for writ of certiorari, which were also submitted to the North Carolina Court of Appeals and North Carolina Supreme Court on 13 July 2024, the following issues for review.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Issue 1:

Petitioner, Jasmine Golden, was denied from the beginning of her filing of 16 December 2022 appropriate resolution to her lawsuit based on, not the points of Petitioner’s lawsuit and actual claims against Amazon, but rather points of procedural detail, including legal filing protocol, in order to impede justice and deny Petitioner’s motions, which resulted in the dismissal of Petitioner’s lawsuit.

Petitioner filed her lawsuit on December 16, 2022 as Indigent. Guilford County Superior Court and Respondent's counsel were aware of Petitioner's filing status as Indigent and, as such, Petitioner was unrepresented and unfamiliar with filing procedures. The courts took advantage of Petitioner's unfamiliarity with filing procedure, case law, and protocol to impede, stall, undermine, and ultimately deny Petitioner a rightful conclusion to her civil action against the Respondent, Amazon.

Issue 2:

In reference to the 19 May 2023 Gatekeeper Order and the 16 December 2022 Dismissal Order, Respondent claims that Petitioner did not file an appeal to the court's decisions at the time those orders were presented. In fact, Petitioner immediately appealed the courts' decisions in both judgements with appropriate action.

Issue 3:

The Gatekeeper Order issued by Guilford County Superior Court on May 19, 2023 continues to stand, thereby disallowing Petitioner to file any civil actions in Guilford County in her own defense irrespective of case, complaint or parties named. This is clearly a civil rights violation intending only to subjugate the Petitioner for the purpose of robbing her of governance of her identity, credentials, credentialed work, intellectual property, civil rights, and efficacy.

Issue 4:

Respondent acknowledged that Petitioner appealed the 16 December 2022 Dismissal Order in a timely fashion; however, falsely claimed she never took steps to prosecute this appeal. In fact, Petitioner attempted at various times to meet procedural requirements in order to properly address the orders. Not only was the Petitioner already challenged by a lack of procedural knowledge as well as direct interference by persons employed at Guilford County Courthouse and Guilford County Superior Court, Guilford County Superior Court also issued the Gatekeeper Order disallowing Petitioner further access to her case file, blocking any ability for Petitioner to have her lawsuit resolved without obtaining legal counsel, knowing that Petitioner would be unable to obtain representation, and ensuring the true and legitimate claims in Petitioner's lawsuit against Respondent would not be heard.


REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

WHEREUPON, in review of the facts of this matter from the time of Petitioner's first filing of July 19, 2022 until the Gatekeeper Order issued by Guilford County Superior Court judge Albright on May 19, 2023, and in light of evidence of civil rights violations against the Petitioner on the part of Guilford County Superior Court, Guilford County Supreme Court judge Albright, along with new facts in questions presented, Petitioner appeals to the judgement and review of the SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES that this Court grant Petitioner's petition for rehearing.

CONCLUSION

This Petition for Rehearing should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jasmine E. Golden', is written over a horizontal line. The signature is stylized and cursive.

Date: 6 January, 2026

Jasmine E. Golden, MA
1800 Trogon Street
Greensboro, NC 27403
Phone: (336) 447-0921
Email: goldenprintslc.info@gmail.com

No. 25-5794

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
Jasmine Golden v. Amazon

Jasmine Golden — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

Amazon — RESPONDENT(S)

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Jasmine Golden, Petitioner, do swear or declare that on this date, January 6th, 2026, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* and PETITION FOR REHEARING, Petition for Timely Filing on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:


Attn: Ms. Georgia Malik, Attorney at Law

Cranfill Sumner LLP

5440 Wade Park Boulevard, Suite 300, Raleigh, North Carolina 27607

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on January 6th, 2026


JG
(Signature)