


No. 25 - 5766



\_\_\_\_\_  
IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
\_\_\_\_\_

Jonathan Valentin — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Department of Labor and Industries — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Jonathan Valentin  
(Your Name)

812 Monroe Ave.  
(Address)

South Milwaukee, W.I. 53172  
(City, State, Zip Code)

(262) 341-9313  
(Phone Number)

### QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Should the First Amendment provisions to the U.S. Constitution addressing the making of any law abridging the freedom of speech; and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances continue being denied?

Should the Fourth Amendment provision to the U.S. Constitution addressing the making or enforcing of any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; binding all States from depriving any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law, or denying to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws continue being denied

Petitioner filed for review of the U.S. Eastern District Third Circuit Court for the State of Pennsylvania's final dismissal and memorandum; wherein service of complaint was denied as having failed to state a claim upon which relieve could be granted; inter alia, Title 28 U.S.C. §1915 Proceeding in forma pauperis: (e)(2) Notwithstanding any filing fee, or any portion thereof, that may have been paid, the court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court determines that-(B) the action or appeal (i) is frivolous or malicious or (ii) fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted. Should petitioner continue being denied service of complaint?

If federal rule of civil procedure 15; amended and supplemental pleadings does not limit the number of times a complaint may be amended before service, why would the U.S. Eastern District Third Circuit Court?

If all provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. §1915 and local rule of appellate procedure 24.1 address prisoner, should any portion thereof be used to deny petitioner service of complaint?

### **LIST OF PARTIES**

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

### **RELATED CASES**

The petitioner seeking a writ of certiorari has no other cases in any lower court relevant to this case.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|                                                        |   |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---|
| OPINIONS BELOW .....                                   | 1 |
| JURISDICTION.....                                      |   |
| CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED ..... |   |
| STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....                            |   |
| REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....                    |   |
| CONCLUSION.....                                        |   |

## **INDEX TO APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A : U.S. Court of Appeal's final judgment and opinion ; 10 pages.

APPENDIX B : U.S. District Court's dismissal order and memorandum ; 11 pages.

APPENDIX C : U.S. Court of Appeal's panel and or en banc determination ; 2 pages.

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

Shorter v. United States, No. 20-2554 (3d Cir. 2021)  
Connelly v. Lane Constr. Corp, No. 14-3792 (3d Cir. 2016)  
Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41 (1957)  
Erickson v. Pardus, 551 U.S. 89 (2007)  
Hamilton, Appellant, v. Leavy, 117 F.3d 742 (3d Cir. 1997)  
Allah v. Seiverling, 299 F. 3d.220 (3d. Cir. 2000)  
Bivens v. Six Unknown Fed. Narcotics Agents, 403 U.S.  
388

### STATUTES AND RULES

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8; General Rules of Pleading  
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 10; Form of Pleadings  
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12; Defenses and Objections  
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15: Amended and Supplemental  
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56; Summary Judgment  
Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 24; Proceeding in Forma  
Pauperis  
Local Rule of Appellate Procedure 24.0; Proceeding in forma  
Pauperis. Rule 24.1-24.3  
Title 28 U.S.C. § 1915 - Proceedings in forma pauperis

### OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at <https://law.justia.com/cases/federal/appellate-courts/ca3/24-2411>; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was March 17th, 2025.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: June 25th, 2025, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## **CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

- First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution; Fundamental Freedoms:
- Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution; Equal Protection and Other Rights:
- Title 28 U.S.C. § 1915 - Proceedings in forma pauperis:



## **STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

Petitioner filed a Title VII civil rights act of 1964 complaint in the U.S. Eastern District Third Circuit Court on February 9th, 2024, alleging Title 42 U.S.C. §2000e-2, 2000e-3- and 2000e-5; Employment and places of vocational training or job placement, discrimination and harassment occurred between 2014 and 2018. Petitioner's complaint was dismissed on February 21st, 2024, May 6th, 2024, and July 26th, 2024. Petitioner filed a notice of appeal from the U.S. Eastern District Third Circuit Court's final dismissal, dated July 26th, 2024; in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit on July 30th, 2024.

The request to amend, correct and or clarify Petitioner's complaint was made by the U.S. Eastern District Third Circuit Court on two occasions both of which were accompanied with dismissals. The final dismissal in the U.S. Eastern District Third Circuit Court claims a third request to amend would be frivolous or malicious (provided by Title 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (e)(2)(B)(i)(ii)); without commencing discovery. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit affirmed and dismissed Petitioner's appeal on March 17th, 2025. Petitioner then filed for panel and or en banc rehearing on June 13th, 2025, which was subsequently dismissed on June 25th, 2025.

### **REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

The proceedings on file have not resulted in any discovery which would rule petitioner's complaint malicious or frivolous.

### **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Jonathan Valentin

Date: September 21st, 2025