

R3

FILED
NOV 28 2025
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

No. 25-5726

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

**DAVID C. WHITE Petitioner P
Vs.**

**Julia Annette White Respondent 1 (R1), Tamera Davis (R2), David
Smith(R3), James Shipley, R4**

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Federal

Court the Ninth Circuit Docket Number 24-6799

**On Petition for rehearing of Docket 25-5726 by Rule 44.
Amended**

**Respondent's Counsel of record
James Shipley, R4 OSB 964279, jtshipley@lygoshipley.com, 21408 SE
37th St.**

RECEIVED
SEP 18 2025
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

SUMMARY

Under Rule 55 there are only four legitimate reasons for denying a Writ:

1. Writ formatted wrong.
2. Writ doesn't prove the case.
3. Writ contains erroneous factual findings or the misapplication of a properly stated rule of law.
4. The petitioner contends not that the lower court interpreted the law incorrectly, but that the court simply applied the law wrongly to the facts of that case.

<https://legalknowledgebase.com/why-would-the-supreme-court-reject-a-writ-of-certiorari>

None of these four are applicable. The Writ is formatted correctly to address several legal questions which are referenced to the Constitution in the Statement of the Case. The Writ presents factual findings of federal laws violated by Respondents. The lower court was biased against Pro Se litigant by refusing to accept virtually all of Petitioner's evidence and witness testimony. Moreover, three factors must be present before the U.S. Supreme Court will review a state court decision:

1. A substantial federal question must be present. Must be a real question.
2. The federal question must be crucial to the decision.
3. The losing party must have exhausted all state remedies.

It is abundantly clear that the Writ meets all three of the criteria for acceptance. Plaintiff alleges that the dissolution Court was convened under illegal Administrative law, contrary to Loper, Bright, Enterprises,

1
2 resulting in a plethora of illegalities defined by legal questions presented in
3
4 the Writ. Plaintiff has exhausted all state remedies, but has been
5
6 dismissed as "frivolous" at every level up through the Oregon Supreme
7
8 Court. Plaintiff contends that a dismissal of "frivolous" must be based on a
9
10 thorough examination of case facts and law, rather than on subjective
11
12 judicial discretion. The judges who made such subjective dismissals must
13
14 be held guilty of Misprision of Felony for failing to adjudicate alleged crimes
15
16 by due process of law and their Judicial Immunity should be removed.
17
18 Respondents have admitted their guilt by failing to respond to the Writ of
19
20 Certiorari and thus abandoned their case under Rule 55.
21
22 Worst of all, failure to approve this Writ leaves gross violations of the
23
24 Americans with Disability Act (ADA) un-adjudicated. Not only did R4 refuse
25
26 to grant Plaintiff remote testimony, but his insensitivity has dramatically
27
28 escalated Plaintiff's stress level and consequent increase in pain.
29
30 Petitioners pamphlet from the Crisis in Pain group at the VA says Petitioner
31
32 needs to keep away from any stress. However, Plaintiff has no money to
33
34 hire an attorney to write these rehearing petitions.
35
36 Thus, there can be no legally defensible reason for denying the Writ.
37
38 Rehearing is requested, with all due respect, because failure to grant this

1
2 Writ ignores

- 3 • Misprision of Felony by 18 U.S.C. 4,
- 4 • Perjury by 18 U.S.C. 1621,
- 5 • False Statement by 18 U.S.C. § 1001, which is
- 6 • Criminal Defamation by 28 U.S. Code § 4101 (1) and
- 7 • Collusion by 8 U.S. Code Chapter 73 obstruction of justice.

8 **GROUND**

9 Petitioner is a disabled military veteran and Chemical Engineer who

10
11 learned Applicable federal and state law from a retired federal Attorney and
12
13 one of Oregon's best Appeals Court Attorneys. Consequently, he knows
14
15 more federal law and rulings than many, if not most, licensed attorneys.

16
17 However R4 the untruthful attorney had Petitioner served a document for
18
19 disable petitioner to come to dishonest and unethical Judge bailey court on
20
21 April 2nd 2026 for a show cause hearing why Petitioner hasn't paid spousal
22
23 support illegally ordered. Therefore, Petitioner begs the US Supreme court
24
25 to Grant this rehearing and the prayers for relief in the writ filed and this
26
27 rehearing which include vacating the illegal biased ruling and final
28
29 judgement in 21DR02783 in Washington county Oregon which are not
30
31 based on any case facts. Please approve this request before March 1st
32
33 2026.

34
35 This was admitted by R4 in an email where he noted that, "you know more
36
37 law than I do." Petitioner humbly moves a dissolution, which was illegally
38
39 screwed up by R4 and wayward Judge Bailey in 25-5660,

1
2
3 Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002 says Pro Se gets
4 lenience for small mistakes. R 1 and R2 in 25-5660 deserve
5 disqualification by violations of 28 U.S. Code § 455 (b), (1) which says,
6
7 "Where he (The Judge) has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a
8
9 party, or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the
10
11 proceeding."
12
13

14
15 As in U.S Supreme Court docket 25-5660, Petitioner strongly urges the
16
17 Court not to accept any opinion or ruling from the 9th circuit courts, which
18
19 are convened under illegal administrative law by 22-451 June 28th, 2024
20
21 Federal Case in Loper Bright. Six dockets and six illegal opinions they
22
23 were frivolous when the dockets were not. However, Petitioner would not
24
25 have filed complaints if the complaints were unfounded.
26

27 Was the Rule of 4 used to deny this WRIT in the instant docket?
28

29 Reinforcing the FRCP 55 default in Docket 25-572, Respondent formally
30
31 capitulated when they didn't respond after being duly served just like the
32
33 illegally dismissed federal case.
34

35 How can the Court simply ignore this confession and associated FRCP 55
36
37 requirements?
38

39 How is justice served by such a dismissal, which results in Misprision of

1
2 Felony by 18 U.S.C. 4, when the alleged crimes are confessed, but then
3
4 ignored with no due process of law?

5
6 And yet, all of the justices in the 9th Circuit have persisted in flaunting 22–
7
8 451 June 28th, 2024 Federal Case in Loper Bright since its enactment on
9
10 June 28, 2024, refusing to convene as Article
11
12 Ill Courts. By refusing this Writ the Court grants tacit approval for the
13
14 egregious contumacy of the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals to continue
15
16 unabated.

17
18
19 R4 on August 4th 2022 colluded Ex Parte to give wayward unethical
20
21 Judge Bailey Supreme Court Docket 25-5660, the 2021 December 9th
22
23 proposal which was rejected and never part of the case. This proposal was
24
25 used instead of case facts in the final illegal ruling of dissolution, R4
26
27 admitted this, 21DR02783 in Washington County Oregon. The end of
28
29 dissolution was July 26, 2022. On the last day Petitioner testified he had a
30
31 medical issue which prevented him
32
33 from getting a job. When the final says were finish, Petitioner announced
34
35 he would get the transcripts and write perjuries against them. The, in the
36
37 final ruling wayward Judge Bailey said Petitioner and his witnesses were
38
39 untruthful and R1 through R4 were truthful. To date no one has written
40

1 any perjury by 18 U.S.C. 1621, false statement by 18 U.S.C. § 1001
2
3 which is criminal defamation by 28 U.S. Code § 4101 (1) and collusion by
4
5 15 U.S. Code § 2 against Petitioner because there aren't any in the
6
7 transcripts nor exhibits.. However, Petitioner wrote 66 misprision of felony
8
9 by 18 U.S.C. 4, perjury by 18 U.S.C. 1621, false statement by 18 U.S.C. §
10
11 1001 which is criminal defamation by 28 U.S. Code § 4101 (1) and
12
13 collusion by 15 U.S. Code § 2 links in the filed Writ. Petitioner at his home
14
15 has a USB with all these documents with exhibits. The Federal Prosecutor
16
17 in Portland Oregon should charge these people. Also when arresting
18
19 Wayward Judge Bailey he can be charged with 66 misprision of felony by
20
21 18 U.S.C. 4 charges. The federal Marshals could stop by Petitioner home
22
23 and get the USB.

24
25 Transcripts of 21DR02783 Washington County Oregon Page 445 line 11
26
27 to 21. Wayward Judge Bailey as "COURT"

28
29 "THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. White. What else do you want to tell me that
30 will be helpful in me figuring out how to distribute the assets of this and
31 whether to determine spousal support for Ms. White?

32
33 THE WITNESS: Okay. So I -- I explained what the law says that --

34
35 THE COURT: I'm familiar with the law.

36
37 THE WITNESS: And you're familiar with the law and that I can't pay
38
39 spousal support. And also, I have a **medical issue**; (bold added) that is
40

1 why I can't get another job." Appendix 1 has the proof of Petitioner
2
3 disability.

4
5 **Americans with Disabilities Act:**

6
7 ADA Title II covers all activities of State and local governments
8
9 regardless of the government entity's size or receipt of Federal funding.

10
11 Title II requires that State and local governments give people
12
13 with disabilities an equal opportunity to benefit from all of their
14
15 programs, services and activities (e.g. public educations,
16
17 employment, transportation, recreation, health care, social services,
18
19 ***courts***, voting, and town meetings). Note the inclusion of "courts."

20
21 Respondent visited a third-party doctor outside of the VA on
22
23 8/27/2025 who diagnosed Respondent as having:

- 24
25 1. Multi-level Degenerative Disc Disease
26 2. Facet Arthropathy
27 3. Central Canal Stenosis
28 4. Yellow Ligament Hypertrophy

29
30 The doctor noted that Respondent has been prescribed strong muscle
31
32 relaxers that can only completely control the pain by essentially drugging
33
34 him to sleep. He also confirmed the diagnosis of many other Doctors who
35
36 determined that this is why Respondent could not get off the couch for two
37
38 months last November and December, during the first hearing. The
39
40 seriously disabled Respondent was denied remote testimony which is in

violation of state ADA law by ORS 174.107 and federal ADA by 42 U.S.
Code § 12101.

Assets	FMV	Net Value	Julia	David
Personal Property	\$29,550	\$29,550	\$5,165	\$24,385
Vehicles				
2010 Pontiac Vibe	\$6,300.00	\$6,300.00	\$6,300.00	
2005 Chevrolet Silverado 3500	\$47,500.00	\$47,500.00	\$6,000	\$11,500.00
2014 VW Jetta	\$10,600.00	\$10,600.00	\$6,000	\$10,500.00
Arctic Fox Camper	\$21,000.00	\$21,000.00		\$21,000.00
<i>Photography net</i>		\$82,850.00	\$11,465.00	\$73,385.00
Retirements				
401k IRA	\$230,718.00	\$230,718.00		\$230,718
TD Ameritrade Roth IRA	\$895.00	\$895.00	\$895	
Total		\$312,163.00	\$12,360	\$304,103
Real Property				
Family Residence	Resolved:			
Business Interests				
Climate Change Truth, Inc.	Undetermined			
Total		\$312,163.00	\$12,360.00	\$304,103.00
Total Assets			\$12,360.00	\$304,103.00
Debts				
Personal Debt			\$16,764	\$35,000
Total Debts			\$16,764	
Total Asset & Debt			(\$4,404)	-\$304,103
Equity Award			\$154,753.00	\$154,243.00
Grand Total			\$149,849	\$149,850.00

*401k IRA not discounted because effective tax rate appears to be zero based upon returns.

Nonsense
Analysis!

R4 wrote a worthless asset and liability statement.

Evidently, R4 flunked economics in college. Petitioner has college
economics and engineering economics and wrote the correct analysis.

Petitioner called a few cpa's in the Portland Oregon Metro area and asked
if they could review the analysis and they agreed. Petitioner sent it and

1
2 called back the next day. Every office thanked Petitioner for sending it and
3
4 how they burst into uncontrollable laughter after reviewing it.
5

6 Proper analysis: by Correct Appraisal as of July 2022 end.
7

8 Asset	FMV	Net Value	Julia	David
9 Vehicles				
10 2010 Pontiac Vibe	\$8225	\$8225	\$8225	
11 Value from Insurance prior to deductible.				
12 2005 Chevy Silverado 3500				
13	\$11,000	\$11,000		\$11,000
14 2014 VW Jetta	\$6500	\$6500		\$6500
15 Ally IRA	\$200,000			\$200,000
16 Home loan				-310,000.
17 Cash on hand	\$288,000	\$288,000	\$288,000	
18 Debts			-16784	
19 Stuff removed from home	\$20,000		\$20,000	\$2200
20				
21 Sub-Totals			\$299466	-92280
22 After Splitting IRA			117,000	-209280
23 Home equity				300,000
24 Totals			416441	9720
25 Equalizing award			-200000	200000
26			216441	209720
27				

28 Therefore, the court must order R1 to pay Petitioner \$200,000
29

30 To make semi equal totals.
31

32 Monthly	\$4800	\$2400
33 Expenses	\$500	\$1920+
34	\$400	Total
35 monthly income minus expenses.	\$4300	\$80
36		

37 Therefore; the court should order R1 to pay spousal support to
38

39 Petitioner of \$1,000 a month starting 9/1/2022. Also, pay \$200,000 to
40

1 Petitioner. However, Petitioner has exhausted the Oregon Courts and
2 lower Federal courts to no avail. In all dockets Respondents were in
3 default. The proper default summary judgement pleading was filed and the
4 wayward judge dismissed the case. Therefore, Petitioner requests after
5 reading this pleading filly to grant the Writ filed. RLC knew of Appellants
6 disability and was untruthful. This Petition was produced over a multi-day
7 period. By 11 am, each day Appellant must take the VA provided RX to
8 stop the barbed wire feeling in the lower back. Everyday Appellants back
9 is getting worse, today 12/8/2025 at 01:23 pm Appellant has already taken
10 the medicine. Petitioner's groceries are delivered because Petitioners
11 can't leave his home. To date, no one from the court has come to
12 Appellants home to verify Petitioner's disability, only friends who help
13 disabled Petitioner and affidavit's below. No one from Petitioner's family
14 has come either. Petitioner must use a VA provided walker to prevent
15 falling for the remainder of his life. Almost All VA appointments are online
16 except a procedure like January 2021 Steroid shot to separate variables o
17 a pinched nerve or inflamed muscles. The VA prescribes medication of
18 Methocarbamol 500mgs, meloxicam 15mg, and duloxetine 20 mg daily for
19 lower back pain. This is why Petitioner is typically incoherent by 11am
20 each day for over two years.
21 The VA has provided a Saunder's Lumbar Traction Device by Performance

1
2 Health SKU 19603 to pull Appellant's back apart to relieve the pinched

3
4 nerve. Appellant will most likely never be able to snow or water ski again.
5 Another video connected with a VA doctor to discuss a future plan.

6
7 [https://1drv.ms/v/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EZuIYVdEputBpAqThjFE674BqyV-](https://1drv.ms/v/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EZuIYVdEputBpAqThjFE674BqyV-I0Vc61V4VNZOayALRA?e=15RSQJ)
8 [I0Vc61V4VNZOayALRA?e=15RSQJ](https://1drv.ms/v/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EZuIYVdEputBpAqThjFE674BqyV-I0Vc61V4VNZOayALRA?e=15RSQJ)

9
10 Their number is 503-220-8262 x51177. Eight VA doctors and one
11
12 external doctor have determined Petitioner physically could not get off his
13
14 couch for two months in late 2024.

15
16 This pleading like all others of Appellant in any docket is based on correct
17
18 law and data based on transcripts and exhibits. Wayward Judge Bailey
19
20 (WJB) in 21DR02783 let Respondent receive from our assets \$416,441 or
21
22 97.7% of assets while Appellant received only \$9,720, 2.3% of assets.

23
24 This is neither fair nor legal. This is cause to reopen 21DR02783 by ORS
25
26 107.452 Reopening case if assets discovered after entry of judgment

27
28 MOTION TO RETURN CORP ASSETS pleading was filed with well-
29
30 documented untruthful statements of R4 and transcript testimony.

31
32 However, Wayward Judge Bailey has with extremely well-documented
33
34 illegal bias denied every pleading. Untruthful R1 and untruthful

35
36 R4 file pleadings in the local court without law and without well-

37
38 documented facts, the no facts pleadings which are approved by wayward

39
40 Judge Bailey every time. Sixty six well documented felonies of by 18 U.S.C.

1
2 4. These are adjudicated felonies and Wayward Judge Bailey is due
3
4 misprision of felony, 3 years in prison for every felony. The lower courts
5
6 judges deserve disqualification by 28 U.S. Code § 455.
7

8 Only the U.S. Supreme Court can correct this tragedy by granting this
9
10 Writ. As this Writ ably demonstrates, lower court judges who dismiss a
11
12 case when the Defense abandons its argument by default are guilty of
13
14 Misprision of Felony by 18 U.S.C. 4. They have been informed of an
15
16 alleged crime but then fail to investigate or adjudicate it by ignoring due
17
18 process of law. How can the U.S. Supreme Court discipline notorious,
19
20 longstanding offenses in the 9th.Circuit Court of Appeals if it, in effect, is
21
22 culpable of the same failures.

23 CONCLUSION

24
25 This petition for rehearing is a matter of life and death to severely disabled
26
27 Petitioner. By current judgements in the Oregon Courts, Petitioner must
28
29 pay spousal support of \$1100 per month since September 2022 until he
30
31 dies, Pay another \$50,000 for wrongful asset split of R1. Pay another
32
33 \$17,000 to R1 because of another illegal order. Pay around \$60,000 in
34

1 attorney fees and more. Petitioner can hardly walk and therefore cant get a
2
3 job and pay support. The fact is all R1 through R4 were untruthful.
4
5 Petitioner is a Christian and wasn't untruthful. The final bogus no case
6
7 facts ruling of Wayward Judge Bayley said Petitioner was untruthful and
8
9 must get a job and pay support. That ruling also said R1 through R4 were
10
11 truthful even though four days prior Petitioner said he would get the
12
13 transcripts and write perjuries. This has been accomplished and are the
14
15 links in the Writ. 66 perjuries and false statements which are criminal
16
17 defamation.

18 The Relief Sought

19
20 Including the relief sought in the Writ filed in the instant case Petitioner
21
22 adds these additional.
23

- 24 1. Petitioner humbly requests the Court to order R1 to pay \$200,000 to
25 Petitioner as a correct split of assets.
- 26 2. Petitioner humbly requests the Court to rule county or Parrish civil
27 judges have no jurisdiction outside the state they are in.
- 28 3. Petitioner humbly requests the Court to rule county civil judges, as in
29 Wayward Judge Bailey, in Oregon has no jurisdiction outside the
30 state by Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure 4 (L) 1-3.

1
2 Providing the relief requested means Petitioner wont be divorced anymore.

3
4 Then Petition will file for divorce without perjury.

5
6 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

7
8 I hereby certify that on 12/11/2025, a true and correct copy of the
9
10 above document shipped filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court
11
12 using Fedex. A copy of the document will be served upon interested
13
14 parties via email by ORCP 9 C 3. FRCP 4 defaults to state service rules.

15
16 Additionally, a courtesy copy is being provided as follows:

17
18 Also emailed to defendants by email service of

19
20 thelawisyourattorney.com

21
22 XX Via Two day Delivery

23 XX Via Email

24 12/13/2025

25 By: David White

26 

27 Petitioner certifies this petition for rehearing is presented in good faith
28 and not for delay.

1
2
3
4 APPENDIX ONE Proof of Petitioner disability.

5
6 Petitioner is a severely disabled army veteran with a pinched sciatic
7
8 nerve, who was unable to get off his couch at the time preceding the first
9
10 hearing. The issue is that two discs in Defendant's back are so close
11
12 together that they pinch the fiber going to the sciatic nerve down
13
14 Petitioner's left leg. This leg can collapse at any time, throwing
15
16 Petitioner to the ground. It is a rare day when Petitioner does not
17
18 experience some level of debilitating pain. Petitioner has been
19
20 prescribed VA RX to manage pain and had requested remote testimony
21
22 because of this acute medical issue.
23

24 Transcripts of 21DR02783 Page 445 line 11 to 21.

25 "THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. White. What else do you want to tell me
26 that will be helpful in me figuring out how to distribute the assets of this
27 and whether to determine spousal support for Ms. White?

28 THE WITNESS: Okay. So I -- I explained what the law says that --

29 THE COURT: I'm familiar with the law.

30 THE WITNESS: And you're familiar with the law and that I can't pay
31 spousal support. And also, I have a **medical issue**; (bold added) that is
32 why I can't get another job."
33

1 The transcripts are fixed in time and all parties stipulated them as fact
2
3 around December 1st 2022.
4

5 This fact is undisputed in the transcripts, however, the Judge and Mr.


6
7 Shipley didn't consider it at all. Below is newly discovered evidence of
8

9 Defendant's acute medical issue testified about in 21DR02783
10

11 The nearly closed gap in the red circle is pressing against the sciatic
12

13 fibers which route between the discs and join with the main sciatic. These
14

15 fibers are the ones which go down Defendant's left leg to the knee. This
16



1
2
3 explains why two years of physical therapy at the VA has done nothing to
4
5 fix this issue which was first triggered around February 2016.

6
7 This is text of Dr. Paterson that Defendant met with on July 14th, 2025.
8


1 He found the x-ray from 2/18/2016 which showed these discs had started
2
3 to close then, as the result of the fall off the retaining wall in 2016.

4
5 This is text of Dr. P Paterson that Petitioner met with on July 14th, 2025.

6
7 He found the MRI from 2/18/2016 (below) which showed these discs had
8
9 started to close that past time, as the result of the fall off the retaining
10
11 wall some years ago. The Retaining wall is six feet high, standing on it
12
13 Petitioners Lower back is another 4 feet more, therefore, Defendants
14
15 lower back pinched nerve issue started with the fall off the ten foot to the
16
17 concrete. Anyone who has seen this would know why Petitioner couldn't
18
19 appear in the 11/27/2024 hearing. Defendant filed a pleading for remote
20
21 testimony for said hearing. Judge Bailey denied this even before
22
23 receiving ex-parte communication from Mr. Shipley asking for it to be
24
25 denied. Mr. Shipley knew by email before 10/15/2024 when Defendant
26
27 came home from another VA X-ray for his back and Mr. Shipley was
28
29 untruthful in the 11/27/2024 hearing. This is docket in the U.S. Supreme
30
31 Court 25-5660.

32
33 Petitioner recently discovered this sign on US 99W, just west of

34
35 Sherwood, Oregon, which says:
36



1
2 Charles Bailey is R1 in 25-5660. R2 in 25-5660 is accessory after the fact
3
4 for Wayward Judge Baileys Crimes by 18 USC 3.

5
6 <https://thelawisyourattorney.com/sample-page/unethical-judge-bailey/>

7
8 and many other Constitutional provisions. Every dissolution attorney in the
9
10 Portland metro area except two, reported extreme professional disgust for
11
12 Judge Bailey in phone conversations.

13
14 End of Appendix

15 David C. White 12/8/2025.

16 