

1           **APPENDIX ONE: OPINIONS BELOW of Wayward Judges**

2  
3   Petitioner respectfully requests the Court to review the  
4  
5   opinions of the lower courts below for Petition of Writ of Prohibition,  
6  
7   Mandamus to the United States Federal, Court the Ninth Circuit  
8  
9   Docket 24-6799. The Orders below were/are not based on case facts, but  
10  
11   rather on bias and illegal Administrative Law in violation of Loper Bright.

12  
13  
14   2/27/2025 16

15   ORDER FILED. (William C. CANBY, Milan D. SMITH, Jr., Danielle J.  
16   FORREST) After considering the response to the court's November 13,  
17   2024 order and the opening brief, we deny the motion to proceed in forma  
18   pauperis (Docket Entry No. 5) and dismiss this appeal as frivolous. See 28  
19   U.S.C. § 1915(a), (e)(2).

20   All other pending motions are denied as moot.

21   No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

22   DISMISSED. [Entered: 02/27/2025 01:03 PM]

23           For all those reasons, the Court finds that amendment would be  
24   futile. *See Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1130 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc)

25   The amended complaint is DISMISSED with prejudice. The court certifies  
26   that an appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith. 28

27   U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). Any pending motions are

28           DENIED as MOOT<sup>4t</sup>. DATED: November

29           \_\_\_\_\_, 2024.

*Deputy*


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7



AMY M. BAGGIO  
United States District Judge

**APPENDIX TWO: Proof of Petitioner Disability**

Petitioner is a severely disabled army veteran with a pinched sciatic nerve, who was unable to get off his couch at the time preceding the first hearing for the sham prima facia contempt case. The issue is that two discs in Petitioner's back are so close together that they pinch the fiber going to the sciatic nerve down Defendant's left leg. This leg can collapse at any time, throwing Defendant to the ground. It is a rare day when Defendant does not experience some level of debilitating pain. Defendant has been prescribed VA RX to manage pain and had requested remote testimony because of this acute medical issue.



1  
 2 The nearly closed gap in the red circle is pressing against the sciatic  
 3  
 4 fibers which route between the discs and join with the main sciatic.

5  
 6 These fibers are the ones which go down Defendant's left leg to the  
 7 knee.

8 This explains why two years of physical therapy at the VA has done

1  
2 nothing to fix this issue which was first triggered around February 2016.  
3

**DECLARATION OF JEFFERY O NOAH**

I, Jeffery, declare as follows:

I am a good friend of David White, and I was at the 2023 September Calvary Chapel Men's retreat and met David White that evening. At dinner, we all stood up and individually introduced ourselves. David introduced himself while sitting down because he had a bad backache. After dinner, I went out to see David briefly. The next morning David did not come to breakfast. Chris Warren went out to check on David in the RV. Chris found David still in bed and saying he could not get out of bed because of his back. Chris came back in and solicited some of us to come and help David up. I helped. We got David up and by consensus, we put him in the passenger side of his pickup. I volunteered to drive David and his RV home and take David to the VA emergency room. When the Doctor came in she asked David what his pain level was from 1 to 10. David replied twelve. The doctor looked shocked. Then after a shot from the VA doctor, he started feeling better. I also picked some pain pills for David from the VA pharmacy using his credentials. After getting David home and to his couch I retrieved a walker from the attic for David to use. For a few days I called and checked on him.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jeffery O. Noah

4  
5 **Affidavit from Leland Dale Jossy Jr:**

6  
7 December 5<sup>th</sup>, 2024

8 I, Leland Dale Jossy Jr. do solemnly swear that I am presently here at the  
9

1 residence of David White and have been here off and on for the last  
2  
3 month to help him with household chores that he has been unable to do  
4  
5 because of his present pain level that he is currently seeking treatment for  
6  
7 through the VA hospital.

8  
9 Chores that I have been helping with include yard work, sweeping,  
10  
11 vacuuming, mopping and general housework as well as bringing in  
12  
13 firewood.

14  
15 I have witnessed David being confined to the couch on most days and  
16  
17 having to use a walker to help him get around. David is having to  
18  
19 medicate with prescribed pain medication to try to gain relief, which he says  
20 is not working very well, however, he doesn't seem to have any other

21  
22 Options. Sincerely

23  
24 Leland D Jossy Jr.

Declaration of Katherine Martin

I Katherine Martin, declare as follows:

I met David White a few years ago when he was doing experiments with the tide gauges by Depot Bay Oregon. We have established a friendship. In September 2023 Dave started feeling bad in his lower back. In early October 2023, Dave went to his churches men's retreat with the company rv. Then, the next morning Dave could not get out of the bed due to his sciatic issue and was taken to the VA Portland emergency Room. Then Dave got better and started going to the gym. Then in October 2024 I went with him to a conference in Eastern Oregon. Upon returning, his back was hurting again. He then went to the VA emergency room. After this, I didn't see Dave until Christmas 2024. Prior to this period, I would see Dave either weekly or bi-weekly. During the period of early November 2024 until Christmas 2024 Dave was unable to travel to see me. We talked on the phone daily. Dave was and continues using a walker because of a bad sciatic medical issue.

K. Martin 4-7-25

- 1
- 2 The image below is an extended disability
- 3
- 4 placard for Petitioners vehicle



11/27/2024, which was issued at the time of the sham show-cause hearing. Respondent was unable to get off his couch due to a bad sciatic, which makes his left leg go limp. He must use a walker now, and probably the remainder of his life, according to VA doctors.



1 Petitioner is a disabled Army Vet. Petitioner has been prescribed RX  
2  
3 from the VA of Meloxicam 15 mg for extreme sciatic pain and also  
4  
5 Methocarbamol 500 mg for muscle spasms. Plaintiff had a pre-back  
6  
7 surgery MRI for his back on July 9<sup>th</sup>, 2025.  
8

9 Here is Petitioner confined to his couch holding an Oregon, disabled  
10  
11 parking permit and discharge papers from Portland Oregon VA emergency  
12 room.  
13



1  
2  
3



53079

You have an upcoming  
appointment with VA on JUL 9 at  
06:00 PDT.

You will receive another reminder  
two days before the appointment.

Reply:

Y69 to CONFIRM

N7C to CANCEL

D71 for DETAILS

1

2 Email from VA for Upcoming appointments. Updated list.

3

15 Sep 2025 @ 08:00 AM PDT (MONDAY)

Status: Confirmed

Medical Center Division: PORTLAND

Clinic Contact Information: 503-273-5018

4

15 Sep 2025 @ 09:00 AM PDT (MONDAY)

**Status:** Confirmed

**Medical Center Division:** PORTLAND

**Clinic Contact Information:** 503-273-5018

1

**29 Sep 2025 @ 08:30 AM PDT (MONDAY)**

**Status:** Confirmed

**Medical Center Division:** PORTLAND

**Clinic Contact Information:** 503-273-5018

2

**29 Sep 2025 @ 09:00 AM PDT (MONDAY)**

**Status:** Confirmed

**Medical Center Division:** PORTLAND

**Clinic Contact Information:** 503-273-5018

3

4

**Note:** This information was last updated on 01 Sep 2025 @ 04:09 AM EDT.

5

6

For a complete list of all your upcoming VA appointments, go to appointments on VA.gov

7

<https://www.va.gov/my-health/appointments>.

8

9

**How to prepare for your appointment**

10

11

Learn about what to bring to your appointment at <https://www.va.gov/resources/what-should-i-bring-to-my-health-care-appointments>.

12

13

14

**VA Appointment email address and notification settings**

15

16

17

Apparently, Petitioner is not the only victim Judge Bailey has judicially


18

19

abused over the years. Petitioner came across this lighted sign on US Hwy 99 west just west of Sherwood, Oregon.

20

21



1  
2  
3 It reflects the pervasive frustration in the community for the lack of "good  
4  
5 behavior" displayed by this permanently installed County judge, immune to  
6 correction because of illegal Administrative Law. Many have registered  
7  
8 their disapproval by signing up as character witnesses at  
9  
10 <https://www.cctruth.org>. The cry for judicial reform in the Ninth Circuit is  
11  
12 loud and clear.

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 Petitioner is disabled and has been for almost three years.  
19  
20

21 **APPENDIX THREE: Complaint form filed in 9<sup>th</sup> circuit**

1           **against biased and illegal administrative law by Loper Bright.**

2  
3                           Judicial Council of the Ninth Circuit

4  
5                           **COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

6  
7                                   **United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth**  
8                                   **Circuit Office of the Circuit Executive**  
9                                   **P.O. Box 193939**  
10                                  **San Francisco, CA 94119-3939**

11  
12       1.     Name of Complainant:    Dave White Pro Se \_\_\_\_\_  
13                   Contact Address:       18965 NW Illahe st \_\_\_\_\_  
14                                                Portland, OR 97229 \_\_\_\_\_  
15       Daytime telephone:           (503 \_\_\_\_\_) 608-7611 \_\_\_\_

16  
17  
18       2.     Name(s) of Judge(s):     Magistrate Judge Jeff Armistead, United  
19                   States District Judge Amy M. Baggio

20       3.     Court:                       Portland Oregon Federal court \_\_\_\_\_

21  
22       4.     Does this complaint concern the behavior of the judge(s) in a particular  
23                   lawsuit or lawsuits?  
24               ☒ Yes                       ☐ No

25       If "yes," give the following information about each lawsuit:

26       Court:                               \_\_\_\_\_ Case

27       Number:                   3:24-cv-01702-AR \_\_\_\_\_

28  
29  
30       Docket number of any appeal to the 9th Circuit: Docket Number

31       24-6799                                               Are (were) you a party

32       or lawyer in the lawsuit?

☒ Party      ☐ Lawyer      ☐ Neither

If you are (were) a party and have (had) a lawyer, give the lawyer's name, address, and telephone number:

5. Have you filed any lawsuits against the judge? [ ] Yes [X] No

9<sup>th</sup> circuit

6. **Brief Statement of Facts.** Judge clearly exhibited illegal bias against Pro Se Plaintiff. Defendants were in default for not responding to the Amended Complaint within 14 days by FRCP 15. Plaintiff immediately filed ECF 11 Memorandum by Rule 55 requesting judge to rule Defendants in default by Federal law. FRCP 5 doesn't give the Judge any extra time to evaluate an Amended Complaint. The "Speedy Trial" Clause of the Sixth Amendment of the U.S. was intended for just this kind of situation. By extension the principle should apply to the instant case, consonant with The Federal Speedy Trial Act of 1974, which installed the Statutory time limits. The Amended Complaint could easily be read and understood by virtually any person or Judge in a 2-hour time frame. Of course, most Judges have their clerks do the reading and report to the judge. The Amended Complaint was uploaded to the court on October 15, 2024. Today is November 4, 2024.

7. ***"The court is evaluating whether the Amended Complaint has established that the court has subject matter jurisdiction to hear this lawsuit and a ruling will issue shortly."***

That can only mean that the Judge is searching for case law to justify his decision to support his predetermined decision to dismiss the case, contrary to a plain reading of the Constitution and Federal law made in pursuance thereof. Namely, Federal Rules 3 and 4. Any such use of the law to contravene Article III, Section 2 of the U.S. Constitution and 22-451 June 28th, 2024 Loper-Bright Enterprises. This is flagrant violation of the judge's sworn oath of office to support and defend the Constitution of the United States.

8. ***The court has reviewed plaintiff's Emergency Memorandum in Support, which is titled "Memorandum by Rule 55." The court construes the motion as an emergency motion for entry of default, and it is DENIED. The court is evaluating whether the Amended Complaint has established that the court has subject matter jurisdiction to hear this lawsuit and a ruling will issue shortly. Ordered by Magistrate Judge Jeff Armistead. (png)***

This ruling is in violation of:

22-451 June 28th, 2024 Loper Bright Enterprises v. Raimondo and Relentless, Inc. v. Department of Commerce.

[https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/23pdf/22-451\\_7m58.pdf](https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/23pdf/22-451_7m58.pdf)

18 U.S. Code § 4 - Misprision of felony

28 U.S. Code § 144 - Bias or prejudice of judge

Judges Code of Conduct, Canons 2 and 3;

<https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges>.

Article VI, Section 2 Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution: This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States



which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.

The judge has no authority to DENY anything at this point in the trial under federal law and the Constitution. The federal rule of procedure is crystal clear – the judge must sign after clerk's review and signature.

Did this judge not swear allegiance to these very words in Article VI, Section 2 of the U.S. Constitution? Based on his actions did he not enter into this covenant with the American people with malice aforethought. With some hidden purpose of evasion? Will this go unrequited?

9. None of Plaintiff's clear and compelling evidence was mentioned in the dismissal. It is therefore clear that the judge was made aware of a crime committed or in progress and ignored it, dismissing the case for other trivial reasons by comparison. This makes him culpable for Misprision of Felony, in principle, if not in fact. Are judges above the law? Are they not required to consider all material evidence in arriving at a final decision or are they free to violate Loper Bright Enterprises at will? Will this callous disregard for the higher law go unpunished, or will justice prevail?
10. On 11/4/2024 the case was illegally dismissed with the same verbiage which caused the amended complaint to be filed. This is clear bias.
- 11.
- 12.
13. The following transaction was entered on 11/4/2024 at 11:16 AM PST and filed on 11/4/2024
14. Case Name: White v. White et al
15. Case Number: 3:24-cv-01702-AR
16. Filer:

- 17. Document Number: 12(No document attached)
- 18.
- 19. Docket Text:
- 20. ORDER:


---

---

21. **Acknowledgment, declaration and signature;**

I understand that even if I successfully prove that the judge engaged in misconduct or is disabled this procedure cannot change the outcome of the underlying case.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the statements made in this complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.




(Signature)\_\_\_\_\_

12/03/24

(Date)

David C. White 4/28/2025.



1        **APPENDIX FOUR: Perjuries, False Statements and Collusion**

2  
3        **A. 17 INCRIMINATING EXHIBITS BASED ON TRANSCRIPTS**  
4        **AGAINST THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE .**

5  
6        items copied from the transcripts. Defendant has filed a Complaint in  
7  
8        federal court against these Respondents for not being truthful, and now a  
9        Writ of Certiorari in the U.S. Supreme Court.

10  
11       These same links (below) will appear in that

12  
13       Writ, leading to the federal prosecutor in Portland charging Respondents  
14       with their crimes because of the high likelihood of the docket being heard  
15       in the Supreme Court.

16  
17       1. Final no-case facts ruling link.

18       [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EYaLf5CLT3IMoagrb83QzHoBKA](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EYaLf5CLT3IMoagrb83QzHoBKA?lswlw3E28cL1RzmKB2-g?e=UnArFd)  
19       [lswlw3E28cL1RzmKB2-g?e=UnArFd](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EYaLf5CLT3IMoagrb83QzHoBKA?lswlw3E28cL1RzmKB2-g?e=UnArFd)

20  
21       2. Illegal Lis Pendens filed on Defendant's home title on August 4<sup>th</sup> 2022  
22       a couple of hours prior to the fake ruling.

23       [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EdjLlu2DLxpNtQD3O3oG\\_VcB8A](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EdjLlu2DLxpNtQD3O3oG_VcB8AFW-1SZq9m_Jg_wumr41Q?e=SbyjgE)  
24       [FW-1SZq9m\\_Jg\\_wumr41Q?e=SbyjgE](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EdjLlu2DLxpNtQD3O3oG_VcB8AFW-1SZq9m_Jg_wumr41Q?e=SbyjgE)

25  
26       3.       December 9<sup>th</sup> 2021 settlement offer which was scoffed at.

27       [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EZ4fOMQtG5NErJ59OFwiUAsBs](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EZ4fOMQtG5NErJ59OFwiUAsBsN2f1SfzGalPY01QbuJ8KQ?e=T7uesQ)  
28       [N2f1SfzGalPY01QbuJ8KQ?e=T7uesQ](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EZ4fOMQtG5NErJ59OFwiUAsBsN2f1SfzGalPY01QbuJ8KQ?e=T7uesQ)

29  
30       4.       R4's false analysis of values. Petitioner fixed it. It didn't contain  
31       Defendant's home loan, and contained the photolithography.net assets  
32       lie. In addition, to David Smith's perjuries and false statements it shows  
33       the fake vehicle values which Mr. Shipley received a month and a half  
34       prior to David Smith's fake appraisal.

35       [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/ECxkYBuB1KhJmY6Y34NZaIYBU](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/ECxkYBuB1KhJmY6Y34NZaIYBUgLPBJLvaPiaz1kdU3gUxQ?e=A8lrFT)  
36       [gLPBJLvaPiaz1kdU3gUxQ?e=A8lrFT](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/ECxkYBuB1KhJmY6Y34NZaIYBUgLPBJLvaPiaz1kdU3gUxQ?e=A8lrFT)

1 4. December 2021 deposition testimony. We both testified that the  
2 photolithography.net assets were not owned by us as individuals. R4 lied  
3 about this in the instant case and the contempt case.

4 [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EQmUr7-](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EQmUr7-iC9NJtZK6kzeChQMB62zd4mVkVOCob0b5DVv2JQ?e=xUKOmE)  
5 [iC9NJtZK6kzeChQMB62zd4mVkVOCob0b5DVv2JQ?e=xUKOmE](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EQmUr7-iC9NJtZK6kzeChQMB62zd4mVkVOCob0b5DVv2JQ?e=xUKOmE)  
6

7 5. Court ordered Realtor listing with everything Defendant bought  
8 when the home value was split. However, the Illegal Writ of Execution let  
9 R1 take more items that didn't belong to her, which were to be returned  
10 and taken off the bidding list, per Petitioner's comments on the items.  
11 Therefore, Judge Bailey must be required to order everything brought  
12 back, change the bidding spreadsheet, and vacate the illegal writ.

13  
14 [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EVnR11tR-](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EVnR11tR-VVPuacEFd6on64BPArR-DTEchEIUJXfJBsbAg?e=FEkcZ9)  
15 [VVPuacEFd6on64BPArR-DTEchEIUJXfJBsbAg?e=FEkcZ9](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EVnR11tR-VVPuacEFd6on64BPArR-DTEchEIUJXfJBsbAg?e=FEkcZ9)  
16

17 6. Illegal Split of IRA. The IRA must be split evenly using the value on  
18 9/1/2022 by Staveland and Fisher, Oregon Supreme court ruling of  
19 December, 2019. Here is well-documented data. As a matter of fact,  
20 Respondent withdrew \$14,400, and the dividends were \$13,904.40. A  
21 net loss of only \$495.60 with attorney fees being \$12,000 of the \$14,400  
22 Defendant withdrew. R4 doesn't have any facts to prove his client's  
23 position.

24  
25 The automatic restraining order (ORS 107.093, specifically 2 c A)  
26 lets Defendant pay attorney fees with the IRA. The market fluctuations of  
27 \$52,000 were the driver of the IRA loss, not the Respondent's  
28 withdrawals. R4 cannot prove that the change from \$234,000 to  
29 \$199,885.99 came from withdrawals.

30  
31 [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EUk5RJIXvrdEoVGDiiGq-](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EUk5RJIXvrdEoVGDiiGq-ewBee0-EJeEBaD-QZbA1Yjt2w?e=UppbzL)  
32 [ewBee0-EJeEBaD-QZbA1Yjt2w?e=UppbzL](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EUk5RJIXvrdEoVGDiiGq-ewBee0-EJeEBaD-QZbA1Yjt2w?e=UppbzL)  
33

34 7. If Mr. Shipley had served Petitioner as required, by UTCR 5.100,  
35 then Petitioner could have served Mr. Shipley an ORS 18.892 Challenge  
36 to the Writ of Execution. Petitioner was not given this opportunity.  
37

1 Petitioner did not need to bid on the items taken because they were  
2 fixtures and other items included in the home sale, from Petitioner's  
3 father's estate or corporate assets.

4  
5 Email from Mr. Shipley of August 25<sup>th</sup> 2021. Prior to the illegal writ. "In  
6 regard to the personal property that remains in the home, my client does  
7 not intend to take any property other than as provided in the limited  
8 judgment."

9  
10 <https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/ETZoGWnjQLpLpWCtFjsY8LkBF>  
11 [L61aFGatRC4GI2Y0GRWGGQ?e=vVPFrT](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/ETZoGWnjQLpLpWCtFjsY8LkBF)

12  
13 8. Ken Nix correct Appraisal without Shipley's collusion.

14 [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EVAkdrOSA5ZFqe6rxOm\\_ikIBSg](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EVAkdrOSA5ZFqe6rxOm_ikIBSg)  
15 [R30DSCWiluxvrjeGfZmw?e=W1QJdQ](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EVAkdrOSA5ZFqe6rxOm_ikIBSg)

16  
17 9. David Smith's amateur appraisal proving collusion with Mr. Shipley.

18 <https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EQduDyFtcuZPjS4b6ugbxzIBWg>  
19 [aXX0K5FVy0fTJqelSBXg?e=edpRXv](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EQduDyFtcuZPjS4b6ugbxzIBWg)

**FREEBIRD Inc.**  
**BODY & PAINT**


29136-C Hwy 34 • Corvallis, OR 97333  
Phone (541) 753-9354

Dave White

Normally in the automotive industry we use a 70% to 80% of the repair value to deduct from the vehicle value. This has been industry standard for over thirty years.

Hope this helps.

President  
Shawn King



1  
2  
3 "From: Jim Shipley [mailto:jtshipley@qwestoffice.net] Sent: Wednesday,  
4 August 10, 2022 2:18 PM

5 To: 'Prof Dave White' <abcinc1@gmail.com> Subject: RE: Ally IRA  
6

7 Professor:

8 I already told you where I received the vehicle values. However, since  
9 you don't seem to remember I will tell you again. Dave Smith provided us  
10 with the vehicle values that were included with the Asset and Liability  
11 statement that we submitted with our trial memorandum."  
12

13 The fake asset and liability didn't include Defendant's home loan.

14 Therefore, Mr. Shipley must have written it, untruthfully, prior to  
15 September 15<sup>th</sup>, 2021. David Smith came in later -- October, 2021.

1  
2 David Smith perjured himself, claiming a 44% reduction in value of the  
3 \$6,000 blower was needed. Above contains an image of a letter from  
4 Shawn King of Freebird Body and Paint proving that the industry  
5 standard is 70% to 80%. Shawn will be happy to testify against R3's  
6 untruthful statements.

7  
8 10. The Limited Judgement which split Defendant's home is still not  
9 complete. Plaintiff still hasn't returned the items she illegally removed  
10 from the home. Plaintiff, Defendant, our legal counsels, and the  
11 incompetent Judge Bailey signed it.

12  
13 [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/Ec\\_mfEaZDP1KrLJpC8VFiroBIZe](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/Ec_mfEaZDP1KrLJpC8VFiroBIZeZ9OWq2c35N7-gnbhMrw?e=DvxhYm)  
14 [Z9OWq2c35N7-gnbhMrw?e=DvxhYm](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/Ec_mfEaZDP1KrLJpC8VFiroBIZeZ9OWq2c35N7-gnbhMrw?e=DvxhYm)

15  
16 11. R2's well-documented Perjury. She claimed many items were hers  
17 as Defendant helped Plaintiff unload them when Plaintiff brought them  
18 home. Plaintiff also testified to that on 7/26/2022. She made perjuries  
19 and false statements she can't prove that made a material difference of  
20 at least \$10,000 in the equity balance.

21 [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/ES\\_ixscBUq9CqIJLryahFAkBIYqg](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/ES_ixscBUq9CqIJLryahFAkBIYqgs0Yunf4IHEZCILQPQw?e=MFaVAD)  
22 [s0Yunf4IHEZCILQPQw?e=MFaVAD](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/ES_ixscBUq9CqIJLryahFAkBIYqgs0Yunf4IHEZCILQPQw?e=MFaVAD)

23  
24 12. R1 is charged with perjury for saying R2 told the truth on July 25th,  
25 2022. R1 in the trial testified on July 26th 2022 she purchased the items  
26 R2 said were hers. Plaintiff deliberately lied to avoid the charge of  
27 contempt by removing all expensive items from the home without  
28 notification. The automatic restraining order by ORS 107.093 Restraining  
29 order which says: The restraining order issued under this section shall  
30 also include a notice that either party may request a hearing on the  
31 restraining order by filing a request for hearing with the court. Petitioner  
32 was never given this notice.

33 [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/ESZrvIKHiihMqKOZYg36vIkBTG5](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/ESZrvIKHiihMqKOZYg36vIkBTG5W9rx5NSZCyRlgPfThRg?e=EwbheJ)  
34 [W9rx5NSZCyRlgPfThRg?e=EwbheJ](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/ESZrvIKHiihMqKOZYg36vIkBTG5W9rx5NSZCyRlgPfThRg?e=EwbheJ)

35  
36  
37 13. R1's testimony, containing about 83% false statements and perjury



1 dissolution filings. R1 never feared for her safety with P. P never hit any  
2 women.

3  
4 R1 states in item 4: R1 states that "Over the past year Dave White's  
5 Behavior has become increasingly irrational as he became more and  
6 more caught up in various conspiracy theories. Additionally, he has been  
7 even more secretive about our finances."

8  
9 There were no conspiracy theories, only conspiracy facts. It is easily  
10 demonstrated that Climate Change is about fearmongering and removal  
11 of people from the earth. Cctruth.org has published college and high  
12 school textbooks for environmental science. These prove, for example,  
13 that the wildfires are arson, that Covid is illegal gain of function research,  
14 and that Masks and death jabs are not the solution. See  
15 [makingsenseofcovid.com](https://www.makingsenseofcovid.com)

16  
17 [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EWb5fk72IRVMhDUTVpMW3gM](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EWb5fk72IRVMhDUTVpMW3gMBHlBhS7LqvJP9vITrrNSZ7A?e=j5RjGE)  
18 [BHlBhS7LqvJP9vITrrNSZ7A?e=j5RjGE](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EWb5fk72IRVMhDUTVpMW3gMBHlBhS7LqvJP9vITrrNSZ7A?e=j5RjGE)

19  
20 14. The Illegal Lis Pendens removed from Defendant's home.

21 [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EWBahM3lyuFGqqvRjx45LKgBu](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EWBahM3lyuFGqqvRjx45LKgBuYlWjZQC1vVV2PCm3TfBXg?e=6hFWGL)  
22 [YlWjZQC1vVV2PCm3TfBXg?e=6hFWGL](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EWBahM3lyuFGqqvRjx45LKgBuYlWjZQC1vVV2PCm3TfBXg?e=6hFWGL)

23  
24 15. Ken Nix correct vehicle appraisal with tools of the trade.

25 [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/Ed2Z-](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/Ed2Z-aOtnlMnXrhlAnwXcBsJ0zRNVENJ6jYQoPV6jySQ?e=TN9Kq1)  
26 [aOtnlMnXrhlAnwXcBsJ0zRNVENJ6jYQoPV6jySQ?e=TN9Kq1](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/Ed2Z-aOtnlMnXrhlAnwXcBsJ0zRNVENJ6jYQoPV6jySQ?e=TN9Kq1)

27  
28 16. Photolithography.net is a federal corporation R1 and P testified about  
29 in deposition and R4 concealed the truth about this many times.

30 Semiconductor consulting requires the engineer to live very close to the  
31 Semiconductor Fab. We had a 2003 Lance Camper to accommodate this  
32 need. However, the RV Park in Wilsonville required RV's to be less than  
33 10 years old. Dave had a contract with FLIR Wilsonville in 2015 for five  
34 months, The company paid \$32,000 cash for the Artic Fox from the  
35 consulting income. In a similar fashion Dave performed remote  
36 consulting using the Lazy Boy chairs. The light coming in the windows  
37 reflected on Dave's screen so he couldn't see customer's screen. This is

1 why the window coverings were purchased. Now Dave is too disabled to  
2 do this or any work.

3 R1 relinquished her interest September 2017 and testified on July 26<sup>th</sup>  
4 2022 that she relinquished her interest in everything. The camper, Lazy  
5 Boy chairs and window covering in Dave's home are owned by  
6 Photolithography Consulting and written off in 2015 and 2016 as  
7 corporate assets. Therefore, these corporate assets need to be returned  
8 or Dave will notify the sheriff that they were stolen.

9  
10 [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EeiKREoPexRlk4pob1aBFY0BsD](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EeiKREoPexRlk4pob1aBFY0BsDz56WRWlx09OJQn68Y8EA?e=DE9z4a)  
11 [z56WRWlx09OJQn68Y8EA?e=DE9z4a](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EeiKREoPexRlk4pob1aBFY0BsDz56WRWlx09OJQn68Y8EA?e=DE9z4a)

12  
13 [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EZ8GSCEKkb5JlvJqCCtG5j4B\\_O](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EZ8GSCEKkb5JlvJqCCtG5j4B_Ou_m2M7X049PugAKqljEA?e=Rzdr3H)  
14 [u\\_m2M7X049PugAKqljEA?e=Rzdr3H](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EZ8GSCEKkb5JlvJqCCtG5j4B_Ou_m2M7X049PugAKqljEA?e=Rzdr3H)

15  
16 17. By Staveland v. Fisher, every variable value asset in a dissolution  
17 case must be split evenly at dissolution's end. But Wayward Judge  
18 Bailey illegally allowed the IRA split based on an untruthful, non-provable  
19 statement of Mr. Shipley. This is either extreme bias or incompetence.

20  
21 [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EWtknp-](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EWtknp-fz2FGkoSuSnsC8gUBvo5BPYILwawqC46dnIf0Aw?e=14ezOx)  
22 [fz2FGkoSuSnsC8gUBvo5BPYILwawqC46dnIf0Aw?e=14ezOx](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/EWtknp-fz2FGkoSuSnsC8gUBvo5BPYILwawqC46dnIf0Aw?e=14ezOx)

## 23 24 25 **B. SUMMARY OF THE CASE AGAINST JUDGE BAILEY** 26 **IN THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE**

27  
28 ➤ The Federal Court illegally dismissed this case via illegal use of

29  
30 Administrative Law by 18), when Defendants were in default by  
31  
32 federal law. In addition, the Trial Court Judge did not allow a  
33  
34 requested hearing.

35  
36 Thus, the Appeal was filed against procedural abuse. However,  
37

1 three wayward Ninth Circuit Court Justices illegally dismissed the  
2  
3 Appeal when Appellees were in default because they  
4  
5 naively accepted the illegal dismissal of the lower Federal Court,  
6  
7 rather than condemning it, as was their duty. This, even though  
8  
9 Appellees abandoned every pleading filed by not filing any  
10  
11 response within the 10-day time frames.

12  
13 Consequently, Petitioner filled a Complaint against the Trial Court  
14  
15 Judge in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals for illegal judicial bias by  
16  
17 14), 16) and 17), violations of Judicial Code of Conduct by 14), and  
18  
19 illegal abuse of Administrative Law by 18). Likewise, for the three  
20  
21 Appeals Court Justices.

22  
23 Thus, Petitioner has brought to light many reasons for granting this  
24  
25 Writ.

26  
27  
28 <https://thelawisyourattorney.com/sample-page/unethical-judge-bailey/>

29 For example, on Friday, March 7<sup>th</sup>, 2025, Petitioner filed the document in

30  
31 the Appendix in 21DR02783 for Judge Bailey to  
32

1 sign. It was an innocuous request to remove the filings from an illegal Lis

2  
3 Pendens that Appellee 4 had removed months earlier. But, true to form,

4  
5 Judge Bailey made the unethical decision to dismiss the request with illegal  
6 bias by 14), 16) and 17) and illegal Administrative Law by 18), just like

7  
8 literally every other reasonable pleading Petitioner presented to him during  
9 the trial. Finally out of frustration, on March 11<sup>th</sup>, 2025 Petitioner took his

10  
11 walker and in great pain went to Judge Bailey's Chamber to ask what legal  
12 standing the Judge had to deny such a simple, innocuous request required  
13 by the County recorder. The clerk was very rude and refused to let  
14 Petitioner talk to the Judge. I, the Petitioner, told the clerk that another  
15 letter (this one)

16  
17 was being sent in which I would explain in detail how Judge  
18

1 Bailey in Washington County is corrupted by extreme bias by 14), 16) and  
2  
3 17) to the point of total disregard of state and federal law. Why should a  
4  
5 simple administrative request that blocks further home sales in perpetuity,  
6  
7 require an appeal to the United States Supreme Court, thus wasting their  
8  
9 precious time? How are average Americans to respect the law if its  
10  
11 esteemed guardians themselves have no respect for the law. As a  
12  
13 consequence, next week, Petitioner will file the two million dollar lawsuit in  
14  
15 federal court against Judge Bailey for his dishonest and unethical lack of  
16  
17 "good behavior" required by Article III, Section 1 of the Constitution.  
18

1 Petitioner will then proceed to file a complaint with the Ninth Circuit Court

2  
3 including that case number. Wayward Judge Bailey's extreme illegal bias

4  
5 by 14), 16) and 17) and illegal administrative law by 18) and collusion have  
6 been reported to the Ninth Circuit Court as Official Judicial Misconduct.

7  
8 Mr. Shipley Respondent ,4 the prevaricating, colluding attorney, conceded

9  
10 That Petitioner knows more federal law then he does.

11  
12 **APPENDIX FIVE: Review of State Court Judgements**

13  
14 **A. DETAILED REVIEW OF STATE COURT JUDGEMENT (Rule 141gi)**

15  
16 **Petitioner requests a ruling that only Article III Judges shall have**  
17 **immunity by Article III, Section 1 of the U.S. Constitution. Administrative**  
18 **Law courts shall have no such immunity. The Appendix of the Circuit Court**  
19 **Complaint contains this question in Section 3: "have you filed a lawsuit**  
20 **against this Judge?," implying Judicial Immunity is not absolute when a**  
21 **Court convenes illegally under Administrative Law.**

22  
23 The judge dismissed the case after Respondent failed to Appear within 21  
24 days. Shall the judge who decides for such a dismissal be innocent of  
25 Misprision

26  
27 of Felony, having reviewed the felonies admitted by failure of the defense

1 to appear, and then doing nothing to adjudicate them?

2  
3 This docket is a divorce case in which Judge Bailey (Case: 3:25-CV-501-  
4 AB) and the opposing party's

5  
6 legal counsel Respondent 4, colluded to render Petitioner penniless. The

7  
8 final ruling in Case 21DR02783 in Washington County was not based on

9  
10 any case facts. The final ruling was copyright by 7) of December 9<sup>th</sup> 2021

11  
12 proposal which was never part of the case and admitted collusion of R4

13  
14 with wayward Judge Bailey. Also, the wayward Judge Bailey failed to

15  
16 adjudicate sixty-six well-documented felonies with clear and convincing

17  
18 evidence of Perjury by 2) and False statements by 1). Having found no  
19 relief at the thoroughly corrupt state level, litigant had no choice but to

20  
21 appeal to the Federal Courts under Article VI, Section 2 and the equal

22  
23 protection clause of the 14th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, via a

24  
25 Writ of Error. Petitioner entered a Summary

26  
27 Judgement by FRCP 56 by 10) after Respondents were in

1  
2 default and the wayward Federal Judge and Appeal Court Justices failed to  
3 provide the deserved relief. The final Judgement of 21DR02783 said

4  
5 Petitioner's Social Security could be garnished, however that is illegal by  
6  
7 19).

8  
9 The proof of all these irregularities is in exhibits from transcripts. These  
10  
11 links to Petitioner's OneDrive have the proof of felonies, which are still un-  
12  
13 adjudicated. These are links to Petitioner's OneDrive because they are too  
14 large to file, but can be Downloaded from Transcripts of 21DR02783.

15  
16 **B. DETAILED REVIEW OF FEDERAL COURT JUDGEMENT (Rule**  
17 **141gii)**

18  
19 Another pressing reason to vacate 21DR02783 (except for splitting of  
20 Defendant's home) is Defendant's health which was not presented by  
21 Defendant's legal counsel as a deterrent to spousal support. Defendant  
22 has had this acute health issue since February, 2016.

23  
24 Defendant is a severely disabled army veteran with a pinched nerve,  
25 rendering him unable to get off his couch at the time of the first hearing in  
26 Oregon Washington County 24CN03814. The issue is that two discs in  
27 Defendant's back are so close together that they pinch the fiber going to  
28 the sciatic nerve down Defendant's left leg. This leg can collapse at any  
29 time, throwing Defendant to the ground. It is a rare day when Defendant  
30 does not experience some level of debilitating pain. Defendant has been



1 prescribed VA RX to manage pain and had requested remote testimony  
2 in the previous hearing because of this acute medical issue. But it was  
3 denied, again, with extreme bias.

4  
5 Defendant can prove that the 21DR02783 final ruling is perjury due to  
6 false statements and collusion with Mr. Shipley. On 7/26/2022 Judge  
7 bailey said it would take 2-3 weeks to get a ruling. Defendant announced  
8 that he would be getting the transcripts and writing perjury charges  
9 against all of them. In response, on August 4th at 10 a.m. Mr. Shipley  
10 went to the County tax office across from the Court House and filed an  
11 illegal Lis Pendens on Defendant's home. He then walked across the  
12 street to the Court House, went up to the fourth floor to Judge Bailey's  
13 chambers and gave Judge Bailey a December 9<sup>th</sup>, 2021 proposal which  
14 leaves defendant penniless. R4 told Petitioner that R4 did this.

15  
16 That ruling is not based on any case facts and contains four perjuries,  
17 four false statements and collusion. The sham final ruling concluded said  
18 Defendant lied and Shipley, his client and her witnesses were truthful.  
19 But the facts are, I filed all their perjuries and sixty five lies of Mr. Shipley  
20 to the case and Judge Bailey illegally denied them all without reading  
21 them. To date no one has even threatened me with perjury because they  
22 and Judge Bailey can find no place in the transcripts Defendant lied and  
23 made a material difference in the case. They all lied and made a material  
24 difference. The case against you is solid in the Ninth Circuit Court of  
25 Appeals and U.S. Supreme Court

26  
27 Transcripts of 21DR02783 However, the recalcitrant Judge Bailey  
28 illegally and with extreme bias denied this reasonable request and  
29 excused his callous violation of the Americans with Disability Act 17) as  
30 Judicial Discretion. This so-called "judicial discretion" is illegal under  
31 Loper Bright Enterprises.

32  
33 Please read the entire pleading to understand the magnitude of this  
34 heartless and unjust violation of Article III of the U. S. Constitution.  
35 During the trial Judge Bailey rejected virtually all of Defendant's  
36 pleadings without review. Had he not refused to examine most of the  
37 evidence, he could not have made such a biased decision, contrary to

1 fact and law. This is further evidence of his incompetence and judicial  
2 unfitness.

3  
4 Judge Baily has no judicial discretion in this matter under the Loper Bright  
5 Enterprise decision, but he habitually ignores the U.S. Supreme Court in  
6 his rulings. Flaunting this landmark decision of the U.S. Supreme Court  
7 (6/28/24), he persisted in using illegal Administrative Law in all of his one-  
8 sided rulings against Defendant during the trial. These will most certainly  
9 be vacated by the U. S. Supreme Court as flagrant violations of their  
10 landmark decision on 6/28/24:[https://thelawisyourattorney.com/loper-bright-](https://thelawisyourattorney.com/loper-bright-enterprises/)  
11 [enterprises/](https://thelawisyourattorney.com/loper-bright-enterprises/)

12  
13 <https://thelawisyourattorney.com/sample-page/unethical-judge-bailey/>.

14  
15 “We hold these Truths to be self-evident, that all Men are created equal,  
16 that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights,  
17 that among these are Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness.”

18  
19 In a breathtaking display of illegal bias, Judge Bailey ignored these  
20 immortal words, to violate the Judicial Code of Conduct, the Americans  
21 with Disabilities Act, and due process of law. Thus, he denied  
22 Defendant’s fundamental right to the Declaration’s guarantee of pursuit of  
23 happiness.

24  
25 Therefore, Case 24CN03814 was without question an illegal hearing  
26 because the Judge denied Defendant the right to present the facts of his  
27 case in court. Thus, the case must be vacated due to its violation of so  
28 many Constitutional principles and guarantees.

29  
30 But now having botched the first, illegal, prima facia, no-case-facts  
31 hearing, Plaintiff presumes to threaten Defendant with double-jeopardy in  
32 a second hearing for the same purpose by 21). By 21) the second  
33 hearing is illegal Double Jeopardy. In the complaint for the new hearing  
34 Plaintiff has “magically” produced data which was missing from the first  
35 illegal hearing. This is clearly illegal double jeopardy by 21.

36  
37 Under Oregon laws the instant case and all of its fanciful rulings and

1 judgements must be vacated.

2  
3 Given his demonstrable culpability, it would go much better for Judge  
4 Bailey to vacate these cases now and order the county prosecutor to file  
5 the well-documented perjury by 2), false statements by 1) of Plaintiff and  
6 her witnesses, and collusion by 22) of Mr. Shipley with Judge Bailey and  
7 David Smith. The latter gave Mr. Shipley Defendant's vehicle values a  
8 month and a half prior to David Smith actually appearing to perform his  
9 amateurish inspection. David Smith's Perjury by 2) and collusion by 22)  
10 are well-documented.

11  
12 Plaintiff, her witnesses, and Mr. Shipley are accused of fraud and theft.  
13 Plaintiff illegally closed Defendant's Bank of the West account and took  
14 all the money, around \$2,000. Plaintiff also removed defendant's vehicles  
15 from Geico without notification. This is a violation of the restraining order.

16  
17 Moreover, Plaintiff illegally split the IRA based on lies of Mr Shipley and  
18 violated the Staveland and Fisher Oregon Supreme Court ruling from  
19 December, 2019 that every variable asset must be split evenly at the  
20 value of the final hearing.

21  
22 Admitting all these well-documented case facts now would be much  
23 better than waiting for the U.S. Supreme Court to remove Judge Bailey  
24 and charge him with 66 counts of Misprision of Felony, 8 felonies of  
25 Perjury, and false statements in the 21DR02783 final ruling. Then ruling  
26 that Defendant lied when only four days prior, 7/26/2022, Defendant said  
27 he planned to enter documented perjury charges against all of them. No  
28 one has any basis to charge Defendant with perjury, false statements, or  
29 collusion because there is no evidence of such in the transcripts.

30  
31 The U. S. Supreme Court has until August 29<sup>th</sup> 2025 by FRCP 20 to rule  
32 in Defendant's favor, given the obvious ADA violation and blatant  
33 disregard of their landmark Loper Bright ruling. They will almost certainly  
34 order Federal Marshalls to arrest Judge Bailey in his courtroom and  
35 remove him to the federal courthouse to face criminal charges and  
36 arraignment for failing to adjudicate 66 felonies of perjury, four perjuries  
37 and false statements in the "no-case-facts" ruling of 21DR02783.

1 (Misprision of Felony carries three years in a federal prison for each  
2 felony not adjudicated). Defendant's plea has garnered considerable  
3 interest in the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court of Appeals and even the U.S. Supreme  
4 Court for its Loper Bright violations. Submitting to negotiation now would  
5 mitigate these risks.

6  
7 Proverbs 19:5 "A false witness will not go unpunished, and they who  
8 speak lies will not escape."

9  
10 During negotiation for splitting the home value Defendant sent an email  
11 through his previous legal counsel to Mr. Shipley declaring that  
12 Defendant can't do the deal unless everything in the home at that time  
13 stayed in the home. Mr. Shipley replied back that his client didn't want  
14 anything more from the home. Plaintiff, Defendant and Judge Bailey,  
15 then signed the order splitting the home value. It said among other things  
16 that the home is free of claim by wife. Therefore, in the bidding process  
17 Defendant didn't bid on things he had already purchased with the home  
18 like fixtures etc. and put comments on the items "included with home  
19 purchase" in the bidding spreadsheet. But wayward Judge Bailey, for no  
20 good reason, ordered the comments removed. This was extreme bias  
21 and a violation of the Oregon Judge's Code of Conduct. The Writ of  
22 Execution was therefore illegal, in violation of three Oregon laws.  
23 Everything they stole with that illegal order must be returned immediately.

24