IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ANATOLE MBE, Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES, Respondent.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

APPENDIX TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Brad Kaiserman, State Bar # 266220 The Law Office of Brad K. Kaiserman 5870 Melrose Ave., # 3396 Los Angeles, CA 90038 Phone: (310) 367-7632 Fax: (310) 870-1384 bradkaiserman@gmail.com

Counsel of Record for Petitioner Anatole Mbe

INDEX TO APPENDIX

Document	Description	Page #
Appendix A:	Ninth Circuit Dismissal Order, filed on February 20, 2025	1
Appendix B:	Ninth Circuit Denial of Petition for Panel Rehearing and Petition for Rehearing En B filed on July 3, 2025	anc, 2
Appendix C:	Sentencing Judgment and Commitment On filed on February 22, 2024	rder, 3-7
Appendix D:	Reporter's Transcript of Restitution Hearing on February 22, 2024	ng 8-17
Appendix E:	Minutes of Restitution Hearing on February 22, 2024	18
Appendix F:	Appointment of Counsel on March 27, 2024	19

INDEX TO APPENDIX

Document	Description	Page #
Appendix A:	Ninth Circuit Dismissal Order, filed on February 20, 2025	1
Appendix B:	Ninth Circuit Denial of Petition for Panel Rehearing and Petition for Rehearing En B filed on July 3, 2025	anc, 2
Appendix C:	Sentencing Judgment and Commitment On filed on February 22, 2024	rder, 3-7
Appendix D:	Reporter's Transcript of Restitution Hearing on February 22, 2024	ng 8-17
Appendix E:	Minutes of Restitution Hearing on February 22, 2024	18
Appendix F:	Appointment of Counsel on March 27, 2024	19

Case: 24-1056, 02/20/2025, DktEntry: 25.1, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FEB 20 2025

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

ANATOLE MBE,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 24-1056

D.C. No. 2:19-cr-00281-GW-1 Central District of California, Los Angeles

ORDER

Before: SILVERMAN, WARDLAW, and DESAI, Circuit Judges.

The motion (Docket Entry No. 22) to dismiss this appeal in light of the valid appeal waiver is granted. *See United States v. Harris*, 628 F.3d 1203, 1205 (9th Cir. 2011) (knowing and voluntary appeal waiver whose language encompasses the right to appeal on the grounds raised is enforceable). Contrary to appellant's contention, his plea agreement provided a "reasonably accurate estimate of the amount of the restitution order to which he [was] exposed." *United States v. Tsosie*, 639 F.3d 1213, 1217 (9th Cir. 2011). Moreover, appellant's sentence is not rendered "illegal" by the district court's alleged errors in calculating the restitution sum. *See United States v. Wells*, 29 F.4th 580, 584-87 (9th Cir. 2022) (explaining the "very limited and precise meaning" of the illegal sentence exception to the enforcement of an appeal waiver).

DISMISSED.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS



FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JUL 3 2025

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

ANATOLE MBE,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 24-1056

D.C. No. 2:19-cr-00281-GW-1 Central District of California, Los Angeles

ORDER

Before: SILVERMAN, WARDLAW, and DESAI, Circuit Judges.

The petition (Docket Entry No. 27) for panel rehearing and rehearing en banc is treated as a motion for reconsideration and reconsideration en banc. So treated, the motion is denied. *See* 9th Cir. R. 27-10; 9th Cir. Gen. Ord. 6.11.

Case: 24-1056, 06/18/2024, DktEntry: 14.1, Page 4 of 170

United States District Court Central District of California

[AMENDED]

UNITED STA	ATES OF AMERICA vs.	Docket No.	CR 19-281-GW	JS 3
Defendant akas:	ANATOLE MBE	Social Security No. (Last 4 digits)	<u>N O N E</u>	
	JUDGMENT AND PROBA	TION/COMMITMEN	T ORDER	
In the	he presence of the attorney for the government, the def	fendant appeared in pers	son on this date. MONTH 02	DAY YEAR 22 2024
COUNSEL		Adithya Mani, DFPD		
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there	(Name of Counsel) e is a factual basis for th	e plea. NOLO CONTENDER	RE OUILTY
JUDGMENT AND PROB/ COMM ORDER	There being a finding/verdict of GUILTY , defende 18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a),(1) AGGRAVATED IDENT The Court asked whether there was any reason why contrary was shown, or appeared to the Court, the Court Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, it is custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for	TITY THEFT as charg judgment should not burt adjudged the defendatist the judgment of the C	ged in Count 4 of the Indictor pronounced. Because no ant guilty as charged and contourt that the defendant is he	ment. sufficient cause to the victed and ordered that: ereby committed to the
balance shall l	hat the defendant shall pay to the United States a be due during the period of imprisonment, at the re Financial Responsibility Program.			
	uideline Section 5E1.2(a), all fines are waived as t likely to become able to pay any fine.	the Court finds that the	e defendant has establishe	ed that he is unable to
probation offic	all pay restitution in the total amount of \$39,903 ce which this Court adopts and which reflects the 0 t, which shall be forwarded to the fiscal section of e victims.	Court's determination	of the amount of restitutio	on due to each victim.
	e Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, it is the judgment the Indictment to the custody of the Bureau of Pr			
Upon release terms and con	from imprisonment, the defendant shall be placed ditions:	on supervised release	e for a term of one (1) year	under the following
1.	The defendant shall comply with the rules and r Office and Second Amended General Order 20		ed States Probation & Pret	rial Services

ER-004

2.

3.

The defendant shall participate in outpatient substance abuse treatment counseling program that includes

urinalysis, saliva and/or sweat patch testing, as directed by the Probation Officer. The defendant shall abstain from using illicit drugs, alcohol, and abusing prescription medications during the period of supervision;

The defendant shall not commit any violation of local, state or federal law or ordinance;

Case: 24-1056, 06/18/2024, DktEntry: 14.1, Page 5 of 170

USA vs.	ANATOLE MBE	Docket No.:	CR 19-281-GW
4.	During the period of community supervision the defe with this judgment's orders pertaining to such payme		y the special assessment in accordance

- 5. The defendant shall submit the defendant's person, property, house, residence, vehicle, papers, or other areas under the defendant's control, to a search conducted by a United States Probation Officer or law enforcement officer. Failure to submit to a search may be grounds for revocation. The defendant shall warn any other occupants that the premises may be subject to searches pursuant to this condition. Any search pursuant to this condition will be conducted at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner upon reasonable suspicion that the defendant has violated a condition of his supervision and that the areas to be searched contain evidence of this violation.
- 6. The defendant shall not obtain or possess any driver's license, Social Security number, birth certificate, passport or any other form of identification in any name, other than the defendant's true legal name; nor shall the defendant use, for any purpose or in any manner, any name other than his true legal name or names without the prior written approval of the Probation Officer; and
- 7. The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of a DNA sample from the defendant.

The Court advises defendant of his rights to an appeal. The Court recommends, but does not order, that defendant serve his term at the federal facility in Terminal Island or McFarland, California.

The Government's request to dismiss remaining counts of the Indictment is granted.

In addition to the special conditions of supervision imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the Standard Conditions of Probation and Supervised Release within this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of supervision, reduce or extend the period of supervision, and at any time during the supervision period or within the maximum period permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke supervision for a violation occurring during the supervision period.

February 22, 2024	
Date	HON. GEORGE H. WU, U. S. District Judge

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a copy of this Judgment and Probation/Commitment Order to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

Clerk, U.S. District Court

February 22, 2024

Filed Date

By /s/ Javier Gonzalez

Deputy Clerk

Case: 24-1056, 06/18/2024, DktEntry: 14.1, Page 6 of 170

USA vs. ANATOLE MBE Docket No.: CR 19-281-GW

The defendant must comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court (set forth below).

STANDARD CONDITIONS OF PROBATION AND SUPERVISED RELEASE

While the defendant is on probation or supervised release pursuant to this judgment:

- The defendant must not commit another federal, state, or local crime;
- 2. The defendant must report to the probation office in the federal judicial district of residence within 72 hours of imposition of a sentence of probation or release from imprisonment, unless otherwise directed by the probation officer;
- The defendant must report to the probation office as instructed by the court or probation officer;
- The defendant must not knowingly leave the judicial district without first receiving the permission of the court or probation officer;
- The defendant must answer truthfully the inquiries of the probation officer, unless legitimately asserting his or her Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination as to new criminal conduct;
- 6. The defendant must reside at a location approved by the probation officer and must notify the probation officer at least 10 days before any anticipated change or within 72 hours of an unanticipated change in residence or persons living in defendant's residence;
- 7. The defendant must permit the probation officer to contact him or her at any time at home or elsewhere and must permit confiscation of any contraband prohibited by law or the terms of supervision and observed in plain view by the probation officer;
- 8. The defendant must work at a lawful occupation unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, or other acceptable reasons and must notify the probation officer at least ten days before any change in employment or within 72 hours of an unanticipated change;

- 9. The defendant must not knowingly associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity and must not knowingly associate with any person convicted of a felony unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer. This condition will not apply to intimate family members, unless the court has completed an individualized review and has determined that the restriction is necessary for protection of the community or rehabilitation;
- 10. The defendant must refrain from excessive use of alcohol and must not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any narcotic or other controlled substance, or any paraphernalia related to such substances, except as prescribed by a physician;
- 11. The defendant must notify the probation officer within 72 hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer;
- For felony cases, the defendant must not possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or any other dangerous weapon;
- The defendant must not act or enter into any agreement with a law enforcement agency to act as an informant or source without the permission of the court;
- 14. The defendant must follow the instructions of the probation officer to implement the orders of the court, afford adequate deterrence from criminal conduct, protect the public from further crimes of the defendant; and provide the defendant with needed educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner.

ER-006

Case: 24-1056, 06/18/2024, DktEntry: 14.1, Page 7 of 170

USA vs.	ANATOLE MBE	Docket No.:	CR 19-281-GW	

The defendant must also comply with the following special conditions (set forth below).

STATUTORY PROVISIONS PERTAINING TO PAYMENT AND COLLECTION OF FINANCIAL SANCTIONS

The defendant must pay interest on a fine or restitution of more than \$2,500, unless the court waives interest or unless the fine or restitution is paid in full before the fifteenth (15th) day after the date of the judgment under 18 U.S.C. § 3612(f)(1). Payments may be subject to penalties for default and delinquency under 18 U.S.C. § 3612(g). Interest and penalties pertaining to restitution, however, are not applicable for offenses completed before April 24, 1996.

If all or any portion of a fine or restitution ordered remains unpaid after the termination of supervision, the defendant must pay the balance as directed by the United States Attorney's Office. 18 U.S.C. § 3613.

The defendant must notify the United States Attorney within thirty (30) days of any change in the defendant's mailing address or residence address until all fines, restitution, costs, and special assessments are paid in full. 18 U.S.C. § 3612(b)(l)(F).

The defendant must notify the Court (through the Probation Office) and the United States Attorney of any material change in the defendant's economic circumstances that might affect the defendant's ability to pay a fine or restitution, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3664(k). The Court may also accept such notification from the government or the victim, and may, on its own motion or that of a party or the victim, adjust the manner of payment of a fine or restitution under 18 U.S.C. § 3664(k). See also 18 U.S.C. § 3572(d)(3) and for probation 18 U.S.C. § 3563(a)(7).

Payments will be applied in the following order:

- 1. Special assessments under 18 U.S.C. § 3013;
- 2. Restitution, in this sequence (under 18 U.S.C. § 3664(i), all non-federal victims must be paid before the United States is paid):

Non-federal victims (individual and corporate),

Providers of compensation to non-federal victims,

The United States as victim;

- 3. Fine;
- 4. Community restitution, under 18 U.S.C. § 3663(c); and
- 5. Other penalties and costs.

CONDITIONS OF PROBATION AND SUPERVISED RELEASE PERTAINING TO FINANCIAL SANCTIONS

As directed by the Probation Officer, the defendant must provide to the Probation Officer: (1) a signed release authorizing credit report inquiries; (2) federal and state income tax returns or a signed release authorizing their disclosure and (3) an accurate financial statement, with supporting documentation as to all assets, income and expenses of the defendant. In addition, the defendant must not apply for any loan or open any line of credit without prior approval of the Probation Officer.

The defendant must maintain one personal checking account. All of defendant's income, "monetary gains," or other pecuniary proceeds must be deposited into this account, which must be used for payment of all personal expenses. Records of all other bank accounts, including any business accounts, must be disclosed to the Probation Officer upon request.

The defendant must not transfer, sell, give away, or otherwise convey any asset with a fair market value in excess of \$500 without approval of the Probation Officer until all financial obligations imposed by the Court have been satisfied in full.

These conditions are in addition to any other conditions imposed by this judgment.

Case: 24-1056, 06/18/2024, DktEntry: 14.1, Page 8 of 170

	RETU	URN		
I have executed the within Judgment and	d Commitment as follows:			
Defendant delivered on		to		
Defendant noted on appeal on				
Defendant released on				
Mandate issued on				
Defendant's appeal determined on				
Defendant delivered on		to		
at				
the institution designated by the Bur	reau of Prisons, with a certified	l copy of the within Judgm	ent and Commitment.	
	Unite	d States Marshal		
	Ву			
Date	Deput	ty Marshal		
I hereby attest and certify this date that t legal custody. Filed Date	Clerk. By		the original on file in my office, and in my	
	FOR U.S. PROBATION	N OFFICE USE ONLY		
Upon a finding of violation of probation of supervision, and/or (3) modify the condition	or supervised release, I understates ons of supervision.	and that the court may (1)	revoke supervision, (2) extend the term of	
These conditions have been read	to me. I fully understand the c	conditions and have been p	provided a copy of them.	
(Signed)				
Defendant		Date		
U. S. Probation Officer/	/Designated Witness	Date		
o. 5. 1 location Office!/	Designated Withest	Date		

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - CENTRAL DIVISION
3	HONORABLE GEORGE H. WU, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
4	
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
6	Plaintiff,
7	vs. Case No. CR 19-281
8	ANATOLE MBE,
9	Defendants.
L O	/
1	
L2	
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF RESTITUTION HEARING
L 4	Thursday, February 22, 2024 8:00 a.m.
15	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
16	
L 7	
18	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	TERRI A. HOURIGAN, CSR NO. 3838, CCRR FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
24	350 WEST FIRST STREET, ROOM 4311 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
25	(213) 894-2849

2

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
4	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE United States Attorney
5	BY: SKYLER CHO Assistant United States Attorney
6	United States Courthouse 312 North Spring Street
7	Los Angeles, California 90012
8	
9	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
10	FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE BY: ADITHYA MANI
11	Deputy Federal Public Defender Central District of California
12	321 East Second Street Los Angeles, California 90012
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2024
2	8:00 A.M.
3	00
4	
5	
6	
7	THE COURT: Do we have Mr. Mbe? Let me call the
8	matter of United States versus Mbe.
9	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: He's in custody and they will
10	bring him out.
11	THE COURT: All right. Let me call the matter of
12	United States versus Mbe.
13	MR. CHO: Good morning, Your Honor. Skyler Cho on
14	behalf of the United States.
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	MR. MANI: Good morning, Your Honor. Adithya Mani
17	from the Federal Public Defenders Office appearing on behalf of
18	Mr. Mbe, who is present and in custody.
19	THE COURT: We are here on a continuation of the
20	restitution hearing.
21	The government has filed a supplemental filing in
22	regards to the restitution amount.
23	Let me ask the defense counsel, I presume you have seen
24	it?
25	MR. MANI: I have, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: What is your response to the 2 government's supplemental filing? 3 MR. MANI: Aside from what we have noted in our 4 original papers with respect to the filing from yesterday, we 5 acknowledge they have underlying documents now, but I do think that they still have not met their burden. 7 As one example they, I think -- well, let's see on 8 page 5 of their filing --9 THE COURT: Which one -- the original or the 10 supplemental? 11 MR. MANI: The supplemental from yesterday. They are requesting 26,000 -- approximately 26,500 for 12 13 the BMW, but in their footnote, they also say at least 20,914.50, so that was the point we made with respect to both 14 15 the motorcycle and that BMW in our original papers. 16 amounts -- there are different amounts in different places, so we think that further shows they have not met their burden with 17 respect to that e-mail. There is not a set amount that they 18 19 have proven and is kind of arbitrary. 20 THE COURT: From his plea there is no doubt he 21 committed crime. There is no doubt that restitution is 22 required, so it's just a question of figuring out the dollar 23 amount. 24 As the government points out, this type of procedure, 25 the rules of evidence are not applied strictly, so, it's not

2.4

unusual to have this type of submission from the government insofar as the restitution is concerned.

But let me ask the government, you know, why isn't the defense right insofar as you are kind of using a couple of different figures?

MR. CHO: Yes, Your Honor. The government's position is that the higher figure is the appropriate figure and meets the preponderance of the evidence standard.

But the government does acknowledge, Your Honor, that the Court has the discretion to determine whether or not the evidence presented by the government meets a preponderance standard.

So as a backstop, the government just noted originally in that footnote that there was an alternative number of 20,914.50, which, you know, at a bear minimum, definitely meets the preponderance standard.

But the government's position is still that 26,328.81 is appropriate based on Exhibit E, and the corroboration of the corresponding exhibits.

THE COURT: Let me just ask this: Originally the government was proceeding on the fact that there were actually -- there were actually two vehicles and two motorcycles.

And the government seems to have dropped off one of the vehicles at this point?

MR. CHO: Yes, Your Honor. That reflects the fact

```
the discovery reflects that one of the vehicles was recovered,
 1
 2
    so the recovery counts against the restitution amount, and
    there wasn't sufficient evidence to establish an incremental
 4
    restitution due to that.
 5
               THE COURT: All right. So, at this point in time
    what we're talking about is -- it is as to the -- is it as to
 6
    the 2014 BMW 328, right?
 7
 8
               MR. CHO: Additionally, two Yamaha motorcycles.
               THE COURT: At this point, I'm address addressing
 9
10
    the vehicle first.
11
            The government is position is it should be 26, but the
12
    government is willing to argue or accept a ruling that it
13
    should be actually 20,000.
               MR. CHO: Your Honor, the government believes it
14
15
    should be 26.
16
            The government just added that 20,000 figure to bolster
    the $26,000 figure and add a backstop.
17
18
            Again, the government's position is that 26 is
19
    appropriate based on the preponderance of evidence.
20
               THE COURT: Okay. If I use the 20,000 figure, what
21
    is it?
22
               MR. CHO: $20,914.50.
23
               THE COURT: Then as to the motorcycles, the total
2.4
    figure is what?
25
               MR. CHO: As to the motorcycles the total figure is
```

```
$18,988.86.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Sorry, 19,000?
 3
               MR. CHO: $18,988.86.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything else from
 5
    defense?
               MR. MANI: With respect to the BMW versus the
 6
    motorcycles, there is one additional point I wanted to make is
 7
 8
    we pointed out in our original papers that general invoices, at
    least, in the Eighth Circuit published case that we cited are
 9
10
    usually not enough to meet the burden for restitution.
11
            Since the last hearing, the government, we acknowledge,
    did provide additional documents for the motorcycles, but they
12
13
    didn't provide anything for the BMW, so the request for BMW is
    based on general invoices, people saying I think what it is,
14
15
    whereas for the motorcycles, we do acknowledge they did provide
16
    underlying documents since the last hearing.
17
               THE COURT: I will award restitution $20,914.50 as
    to the BMW and as to the two motorycles $18,988.86.
18
19
            Anything else I need to do at this point?
20
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
               MR. CHO:
21
            To my understanding there is no victims present, but to
22
    the extent they are, they may be need an opportunity to be
23
    heard.
2.4
            I see people sitting here, so I just wanted to make sure
25
    that there is anyone here.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Let me ask, is there anyone who feels
2
    they are a victim as to this -- have been a victim of this
 3
    particular defendant? Nobody?
 4
               MR. CHO: Finally, Your Honor, the government notes
 5
    any right to appeal this restitution amount is as limited by
    the plea agreement.
7
               THE COURT: Well, the plea agreement says what it
 8
    says.
 9
               MR. CHO: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: Yes. Anything else?
11
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: The amount is again?
               THE COURT: The first total, again, is for the BMW
12
13
    is $20,914.50.
            Anything else?
14
15
            Thank you. Have a nice day.
               THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor?
16
17
               THE COURT: Yes?
               THE DEFENDANT: I would like to file a motion, it
18
    doesn't concern this case.
19
20
               THE COURT: Sorry?
21
               THE DEFENDANT: I want to file a motion, it's not
22
    concerning my case, it is something else.
23
               THE COURT: Well, let me ask, is it involving your
2.4
    criminal case in this Court?
25
               THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
```

9

```
THE COURT: What do you want to file?
 1
 2
               THE DEFENDANT: A motion.
 3
               THE COURT: To do what?
 4
               THE DEFENDANT: It says about the reductions.
               THE COURT: Let me ask the defense counsel, do you
 5
 6
    know what he's talking about?
 7
               MR. MANI: I do, Your Honor. If I may have a
 8
    moment?
 9
               THE COURT: Sure.
10
       (Defense counsel conferring with defendant off the record.)
11
               MR. MANI: Thank you, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12
13
                 (The proceedings concluded at 8:36 a.m.)
                                   * * *
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

```
CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER
 1
 2
 3
    COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
 4
    STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 5
                I, TERRI A. HOURIGAN, Federal Official Realtime
 6
    Court Reporter, in and for the United States District Court for
 7
    the Central District of California, do hereby certify that
 8
 9
    pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code that the
10
    foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
11
    stenographically reported proceedings held in the
    above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in
12
13
    conformance with the regulations of the judicial conference of
14
    the United States.
15
16
    Date: 30th day of March, 2024.
17
18
19
                                    /s/ TERRI A. HOURIGAN
2.0
                         TERRI A. HOURIGAN, CSR NO. 3838, RPR, CRR
                                   Federal Court Reporter
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

Case: 24-1056, 06/18/2024, DktEntry: 14.1, Page 9 of 170

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRIMINAL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CR 19-281	-GW					Date	February 22	2, 2024
Present: The	Honorable	GEORGE	H. WU, UN	ITED STAT	ES D	ISTRICT JU	DGE		
Interpreter	NONE								
Jav	ier Gonzalez	Z	Ter	ri A. Hourig	an		Sk	yler Cho	
De	eputy Clerk		Court Reporter/Recorder, Tape No.		Assistant U.S. Attorney		ey		
<u> </u>	J.S.A. v. Defe	ndant(s):	Pres	ent Cust. Bo	ond_	Attorneys f	for Defendant	ts: Prese	nt <u>App.</u> Re
Anatole Mb	be		V	· •		Adithya Man	i, DFPD	~	~
PROCEE	DINGS:	RESTITU	TION HEA	ARING					
						nounts of \$18			
					T 5.1	ala af Danieta Cl	andr IC	:	08
					Initi	als of Deputy Cl	erk <u>JG</u>		

CA NO. 24-1056

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

ANATOLE MBE,

Defendant-Appellant.

D.C. No. 2:19-cr-00281-GW-1

Central District of California, Los Angeles

NOTICE RE: APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

NOTICE RE: APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

Pursuant to the order at Docket Entry 7, our office has located the following attorney to represent the defendant-appellant in the case listed above:

Brad K. Kaiserman (SBN 266220)
The Law Office of Brad K. Kaiserman
5870 Melrose Avenue, Suite 3396
Los Angeles, CA 90038-3760
Tel: (310) 367-7632 | Fax: (310) 870-1384
Email: bradkaiserman@gmail.com

/s/ Margaret A. Farrand
MARGARET A. FARRAND
Deputy Federal Public Defender, Chief, Writs and Appeals
CJA Appellate Panel Administrator
321 East 2nd Street
Los Angeles, California 90012
Margaret_Farrand@fd.org
Telephone: (213) 894-7528