

Docket No. 25-5645

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Derrick L. Johnson – Petitioner

vs.

United States District Court, Central District of California– Respondent.

On petition for the rehearing of an order to United States District Court, Central District of California

petition for the rehearing of an order denying a petition for a extraordinary writ

Derrick L. Johnson


1041 Market Street

San Diego, CA 92101

(619)980-4952

DJohnson6feet3@gmail.com

Derrick L. Johnson Petitioner *Pro Se*



GROUNDS

The substantial grounds not previously presented are:1) that in excess of the jurisdiction and power of Respondent United States District Court, Central District of California, and in violation of 28 U. S. C. §2072(a) and Rule 39(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Respondent United States District Court, Central District of California granted 2 motions to dismiss and other relief without finding there is no federal right to a jury trial on any of those issues after Petitioner had made a jury trial demand on all issues (as shown in the record) and 2) that in excess of the jurisdiction and power of Respondent United States District Court, Central District of California, and in violation of 28 U. S. C. §§1391(a)(1) and (b)(2), Respondent United States District Court, Central District of California assigned Petitioner's case to the Eastern division of such court when Petitioner brought Petitioner's case in the Western division of such court (a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events giving rise to Petitioner's claim occurred (in accordance with 28 U. S. C. §1391(b)(2)).

THE RELIEF SOUGHT

The relief sought is: 1) an adjudication on the merits of the petition for a writ of habeas corpus (on file in this case) and 2) for this Court to: a) grant this petition for rehearing without first requesting a response, in the presence of extraordinary circumstances, b) order a response, c) extend the writ of habeas corpus to Petitioner, and d) reverse the judgment below, remand the cause, and require further proceedings to be had as may be just under the circumstances.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, for the above reasons the relief sought should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 14th day of October, 2025.



Derrick L. Johnson, Petitioner *Pro Se*