

25-5587

FILED

JUL 31 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

ORIGINAL

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Shervin Neman — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

USA — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

US Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Shervin Neman  
(Your Name)

8638 Cashio St. #6  
(Address)

LA, CA 90035  
(City, State, Zip Code)

310-403-4934  
(Phone Number)

### QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

The Eighth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution protects individuals from CRUEL & UNUSUAL PUNISHMENTS. While "supervision to enforce the restitution obligation" is an important/effective method by which the court can help the victims of the fraud get some of their monies back/teach offenders a lesson; WHAT HAPPENS WHEN THE SUPERVISION TURNS DEADLY? WHAT HAPPENS WHEN the offender, Neman, after a lengthy prison sentence of 135 months has not only lost everything including his son to ADOPTION but NOW HIS LIFE IS IN JEOPARDY & HIS MURDER IS PLANNED? IS \$/RESTITUTION & as a result SUPERVISION MORE IMPORTANT THAN LIFE?

### LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

### RELATED CASES

- United States District Court For the Central District of CA

US v. Neman, NO. 2:13-cr-00289-ODW  
(April 26, 2024)

- United States Court of Appeals For the Ninth Circuit

US v. Neman, NO. 24-3231  
(April 29, 2025)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	4-6
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	7
CONCLUSION.....	8

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>Court of Appeals Order (Jan. 27, 25)</i>
APPENDIX B	<i>District Court Order (April 26, 24)</i>
APPENDIX C	<i>Court of Appeals Order (April 29, 25)</i>
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

### PAGE NUMBER

SEC v. Sherwin Neman (No. 2:2012cv03142) pg. 6

US v. Sherwin Neman (Filed 6/3/25) pg. 6  
NO. 24-3231

### STATUTES AND RULES

28 U.S.C. 1254 pg. 2

Title 18, U.S. Code, Section 242 pg. 6

### OTHER

<https://lapd.com/article/woodland-hills-doctor-killed-ex-wife-charged-murder-after-hiring-hitman>

pg. 6

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 1/27/25.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 4/29/25, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- United States Constitution, Amendment VIII:  
Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor CRUEL & UNUSUAL PUNISHMENTS INFLICTED.
- Section 242 of Title 18 makes it a crime for a person acting under color of any law to willfully deprive a person of a right or privilege protected by the Constitution or laws of the U.S.



## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Eighth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution prohibits cruel & unusual punishment. However, the constitution does not give more guidance than that & so the courts, particularly the Supreme Court of the U.S. have heard a number of cases which have given guidance to the prohibition of cruel & unusual punishment. A deliberate indifference to the victim either physically or mentally, fear & pain, would constitute cruel & unusual punishment which would violate the Eighth Amendment. This case presents the question of whether "supervision to enforce the restitution obligation" is constitutional when the offender's life is in jeopardy & his murder has been planned. Essentially, is MONEY & RESTITUTION & as a result SUPERVISION MORE IMPORTANT THAN LIFE; specially when supervision has turned DEADLY? -4-

Neman had a lengthy sentence of 135 months, which according to the new investigations HAD WRONGLY SERVED MINIMUM 49 MORE MONTHS than he should have, & as of now, there are 3 months & 18 days left to his probation. Due to the nature of this case & the high-profile politicians/companies/wealthy individuals involved, the very mechanism to enforce the so-called restitution obligation has become the biggest nightmare/threat to his life! As this supervision, while at home & when he goes outside, has expanded to include MULTIPLE AGENCIES / state / localities / federal, his life is in JEOPARDY & HIS MURDER HAS BEEN PLANNED; there are TEXT MESSAGES out there of threatening to KILL HIM & he has, in his DAILY REPORTING to ATTORNEY GENERALS Mr. Garland (former) & Ms. Bondi (current), asked the DOJ/FBI with their massive resources to find those TEXTS. Neman lives currently with his parent in a safe / Jewish neighborhood in West LA, CA & WALKS around ONLY in SAFE AREAS of LA, CA; Beverly Hills, Century City / Bel Air. But the

people PART OF THIS SUPERVISION, some CRIMINALS, GANGSTERS, others Jew-haters & Neo-Nazis have turned the safe places into threatening environments. Neman has NO ASSET AT ALL WHATSOEVER. In 2024, he petitioned the district court to move to Israel for a fresh start & was denied. The Ninth Circuit was NO better at treating him & upholding the U.S. Constitution. They denied his appeal. Then on 5/16/25, His God performed a miracle & Neman found on LAPD WEBSITE that the wife of the Dr. Mirshojae/investor & coincidentally the same person who started this in 2010/SEC used the wife & the Dr. to start this nightmare/case against Neman in 2011 had KILLED HER HUSBAND LAST AUGUST, 2024, & LAPD/FBI who have been part of this 24/7/home/outside supervision had hid this evidence from him. Even with the NEW EVIDENCE, the Ninth Circuit declined a SUPER-EN BANC hearing; see 6/3/25 Filing of US v. Shervin Neman (NO. 24-323). This situation is NOT ONLY INHUMANE BUT ILLEGAL, per TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242! The "COLOR OF LAW" is being used to put his life in DANGER.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

To AVOID CRUEL & UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT, this Court should clarify whether "supervision to enforce the restitution obligation" can continue, specially when there is a potential for LOSS of LIFE; NEMAN'S LIFE in this case. As the KILLING of the INVESTOR/Dr. by his WIFE, Last August (2024), shows; incidents can happen/murders can be planned/ EXECUTED & can anyone, police, judge, etc. REVIVE the DEAD? Absent of this Court stepping in, the orders of US Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals & US District Court for the Central District of CA can undermine what this court has worked & continues to work to safeguard; God given right to ANY HUMAN, LIFE. Otherwise, the DAILY FEAR by Neman, due to this 24/7 supervision, & the continuance of it, which can involve MORE DANGER for his LIFE, is the very definition of CRUEL & UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT.

### CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature, possibly "R", is written over a horizontal line.

Date: 8/24/25