


No. 25-5546

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



Maurice Neal EL — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Sheriff Officer Michael Showman Jr. — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Maurice Neal EL #803845
(Your Name)

1001 Olivesburg Road
(Address)

Mansfield, OH 44901
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

This case does raise a conflict according to Supreme Court Rule 10(a) Correct? Meaning between 24-3296 and 3:22-cv-1180 JEC doc. #7 JEP Motion.

Bill of Rights Fourth Amendment say unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated Correct? And no warrant shall issue, but upon probable cause correct? And it must be supported by oath or affirmation Correct? And not a notarized complaint Correct? Ohio State Constitution article I, section 14 raises the same question. Unreasonable searches and seizures shall not be violated; correct? And no warrant shall issue, but upon probable cause, correct? And it must be supported by oath or affirmation correct? And not a notarize criminal complaint correct? Ohio Rules of Criminal Procedure Rule 3. Complaint Rule 3(A) last sentence state; It shall be made upon oath before any person authorized by law to administer oaths. This is correct correct? And your complaint was notarized correct? Rule 4. Warrant or summons; Arrest. Rule 4(A)(1) upon complaint. If it appears from the complaint, or from an affidavit or affidavits filed with the complaint, that there is probable cause to believe that an offense has been committed it, a warrant for the arrest of the defendant, or a summons in lieu of a warrant, shall be issued by a judge Correct? Illegal seizures of a person does fall under the legal definition of kidnapping Correct?

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

Mincey Vs. Arizona, 437 U.S. 385, 98 S.Ct. 2408
57 L. Ed. 2d 290. 1978 U.S. Lexis 115 (1978), app.
After remand, 130 Ariz. 389, 636 P.2d 637, 1981 Ariz
Lexis 256 (Ariz 1981)

Marbury Vs. Madison 5 U.S. 137 (1803).

Maurice Neal EL Vs. Shewman 3:22-cv-1180

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	6
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	7
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	8
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	11
CONCLUSION	12

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>Maurice Neal EL vs. Officer Showman</i>	<i>Page 2</i>
	<i>24-3296</i>	
APPENDIX B	<i>Maurice Neal EL vs. Officer Showman</i>	<i>3</i>
	<i>3:22-CV-1980</i>	
APPENDIX C		
APPENDIX D		
APPENDIX E		
APPENDIX F		

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Mincey Vs. Arizona
 Marbury Vs. Madison
 Maurice Neal EL Vs. Showman
~~Chicago Police Department Vs. Mosley~~

iii
iii
iii
~~iii~~ ~~Chicago Police Department Vs. Mosley~~

STATUTES AND RULES

U.S. Court Rule 10(a)
 28 U.S.C. 1254 (1)
 18 U.S.C. 1621 and 1623
 Fed. Rule of Civil procedure 12(a)(1)(A)(ii)
 and 55(a)(b)(1)
 Supreme Court Rule 10(a)(c)
 28 U.S.C. 1915(a)(3)

ii
6
7
10
11
4

OTHER

Bill of Rights fourth Amendment and
 Supremacy Clause Article VI, sec 2
 Ohio State constitution Article 1, sec. 14

7
7

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at 24-3296; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☒ reported at 3:22-cv-1180; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at N/A; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the N/A court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at N/A; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was October 01, 2024.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was N/A.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Bill of Rights fourth Amendment

Ohio State Constitution Article I, section 14

Bill of Rights (Supremacy clause Article VI,
Section 2)

18 U.S.C.S. 1621 And 1623

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I was ask to step out my apartment by the arresting officer showman. So I did then he ask am I Maurice Neal last four 7760 so I replied yes. Then he said I am arresting you then took me in custody without a sign warrant. And the sheriff was fully aware that the state of Michigan was not going to get a sign warrant for my arrest and neither did he. Plus the case was remanded back to the district case number 22-3838.

Nevertheless my appeal was denied because I did not paid the filing fee to the district and with all finance statement provided as evidence my Appeal was still denied.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Reason for granting this petition is or govern by Supreme Court rule 10(a)(c). And it also require the supreme court taking supremacy over the matter Article VI, sec 2. Bias And or prejudice Opinion the summons states 21 days not counting the day recieved.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Morice Neal El

Date: July 18, 2025