


ORIGINAL

NO. 25-5507

In the Supreme Court of the United States



JAMILLAH CHERRY – WIGGINS

Petitioner

v.

THE METHODIST HOSPITAL, INC.,

Respondent

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Jamillah Cherry-Wiggins

Pro Se

645 Pierce Street

Gary, IN 46402

(219) 269-7337

sjordan1724@yahoo.com




TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities.....	3
Petition For Rehearing.....	4
Reason for Granting Rehearing.....	5
Conclusion.....	11
Certificate.....	12

CASES

- . 2025, November, 6, Georgia Court Case Highlights Critical Consequences of E-Filing Errors in Legal Proceedings
- . **California Court Sanctions Lawyer for AI-Induced Errors, Raising Concerns About Technology in Legal Practice**
- . www.hipaajournal.com Nebraska AG's Lawsuit Against Change Healthcare Survives ...
- . Nov 17, 2025 · The lawsuit was filed in Lancaster County District Court in December 2024, naming Change Healthcare, UnitedHealth Group, and Optum as defendants. The lawsuit alleged the
- . **94-1789 - El Paso Nat Gas Co v. FERC**
*United States Courts Opinions. United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. OPINION (12 pgs) for the Court filed by Senior Circuit Judge Buckley. (At the time of oral argument, Judge Buckley was a circuit judge in active service. He assumed senior status on 9/1/96.). Friday, October 4, 1996...., which was held on January 19, 21, and 22, 1993, Calhoun became aware of certain **computer** errors in his... fair market value estimate until the discovery of **computer** errors forced it to reduce its DCF estimate...*
- . Empirical Patterns of Pro Se Litigation in Federal District Courts
See generally, for example, Donald H. Zeigler and Michele G. Hermann, The In-visible Litigant: An Inside View of Pro Se Actions in the Federal Courts, 47 NYU L Rev 157 (1972) (highlighting ...
- . HERRING v. UNITED STATES - Library of Congress
The case involved a search incident to an arrest based on a warrant that had been recalled but not updated in the database. The Court held that the evidence should not be suppressed because ...
- . United States v. Ganas - Harvard Law Review

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Petitioner Jamillah Cherry Wiggins petition for rehearing of this Court November 10, 2025
Order denying petition for a writ of certiorari.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

This Court's Rule 44.2 authorizes a petition for rehearing based on intervening circumstances of a substantial....effect. Because I do not understand, I do not accept, and I do not consent to the opinions rendered in this case. I can only simply and humbly state of the new publishing and submission from other courts this November to the U.S. Court another case regarding computer errors or clerical errors. With this in mind I Plead with the Court to Grant rehearing of this matter which is a global, federal and national world wide issue.

Varioius courts state and federally continue to rely on documents submitted through the government computer filing system noted as Odessey, E-Filing System or Tyler Host that I Petitioner admit having had various complications on utilizing this ineffective system. With minimal to no assistance from the courts; documents and corrected briefs have been submitted by the petitioner both publicly and privately and by the Hammond Clerk Office as well as through the E-Filing system. I do not understand, I do not accept, and I do not consent to the opinions rendered. The finding is erroneous; there is evidence to support documents being submitted more than one attempt of the various submissions that the courts state that wasn't submitted. In addition to the aforementioned I petitioner was also informed of the courts lack of accountability for the E-Filing system and not having access to produce proof of any errors, glitches, updates, on information submitted or to clarify, etc. only to state that it wasn't submitted. As well as The Honorable Judge Sedia having no resource to assist me in the matter of producing proof. However, I was informed


that if any information was discovered that I the petitioner should submit to the court, and I can be granted time to readdress this matter.

With this in mind, I petitioner Jamillah Cherry-Wiggins have not been given due process in this matter. I have been prevented time to present my evidence of submitting documents to a failed computer system as well as my evidence of being an eyewitness of negligence, of medical error that resulted in the death of my husband. The mental, emotional, physical and financial strain has been and is unmeasurable. Although this matter rests under civil guidelines, supporting published/nonpublished information presents this ongoing failure in the governmental computer system as an important civil and criminal public issue. This matter involves fundamental issues of extreme importance for we the people of the United States of America not alone for the petitioner Jamillah Cherry Wiggins.

For these reasons it is appropriate for the Court to rehear this petition in this case.

CERTIFICATE WITHOUT COUNSEL

I hereby certify that this petition for rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay, and that it is restricted to the grounds in Supreme Court Rule 44.2.


Jamillah Cherry Wiggins