

25-5464 ORIGINAL

No. 25-5464

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED  
JUL 02 2025  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Jáime A. Diaz Oñate — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

Baldor Specialty Foods Inc. — RESPONDENT(S)  
vs.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO  
United States Court of Appeals  
Second Circuit  
23-cv-03580 / 24-2897

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Jáime A. Diaz Oñate  
(Your Name)

PO Box 698 Times Square Station

(Address)

New York, NY 10108

(City, State, Zip Code)

787-447-2434

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. If the Matter of Hand is a precedent.
2. Has National importance, is of public interest.
3. Whether the dismissal of judges wrongly confirmed by the United States Congress is justified.
4. If in accordance with the Matter of Hand, the dismissal of judges and the limitation of years in the judiciary to interpret the law at the district and appellate level is reasonable.
5. If in accordance with the Matter of Hand, there is due process of law and the constitutional rights of the individual are denied.
6. If the individual in the form of poverty has the right free of charge to the transcription of what he says for recording in a federal court.
7. If the constitutional rights of all parties are awarded in the present case if all interested parties were able to participate.
8. Whether the Matter of Hand was referred to the attention of an independent special prosecutor and whether the judge had the

## LIST OF PARTIES

[ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

1. Actions under the Const. To Non to refer it.

2. How can an individual (S)ubmit to the Supreme Court of the United States the Table of contents and the index of the appeal and under a sealed writ of certiorari without the court

### RELATED CASES

denied the poor form and the transcript of the proceedings in the lower courts establishing a national precedent of public interest and whether the removal of the judge is appropriate accordingly

### Related Cases

3. If the procedures in the previous stages are null and void since there was never payment of fees by the persons with legitimate interests and right over the matter and the poor form and transcript from of the procedures were denied

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION .....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION .....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	11. If there are multiple cases related to the matter at hand.
APPENDIX B	
APPENDIX C	12. Due to the precedent, it is impossible to submit table of contents and index to appendices
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	of a null process that denies due process of law in a precedent for the nation and judicial history.

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

National Precedent, Public Interest  
CASES PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES  
National precedent, public interest

**OTHER**

23-CV-03580 Southern N.Y.  
24-2897 Second Circuit

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

~~OPINIONS BELOW~~

For cases from **federal courts**:

*attach 3 at front of docket*

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

*3 of April of 2005*

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_A\_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_A\_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

National precedent, Public interest

23-CV-03580 Southern NY

24-2897 Second Circuit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

National precedent, Public interest

23-CV-03580 Southern NY

24-2857 Second Circuit

#### REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

National precedent, Public interest, Interest legitimate, Indignity of the poor of a poor person, due process of law, Constitutional rights, others

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

James A. Gladfelter  
Date: 2 of July of 2005