

U.S. SUPREME COURT CASE NO. 25-545

**In The
Supreme Court of the United States**

JULIA M. ROBINSON

PETITIONER,

V.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

FEDEX INC., FEDEX, JANE DOES,

AND JOHN DOES

RESPONDENTS,

**ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

PETITION FOR REHEARING

JULIA M ROBINSON

2800 SPRING DR. SE SMYRNA COBB GA, 30080

Juliamrobinsonuscourtappealprose@yahoo.com

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuit to this Court's Rule 29.6, petitioner Julia M. Robinson states that respondent FEDEX INC. is the parent corporation and FEDEX INC. is a publicly held company that owns 10% or more of its stock. Respondent FEDEX INC. is the parent corporation and owns 10% or more of the stock of FedEx Express, FedEx Ground, FedEx Freight, and FedEx Office. According to 11th Cir. R. 26.1-1(a) which requires the appellant or petitioner to file a Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement (CIP) which the Petitioner did file with the Court of Appeals in this case for The Respondent FEDEX INC. On October 9, 2024. This document filed by The Petitioner is in alphabetical order, with one name per line, that has all trial judges, attorneys, persons, associations of persons, firms, partnerships, or corporations that have an interest in the outcome of this case or appeal, including subsidiaries, conglomerates, affiliates, parent corporations, any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of the FEDEX INC. stock, and other identifiable legal entities related to a FEDEX INC.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION FOR REHEARING

Under USSC Rule forty-four (44.) Rehearing two (2.) paragraph one (1) of this rule, including Rule thirty-eight (38). The USSC denied The Petitioner Writ of Certiorari on January 12, 2026. The grounds for filing this Petition for Rehearing fall under intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented. Under USSC Rule Fourteen (14.) Content of a Petition for Writ of Certiorari: three (3.) in Rule 33. The Petitioners complied with all rules of all Federal Courts for their lawsuits to be properly reviewed and for our U.S. Government Employees to properly apply the laws to make sure that The Petitioners were granted relief that The Petitioners are lawfully owned. Under this same rule under number four (4.) The Petitioners presented their Writ of Certiorari with accuracy, brevity, and clarity essentially to ready and adequately the understanding of the points requiring consideration of a Writ of Certiorari being granted. The Petitioners complied with all rules of all Federal Courts for their lawsuits to be properly reviewed and for our U.S. Government Employees to properly apply the laws to make sure, that The Petitioners were granted relief that The Petitioners are lawfully owned. Under USSC Rule forty (40.) Veterans, Seamen, and Military Cases this rule doesn't apply to The Petitioners, The Petitioner has never been in any of the U.S. Military branches on the record or off the record, The Petitioner has never worked for any political groups: The Petitioner has never worked for an organization, The Petitioner has never worked for a political figure privately, The Petitioner isn't and

never has been an informant and or a government informant. The Petitioner has paid in full all court filing fees for all Federal Courts (District Federal, Federal Appeal, and The U.S. Supreme Court). Regardless of what the petitioner's occupation is, they're eligible to file a lawsuit Pro se as long as the petitioners are Americans and they were harmed. The USSC and the FRCP rule books doesn't state in them if the person filing the lawsuits aren't a school trained attorney that they can't file suits. A 1983 Civil Lawsuit is a real lawsuit in The United States of America that Americans can file in the event The United States of Americas employees harm/cause harm to Americans. The District Federal Courts, The Federal Appeals Courts, and The U.S. Supreme Courts DOJ employees are trying to make it seem like this kind of lawsuit is only valid when a school trained attorney file it, when that's not true. FTCA civil lawsuits are valid legal real lawsuits remedies for all Americans to use in the event of harm from our government employees, it's not a trick or illegal like the courts have tried to make it seem like for years in all of the Petitioner cases by illegally dismissing them and not following their own laws and rules that they wrote which falls under abuse of power, abuse of process, corruption, and obstruction for graft to keep relationships with wealthy people/celebrities that they either committed fraud with or for. The Petitioner cases were used illegally in the background and passed around to celebrity's/wealthy people as if they're all entitled to a Pro Se Litigants lawsuit benefits when they aren't and never was, there is no law or rule stating this. Nest thermostat connected to Google W1-Y1-G-RH serial # 02BA01AB521202SE Backplate- 2.5 (in the hallway

pointed directly at the private bathroom), General Electric Refrigerator and freezer Model # GFSS6KKYESS Serial # TV909358 (in kitchen pointed directly at private stovetop), and LG Television WebOS TV UR800AUA, Model 86UR800AUA serial # 410RMVBCR591 WEBOS 25/ 10.2.2-59 (in garage area pointed directly at private lounge space area), all have cameras illegally recording (24hrs a day 7 days a week) in the Petitioners private residence against GA state privacy laws and in violation of the Petitioners Forth Amendment Right. This Property is a private rental property, no businesses are supposed to be operated inside this property, the tenants (The Petitioner's) inside this property pays the total amount due every month for rent without discounts from the owner with receipts. This property isn't a government funded jail or camp that houses criminal inmates. No warrants were ever issued to The Petitioner or the Petitioners family nor did the owner of the property ever get consent from the tenants or mention to the tenants before or after the lease was signed that inside of this private rental property was setup to be a research facility reality show recording illegally private moments of the tenants for profit that the tenants never consented to nor are the tenants receiving any financial compensation for. The owner of this rental property name is Tai Do and he's a doctor, doctors can work for the DOD, DHS, CIA, NIH, and DHHS to perform research for/with our government illegally to further assault, attempt killings gauged under so called research, and to artificially induce illnesses upon the tenants that just so happens to be The Petitioners in the background without proper legal exemptions and without The Petitioners Consent. Tai Do keeps putting in the

lease that three (3) keys were given to the tenants and NO PHYSICAL KEYS were ever given to the tenants; a code was given to the tenants for an August digital lock keypad, and an August App Inc. was suggested to download which the tenant Kristian J. Hall did download. This private rental property has three (3) doors and two (2) of the doors (Front door and side door) have August digital locks on them. The owner doesn't have or isn't supposed to have any access to this private rental property while the tenants aren't home, this private rental property isn't a temporary vacation rental nor is it a vacation home for the owner. The Respondents forced assaults/torturous illegal experiments without consent has caused chemical burns on Petitioner and family hands, face, and body and has made them physically sick from them coming in and out of the Petitioners home putting toxic chemicals inside of liquid soaps, other personal products, and food poisoned constantly as if their private residence is/was a jail to desperately attempt to stop the Petitioner from filling her motions with this court. There is no where on the denial letter showing what justices judged/read The Petitioners Writ of Certiorari so how can the Petitioners know if their Writ of Certiorari was ever read and by whom. The Justices that read over The Petitioners Writ of Certiorari that denied them can't judge/read over The Petitioners petition for rehearing and how does the Petitioner know if it wasn't a conflict of interest and or those Justices has/had some kind of relationships with the Criminals/Defense that harmed The Petitioner. If any of the Justices clearly have a conflict of interest with the defense and or with anyone that profited off of the Pro Se' Petitioner cases illegally even if they are a

celebrity/wealthy person, please recuse yourself before judging the Petitioner Petition for Rehearing. Judges in past have illegally, unconstitutionally, and unethically tacked themselves onto The Petitioners cases abusing their power and abusing the judiciary process to desperately attempt to further conceal what happened to the Petitioners that clearly shows biases and discrimination for their law community friends/associates to all cover up for every criminal attorney and judge that assisted with the fraud on the courts. This is why The Petitioners cases are of public interest and must remain public because for years our government hasn't followed the law behind closed doors. The U.S. Supreme Courts Clerks office sent back the Petitioners Writ of Certiorari with Appendix's 3 or 4 times to fix deficiencies which the Petitioner fixed. This means that The U.S. Supreme Court had a chance to thoroughly and carefully read The Petitioners Writ of Certiorari to know the contents of the Petition and what was written under each caption of in The Petitioners Writ of Certiorari. Under USSC Rule ten (10.) A petition for writ of certiorari will be granted only for compelling reasons. (A) a United States court of appeals have entered a decision in conflict with the decision of another United States court of Appeals on the same important matter; has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with a decision by a state court of last resort; or has so far departed from the accepted and unusual course of judicial proceedings, or sanctioned such a departure by a lower court, as to call for an exercise of this Court's supervisory power; (B) a state court of last resort has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with the decision of

another state court of last resort or of a United States court of Appeals; (C) a state court or a United States court of appeals has decided an important question of federal law that has not been, but should be, settled by this Court, or has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with relevant decisions of this Courts. The petitioner qualifies for her cases to be heard in this court because of USSC Rule ten (10.) (a) (b) and (c). Judge Teresa Mary Pooler, Judge Tanya Brinkley, Head Judge Saffee at that time, Attorney Author Jones, The Miami-Dade County Public Defenders office, and The Miami- Dade County Prosecutors' office covered up for the Myara family that lied on legal Sunny Isles Police affidavits and that never showed up for court in Kristian J Halls fraudulent cases that Petitioner Julia M. Robinson was a witness to. Petitioner Julia M. Robinson turned into The Eleventh Judiciary Clerk of Courts evidence of Kristian J. Hall legal medical prescription that the Sunny Isles Beach Police arresting officers (that were bribed by Jean Jacques Myara) illegally charged him with, for years this same court, The Federal District Court, The Federal Court of Appeals, and The U.S. Supreme Court DOJ Attorneys have been trying desperately not to admit this and keep this off of some imaginary record as if Petitioner Julia M. Robinson never turned this evidence in when she in fact did over and over and over again. Petitioner Julia M. Robinson also turned into these same courts except The U.S. Supreme Court a sworn statement about what happened on July 8, 2019, the day of the illegal setup arrest. Yoel A. Hernandez-Rodriguez MD (Respondent) illegally wrote in Julia M. Robinson medical notes that he's been prescribing her

Oxycodone's and Acetaminophen's since September 2014 until November 2020 that she has never been to, to desperately discredit her sworn statement about Kristian J. Halls cases and to illegally get exemptions (from our government in association with private research companies) for dangerous torturous experimental surgeries that were illegally performed without consent on Petitioner Julia M. Robinson (By Dr. Brittney Mason-Hirner) and on Kendall J. Hall (By Jane Does and John Does Dr.'s and Memorial Hospital Miramar Memorial Healthcare Systems Staff) at Memorial Hospital in Miramar Fl after the birth of Kendall J. Hall in year 2020. Dr. Brittney Mason-Hirner (Respondent) illegally wrote in Julia M. Robinson medical notes that she has Anxiety that she didn't and doesn't have, Dr. Brittney Mason-Hirner is a OBGYN and isn't legally medically qualified to write in no one's medical notes that they have anxiety, she did this to desperately discredit Petitioner Julia M. Robinson sworn statement about Kristian J. Halls cases and to illegally get exemptions (from our government in association with private research companies) for dangerous torturous experimental surgeries that were illegally performed without consent on Petitioner Julia M. Robinson (By Dr. Brittney Mason-Hirner) and on Kendall J. Hall (By Jane Does and John Does Dr.'s and Memorial Hospital Miramar Memorial Healthcare Systems Staff) at Memorial Hospital in Miramar Fl after the birth of Kendall J. Hall in year 2020. Dr. Brittney Mason-Hirner (Respondent) also illegally prescribed Gabapentin after Petitioner Julia M. Robinson delivered her daughter Kendall J. Hall at Memorial Hospital Miramar Memorial Healthcare Systems in Miramar Fl. Gabapentin, Oxycodone's, and


Acetaminophens are a deadly combination and Dr. Brittney Mason-Hirner (Respondent) did this to illegally Marchman Act Petitioner Julia M. Robinson to desperately discredit her sworn statement about Kristian J. Halls cases and to illegally get exemptions (from our government in association with private research companies) for dangerous torturous experimental surgeries that were illegally performed without consent on Petitioner Julia M. Robinson. MD Mariana Danet (Respondent) was desperately trying to make Petitioner Julia M. Robinson appear as if she had lost her mind on paper (in her medical records/notes) by visiting her hospital recovery room unannounced illegally, asking questions to desperately discredit her sworn statement about Kristian J. Halls cases and to illegally get exemptions (from our government in association with private research companies) for dangerous torturous experimental surgeries that were illegally performed without consent on Petitioner Julia M. Robinson (By Dr. Brittney Mason-Hirner) and on Kendall J. Hall (By Jane Does and John Does Dr.'s and Memorial Hospital Miramar Memorial Healthcare Systems Staff) at Memorial Hospital in Miramar FL after the birth of Kendall J. Hall in year 2020. All of these inhumane unconstitutional desperate disgusting acts of forced medical abuse racial discrimination without consent were done because Kristian J. Hall went Pro Se' which exposed The Eleventh Judiciary Clerk of Courts fraud pertaining to his fraudulent cases. The Defense along with private desperate medical human trafficking investors (wealthy people, celebrities, and Political figures) didn't want to have these cases overturned because medical human trafficking investors

(wealthy people, celebrities, and Political figures) profited from Petitioner Julia M. Robinson fraudulent medical notes/records staying the incorrect, illegal, and unconstitutional same way. The U.S. Supreme Court is the Highest Court in the world and is the only court that is a court of constitutional law and that can overturn The Petitioner's cases to correct this incorrect illegally placed medical records/notes that has illegally placed Petitioner Julia M. Robinson and family members lives in constant danger because they are being illegally subjected to torturous/non-therapeutic research over and over again. No one that profited off of the Petitioner illegally, and or caused physical harm to the Petitioner's, and or that participated in corruption or obstruction to desperately attempt to conceal what happened to the petitioners has ever been federally indicted, fired, or jailed. The U.S Supreme Court still has jurisdiction over The Petitioners case. The Petitioner is asking this court to please make an exception (because of extraordinary circumstance's) to allow the Petitioner to come to this court to argue her cases in person because our federal judiciary system illegally didn't allow (After motions for hearings were done) the Petitioner the right to come to court for illegal political groups selfish (political agenda) reasons (Desperately trying to control what Black African American Victims stories get told through the courts to not out do what certain politicians and attorneys claim their doing for our communities) by keeping Black African American Pro Se' The Petitioners (victims) illegally out of court hoping that The American Public wouldn't notice and to keep the Petitioner out of the media that they themselves are plastered all over and use to bring awareness to

what's going on in America nationally and internationally. The Petitioner is also asking for a response. The Respondents had a duty and owed service to The Petitioner's. The Respondents failed that duty, and violated promises, obligations, and contracts owed to The Petitioner's. The Petitioner suffered actual losses, injuries, and damages that were directly caused by the Respondents actions or failure to act. The Petitioner and her children are going to need hired security for the rest of their lives because of the number of criminals, Jane Doe's, John Doe's, Private Companies, and U.S. Government Employees involved in these War Crimes against The Petitioner, Witnesses, and The Petitioner's family. The Respondents Violated CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS, TREATIES, ECT and CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED listed under CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS, TREATIES, ECT and CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED. The facts in the Petitioners entire Complaint, Appeal, and Writ of Certiorari with evidence clearly show that The Petitioner is entitled by law to have their entire Complaint, Appeal, and Writ of Certiorari and other relief Sought in this case lawfully granted/overtured. "I Julia M. Robinson declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct."


Executed on February 2, 2026, Julia M. Robinson The Petitioners Signature

February 2, 2026,

County of Cobb
State of Georgia

Witnessed this 3rd day of February, 2026


Notary Public

U.S. SUPREME COURT CASE NO. 25-545

In The

Supreme Court of the United States

JULIA M. ROBINSON

PETITIONER,

V.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, FEDEX INC.,

FEDEX, JOHN DOES, and JANE DOES

RESPONDENTS,

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES

COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR REHEARING CERTIFICATION OF GOOD FAITH

JULIA M ROBINSON

2800 SPRING DR. SE SMYRNA COBB GA, 30080

Juliamrobinsonuscourtappealprose@yahoo.com

The Petitioner Julia M. Robinson is certifying that her Petition for Rehearing for case # 25-545 is/was presented in good faith and not for delay. The Petitioners Petition for Rehearing complies with USSC rules 33, 34, and 44 and the Petitioner paid all USSC filing fees to have her Petition for Rehearing properly placed on this courts docket and heard by The Justices of this court that didn't judge The Petitioners Writ of Certiorari before. This motion is in response to the deficiency letter dated February 11, 2026, for The Petitioner Julia M. Robinson.

"I Julia M Robinson declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct "Executed on February 23, 2026, Julia M. Robinson

The Petitioners Signature February 23, 2026



Beverly Ackles
2-23-2026

U.S. SUPREME COURT CASE NO. 25-545

In The

Supreme Court of the United States

JULIA M. ROBINSON

PETITIONER,

V.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

FEDEX INC., FEDEX, JANE DOES,

AND JOHN DOES

RESPONDENTS,

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR REHEARING CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT AND
COMPLIANCE

JULIA M ROBINSON

2800 SPRING DR. SE SMYRNA COBB GA, 30080

Juliamrobinsonuscourtappealprose@yahoo.com

This document complies with Rule 33. Document Preparation: Booklet Format; 6 1/8 by 9 1/4 inches, and or Booklet Height 9 1/4" (Vertical) Booklet Page 6 1/8" (Horizontal) in Booklet Format the word limit of 3,000 and this document has 2,997 words

Type of Document: Petition for Rehearing

Word Limits: 3,000


Color of Cover: Tan

USSC Rule forty-four (44.) Rehearing

(i) Petition for a Writ of Certiorari (Rule 14); Motion for Leave to File a Bill of Complaint and Brief in Support (Rule 17.3); Jurisdictional Statement (Rule 18.3); Petition for an Extraordinary Writ (Rule 20.2)


"I Julia M Robinson declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct "Executed on February 3, 2026, Julia M. Robinson

The Petitioners Signature February 3, 2026



County of Cobb
State of Georgia

Witnessed this 3rd day of February, 2026


Notary Public

