

December 16, 2025

Hon. Scott Harris  
Clerk of the Court  
United States Supreme Court  
1 First Street, N.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: Request for extension of time to file a response to the Petition for Certiorari in *City of Los Angeles, et al. v. Estate of Hernandez, et al.*, No. 25-538

Dear Mr. Harris,

I am counsel for Respondents in this case. Petitioners filed their Petition for a Writ of Certiorari on October 30, 2025. On December 1, Respondents filed a waiver of their right to respond. However, on December 9, the Court requested a response, which is due on January 8, 2026.

Pursuant to Rule 30.4, Respondents respectfully request that time for filing a response be extended by 30 days. Because a 30-day extension of time would make Respondents' brief due on Saturday, February 7, Respondents respectfully requests a due date of February 9, 2026.

Respondents requests this extension because of counsel's plans over the upcoming holidays and also a brief that is due in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in *Kirk v. United States* on January 22, 2026.

I have informed Petitioner's Counsel, Kevin E. Gilbert, of my request and he has said that he does not oppose the extension.

Thank you so much for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Erwin Chemerinsky  
Counsel of Record for Respondents

cc: Kevin E. Gilbert, [kgilbert@ohhlegal.com](mailto:kgilbert@ohhlegal.com)  
James L. Buchal, [jbuchal@mblp.com](mailto:jbuchal@mblp.com)