

25-5372

No.

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

AUG 01 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

In Re Olamide Olatayo Bello — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION

OLAMIDE OLATAYO BELLO #65100510

(Your Name)

Cimarron Correctional facility
3200 South kings Hwy

(Address)

Cushing, OK 74023

(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

RECEIVED

AUG 14 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1). Whether the district Judge is empowered to overturn the wrongful Jury's Conviction?
- 2). Whether the Continuous Criminal Prosecution with prior knowledge of no merit and with prior knowledge that the prosecution will be Overturn upon Conviction by the Upper Court is a waste of Federal Funds?
- 3). Whether Untimely termination of wrongful Criminal Prosecution by a district Court is an abuse of power and Judicial Misconduct?
- 4). Whether the denial of designation Record on Appeal is amount to denial of access-to-court and First Amendment Right?
- 5). Whether the Criminal Prosecution of 47 U.S.C §230 U.S.A Corporations' "Control and Manage" person without a Primary violation of "Controlled and Managed" Corporation as a result of 47 U.S.C §230 abuse of Product and Services by 47 U.S.C §230 users/customers/subscribers and after over 2 years and in the State of Texas, Contravene Louisiana Revised Statute §12:1502, Texas Business Organizations Code §§ 3.103, 21.223, and 151.004, and 47 U.S.C §230?
- 6). Whether Elon Musk, the CEO of twitter can be criminally prosecuted in a drug and money laundering Conspiracy on the ground that twitter users used the twitter platform to sell drug?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Hon. Judge Amos L. Mazzant III
United States Chief Judge
United States District Court
Eastern District of Texas
101 E. Pecan Street
Sherman TX 75090

RELATED CASES

- 1). 4:23CR136 (i)
- 2) Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit Cases
C.O.A Case # 25-40214, 25-40217, 25-40222, 25-40334, 25-40346

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

United States V. Bello
Crim. # 4:23CR136(1)

STATUTES AND RULES

Federal Rules OF Criminal Procedure, Rule 29
Federal Rules OF Appellate Procedure, Rule 10(b)(1)
Federal Rules OF Appellate Procedure, Rule 28(a)(8)(A)

OTHER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	6
CONCLUSION.....	7

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	8
APPENDIX B	9
APPENDIX C	
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of mandamus issue.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A _____.

☒ The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

First Amendment Right

Fifth Amendment Right due process

Fourteenth Amendment Right due process

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

- a) The Criminal Case Number 4:23cr136(1) was tried by a Jury on January 13, 2025, and after the government rest its Case-in-Chief, the trial Judge, Hon. Amos L. Mazzant III pronounced the prosecution "wrong" and the defendant Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello timely move for a Judgement of Acquittal Pursuant to Rule 29 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Hon. Judge Amos L. Mazzant III also pronounced after the government rest its Case-in-Chief that the Jury Conviction will be overturn by the Uper Court.
- b) Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello was convicted by a Jury in the Criminal Case Number 4:23cr136(1) on January 16, 2025 of two Counts of Conspiracy, One, Conspiracy to Commit Wire fraud in Violation of 18 U.S.C §1349, two, Conspiracy to Commit money Laundry in Violation of 18 U.S.C §1956(h).
- c). Hon. Judge Amos L. Mazzant III proceeded to Sentencing on July 24, 2025 and Sentenced Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello to 293 Months in prison Pending the resolution of Appeals e.g Appeal # 24-40839, 25-40073 etc
- d). Hon. Judge Amos L. Mazzant III improperly denied Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello Pro Se Motions For Order Designation of Records pursuant to Federal Rules of Appellate procedure, Rule 10(b)(1) and improperly denied Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello's his Constitutionally protected right to "redress of grievances" and his right to access-to-court, improperly denied Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello to adequately prepare his initial brief that will refer to the Court's attention to specific locations in the record that will refer to the Court's attention to specific locations in the record that support his arguments in accordance with Rule 28(a)(8)(A) of federal Rule of Appellate Procedure e.g arguments on "wrong" prosecution and Sentencing.
- e) Hon. Judge Amos L. Mazzant III was reminded of his pronounced "Wrong" Prosecution at sentencing hearing by Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello, and he affirmed the prosecution was "wrong" with a claim that it was the Jury that convicted Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello and denied his Judicial responsibility and mandate of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure Rule 29 and improperly blamed the Jury for the conviction.

5
f.) Hon. Judge Amos L. Mazzant III proceeded to sentencing, contravening the President Trump's Agenda to cut wasteful government's expenditures, part of the main purpose of "DOGE" knowing that every stage in Criminal Proceeding been founded by Federal Funds and proceeding to the Sentencing Lacks merit knowing that the Prosecution was "wrong" and will be overturned by the upper Court and failed to save Federal Funds cost of the Sentencing TO WIT: Cost of Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello's Continuous Incarceration.

g.) Hon. Judge Amos L. Mazzant III proceeded to sentencing with a claim it was the Jury's conviction questions the integrity of the Judicial Conduct and administration of Justice and failed to protect the Public Interest and save Tax Payers money, and an act of retaliation against defendant.

h.) Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello has been continuously incarcerated for over 23-months, he has lost two of his family to sickness and death due to lack of financial support from him, and his related businesses and corporations that depends on him financially are at the door of closing door for good after over 10 years in business

i.) Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello with two minor children has been away from his wife and two minor children for over 23-months. He was the sole provider for his family, a boy (5 yrs old), a girl (7 yrs old) and a wife.

~~Relief sought:~~

- a. Injunctive and declarative relief, enjoin and prohibit ^{future} Criminal Prosecution 4:23cr136(c) on the ground of insufficient evidence, lack of subject Matter Jurisdiction, unlawful wrong Prosecution, Selective Prosecution, Vindictive Prosecution, Speedy trial Violation, Hub-and-spoke Conspiracy
 - b. An immediate order of designation ^{transcript} record on appeal ^{request} to include the Jury trial and Sentencing transcripts properly transcribed for appellate purpose for review of this Court Send down to this Court for "wrong" prosecution ^{argument} review as pronounced by Hon Judge Amos L. Mazzant.
 - c. Order of immediate release of Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello from the custody
 - d. Order vacate the sentence and remand the case for judgment of acquittal
- 5

7. The prosecution and the only nexus in connection with Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello was based on the ground that Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello "Control and Manage" U.S.A 47 U.S.C §230 Corporations and without Primary violation of the section §230 Corporation that are "Controlled and managed". See the Court of Appeal For the Fifth Circuit Case Numbers ~~4~~ 25-40204, 25-40217, 25-40222, ~~25-40334~~ 25-40334, 25-40346, Appendix B.

Relief Sought:

- a. Injunctive and declarative relief, enjoin and prohibit future Criminal prosecution 4:23CR136(1) on the ground of Insufficient evidence, Lack of Subject-Matter Jurisdiction, unlawful Wrong prosecution, Selective prosecution, vindictive prosecution, speedy trial violation, unlawful Hub-and-spoke Conspiracy prosecution, and for reasons prohibited by the Constitution.
- b. An Immediate Order of transcripts to include the Jury trial, Sentencing, December 19, 2024 hearing, Jan 8, 2025 hearing, transcripts properly transcribed for appellate purpose, send down to this Court with a copy to Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello, and to be review by this Court for "wrong" prosecution argument and as it was pronounced after the government rest its case-in-chief as "wrong" prosecution by the trial Judge, and to be released to the public.
- c. An immediate order to release Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello from the custody
- d. An immediate order to vacate the sentence and remand the case for judgement of Acquitted in Criminal case # 4:23CR136(1)
- e. An Order Compelling Hon. Judge Amos L. Mazzant III to affirm his statement pronouncing the "wrongful" prosecution as a written order and stating why the prosecution was "wrong"

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. To aid the Court of Appeals Jurisdiction in pending Appeals
2. To protect the integrity of our Judicial System
3. To protect the public interest and administration of justice
4. To prevent Irreversible harm
5. For the interest of Department of Government Efficiency ("DOGE") and advance President's Trump agenda
6. Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello is Innocent of the Crime Charged.
7. To aid in building trust in Judges and hope for Justice without discrimination.
8. To Afford a defendant, Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello an independent assertion(s) that prosecution has brought the charge, conviction, and sentencing for reasons forbidden by the Constitution
9. Issuance of the requested Order prohibiting the prosecution for the reasons forbidden by the Constitution e.g selective and vindictive prosecution, the government may proceed directly to a judgment reviewable by the appellate court under 28 U.S.C §1542 and 35 U.S.C §141. If the government action in effectively suspending the prohibition is allowed to stand, the government will proceed to the testimony period and the issue here raised will be moot.
10. To Afford a defendant, Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello the right to due process as an instrument entitlement aimed at ensuring that a defendant is not wrongly deprived of his liberty or of an interest in property as guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment right

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of mandamus and/or prohibition should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Mr. Olamide Olatayo Bello



Date: 07/29/2025