


25-5349
No.

ORIGINAL



IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Paul Bruce

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

VS.

michael Karpf

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Superior Court of Douglas Georgia

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Paul Bruce

(Your Name)

1153 N Liberty St.

(Address)

Nicholls GA 31554

(City, State, Zip Code)

W/A

(Phone Number)

Question(s) Presented

- 1) I need to know how the Habeas Corpus Judge can dismiss a Habeas Petition without letting the Petitioner present any evidence or speak on his behalf.
- 2) How can the Supreme Court clerk refuse to correct her mistakes, they are her mistakes to correct not the Petitioner.
- 3) Can Prison officials namely the mail room staff, allowed to hold legal mail for
(5) Five days, thus making Petitioner's motion out of time.

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Michael Karpf . Judge
Therese Barnes . Clerk
COFFEE Correctional Facility

RELATED CASES

Johnson v Rogers 917F2d12831284 (10th Cir 1990)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	1) Transcript sheet , memorandum of concern D mandamus
APPENDIX B	Final order
APPENDIX C	motion for reconsideration
APPENDIX D	Letter to the clerk informing about error
APPENDIX E	Docketing paper stated petition was on time
APPENDIX F	Denied Certificate of Probable cause
Appendix G	motion to correct clerical Error
Appendix H	Copy of mail Log showing mail room Kept petitioner legal mail 5 days
Appendix I	Grievance Form (Against mail room staff)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Summer v Summer	117 GA 229 43 SE 485 1903 GA Lexis 203 (1903)	Pg 3
Baldwin v Sapp	238 GA 597 234 SE2d 513 (1977)	Pg 3
Salim v Salim	244 GA 513 260 SE2d 894 (1979) GA Lexis 131	Pg 3
Watson v Matthews	286 GA 784 692 SE2d 338 210 GA Lexis 271	Pg 5
Johnson v Rogers	917 F2d 1283 1284 (10th Cir 1990)	Pg 5
State v Hart	Ga App 8587 SE2d 164 (2003)	
Jessup v Bennett	226 GA 606 176 SE2d 811 970 GA Lexis 609 (1970)	Pg 4
Cotton v Taylor	37 F3d 632 1974 US App Lexis 28810 (5th Cir Miss 1994)	Pg 4
Martinez v United States	371 F3d 713 718 (10th Cir 2004)	Pg 4
Due Process	2 LE d 1575, 3 L 2d 1991 98 ALR 411	Pg 4

STATUTES AND RULES

9-14-14 - Hearing issues	Pg 4
9-14-23 Attachment for Contempt	Pg 3
GA uni Fsupr of R. 63 writ mandamus against a judge	Pg 3
17-1-3 Correct clerical error	Pg 3
9-14-52 Certificate of Probable Cause	Pg 4
Policy number 22.06 SOP #406.19 Legal mail	Pg 4

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at A/1A; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at n/A; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the dismissed court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was N/A.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was MAY 6 2025.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions involved

Petitioners 5th 6th and 14th Amendment right to due process due to 9-14-14 Hearing issue, Petitioner was not allowed to Present any evidence or speak on his behalf 9-14-23 Attachment for contempt

Petitioners 5th 6th and 14th Amendment rights to writ of mandamus Against a Judge, 9-6-20 when mandamus may issue exceptions and GA. UNIF. SUPR. OTR. 63 and O.C.G.A. 9-6-26 Respond to mandamus no less than (10) ten days no more than (30) thirty days, 9-11-23 Failure to respond to the Petition in time required is Attachment for Contempt for disobedience of writ *Salim v Salim* (1979) and *Johnson v Rogers* 917 F.2d 1283 1284 (10th Cir 1990)

Petitioners 5th 6th and 14th Amendment right to Access to the Court's when filing his Petition By Correctional STAFF (Mail Room STAFF Policy number 22:06 SOP # 406 19 Legal mail) STAFF not allowed to hold Legal mail no more than (24) twenty four hours and 17-1-3 Clerical Errors is due process Clerk disobedience, not fixing her errors

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioner had is second Evidentiary hearing on Aug 4, 2022 The Habeas Corpus Judge informed the Petitioner of a Real time Problem Referring to the Amount of cases to be heard, and the Habeas Judge told Petitioner that he wanted to get Pleacounsels testimony today and at the next Calendar Court I would be Allowed to present evidence and speak in regards to my Habeas Petition After (16) sixteen months ~~later~~ and no answer, Petitioner sent the Judge A memorandum of Concern in regards to Continue Petitioners habeas Corpus hearing and no answer (see Attached ^{APPA} memorandum letter) Petitioner filed A ^{APPA} motion for writ of mandamus with the court (See Attached mandamus) Again no response on July 3, 2024 the Petitioners Habeas Corpus was dismissed on All grounds, the Petitioner WAS never given A chance to present Any evidence or speak on his behalf, the Consitution states 5th Amendment due process and 14th Amendment, were is the Justice here. Just because I filed A mandamus to move the court to rezoom my Evidentiary hearing then you respond and dismiss my petition, SO Petition filed his Certificate of Probable Cause with the Supreme Court of Georgia Also filed the notice to Appeal in the superior court and Petitioner filed A Certificate of interested Person All within Accordance to Supreme Court rules 9-14-52 Appeals

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On July 24 20024 the Supreme court clerk sent me a letter stating your case has been docketed in the Supreme court CASE# S25 H0085 then on MAY-6-2025 the Petitioner recieved a letter stating he did not timely file a motion for Certificate of Probable cause to appeal his Petition, IF the Petitioner had not filed the Certificate of Probable Cause Petitioner would have never even gotten a letter stating his Certificate has been filed with a CASE number (See attached forms ^{APPE} Certificate of Probable cause and Certificate of intrested Person) these dockuments clearly state they are filed with the Court, However the Clerk has Put the wrong year on the docket case number S25 H0085 it should be S24 H0085 there are two clerical errors to be fixed so Petitioner filed a motion to correct clerical errors and got no answer (See attached form ^{APPG}) next the Petitioner filed a motion for reconsideration on May 15 2025 via Indigent Postage However Prison (COFFEE Correctional) mail room STAFF held Petitioners motion in the mail room for (5) Five days before forwarding Petitioners motion for reconsideration, rendering Petitioners motion out of time based on Rule 13(3) and Rule-27 this was on May 20 2025 (See attached forms) ^{APPC} this has made my case in the Supreme court of Georgia, has no Jurisdiction over this case

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The mail room STAFF Here at COFFEE Correctional Facility has told me that there stamp machine WAS not working From 5-15-25 thru 5-20-25 So Again the Petitioner Filed A Grievance on the mail room STAFF on June, 11, 2025 on July 9 2025 the Grievance was Partially granted However I have not been able to see what they granted, because of the mail room STAFF my Petition WAS dismissed So Petitioner is still waiting on the Appeal Answer. This CASE needs the right Case number, year, date, corrected that's number one (1) then this Petition would be in time and true and correct and should be remanded back to the Superior court for dismissal (see attached form) App I for Grievance.

Prior to the completion of this Petition, Petitioner received a letter in regards to motion to correct Clerical error (see attached form ^{APP D}) The Clerk claims Petitioner is wrong, However supreme court Rule 8 of the supreme court, case numbers start with a letter "S" followed by the docket year (see Attached form ^{APP E}) the docket form clearly it is marked with the wrong Case year number Also the clerk states Petitioner never filed a motion for certificate of Probable cause on time Petitioner's CASE WAS dismissed on MAY 6 2025 the Petitioner (see attached form) ^{APP C} the filed a motion for reconsideration (see attached form ^{APP H}) that's when the mail room staff kept Petitioner legal mail for (5) days making it out of time (see attached form ^{APP H})

4

Reasons For Granting The Petition
The Court should grant Certiorari Because
the Judge in the lower court dismissed Petitioners
Habeas corpus Because Petitioner filed a mandamus
to move the court to Proceed in his Habeas hearing
without further delay (1) nineteen month delay is
not Justice its disobedience and Contempt and
busy Court dockets are no excuse SEE Johnson v Rogers
F2d 1283 1284 (10th Cir 1990) this Court should have
no Problem in Remanding this case back to
the lower court without any more delays
19 months delay is Clearly not Justice and the
Court of clerk has made (2) two errors
and refuses to correct them Hows this
Justice Petitioner has Filed all the proper
motions By All Statutes correctly and
on time, I Pray that this Petition is granted
Because this is Pretty much the end, And
I have not gotten any Chance to present
and evidence on my behalf or even speak in
the court about my Habeas Corpus Petition
Again I Pray that you Grant my Petition for
Certiorari! Thank You

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 7-29-25

7-29-25
James H. [unclear]
exp 11/27/26

