No.

# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES JAE MICHAEL BERNARD

Petitioner,

v.

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent,

# ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

#### **APPENDIX**

Respectfully submitted,

STOWERS & NELSEN, PLC JAMES NELSEN COUNSEL OF RECORD West Glen Town Center 650 South Prairie View Drive, Suite 130 West Des Moines, IA 50266 Phone: (515) 224-7446

Phone: (515) 224-7446 Fax: (515) 225-6215

Email: james@stowersnelsen.com

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDIX A- OPINION FROM EIGHTH CIT	RCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
DATED MAY 9, 2025	Appendix A-1
APPENDIX B- JUDGMENT FROM U.S. DIST	RICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA DATED JU	
	, 11
APPENDIX C- REPORT AND RECOMMEND.	ATION CONCERNING PLEA OF
GUILTY DATED DECEMBER 28, 2022	Appendix C-14

# United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit

No. 23-2808

United States of America,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

V.

Jae Michael Bernard,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from United States District Court for the Northern District of Iowa - Cedar Rapids

Submitted: October 23, 2024 Filed: May 9, 2025

Before COLLOTON, Chief Judge, SMITH and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.

COLLOTON, Chief Judge.

Jae Michael Bernard appeals his conviction for unlawful possession of a firearm by a person convicted of a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence. See 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(9). Bernard moved to dismiss the indictment on the ground that

§ 922(g)(9) is unconstitutional on its face under the Second Amendment. The district court\* denied the motion, and we affirm.

The prosecution in this case was premised on a previous conviction for a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence. In 2002, Bernard was convicted in Iowa state court of domestic abuse assault causing injury. Federal law prohibits a person who has been convicted of a "misdemeanor crime of domestic violence" from possessing a firearm. *Id*.

In September 2021, investigators executed a search warrant at Bernard's residence and seized six firearms, nearly fifty firearm magazines, and over four thousand rounds of ammunition. Bernard pleaded guilty in June 2022 to a violation of § 922(g)(9). Bernard later moved to withdraw his plea and to dismiss the indictment in light of *New York State Rifle & Pistol Ass'n v. Bruen*, 597 U.S. 1 (2022). Bernard argued that § 922(g)(9) is unconstitutional on its face because there is no historical tradition supporting a categorical, lifetime ban on the possession of firearms by persons convicted of a misdemeanor offense. The district court denied the motion, and Bernard entered a conditional guilty plea, reserving his right to appeal the denial of his motion to dismiss. The court sentenced him to thirty-seven months' imprisonment.

Bernard brought only a facial challenge to § 922(g)(9). A facial challenge "is the 'most difficult challenge to mount successfully,' because it requires a defendant to 'establish that no set of circumstances exists under which the [statute] would be valid." *United States v. Rahimi*, 602 U.S. 680, 693 (quoting *United States v. Salerno*, 481 U.S. 739, 745 (1987)). To prevail, the government must show only that § 922(g)(9) is constitutional in at least some of its applications. *Id*. The government

<sup>\*</sup>The Honorable C.J. Williams, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Northern District of Iowa.

bears the burden to show that the challenged regulation "is consistent with the Nation's historical tradition of firearm regulation." *Bruen*, 597 U.S. at 24. The challenged regulation must be "relevantly similar' to laws that our tradition is understood to permit," *Rahimi*, 602 U.S. at 692 (quoting *Bruen*, 597 U.S. at 29), but the regulation need not "precisely match its historical precursors" or constitute an "historical twin." *Id*.

Section 922(g)(9) prohibits possession of a firearm by a person who has been convicted of a "misdemeanor crime of domestic violence." The offense of conviction must have "as an element, the use or attempted use of physical force, or the threatened use of a deadly weapon" against a person in an enumerated domestic relationship with the offender. *Id.* § 921(a)(33)(A)(ii). In other words, the crime "is one in which violence (actual or attempted) is an element of the offense." *United States v. Skoien*, 614 F.3d 638, 642 (7th Cir. 2010) (en banc); *see also United States v. White*, 593 F.3d 1199, 1206 (11th Cir. 2010) ("[A] person convicted under § 922(g)(9) must have first acted violently toward a family member or domestic partner, a predicate demonstrated by his conviction for a misdemeanor crime of violence.").

"The belief underpinning § 922(g)(9) is that people who have been convicted of violence once—toward a spouse, child, or domestic partner, no less—are likely to use violence again." Skoien, 614 F.3d at 642. Congress recognized that "[f]irearms and domestic strife are a potentially deadly combination." United States v. Castleman, 572 U.S. 157, 159 (2014) (quoting United States v. Hayes, 555 U.S. 415, 427 (2009)). Domestic abusers present an ongoing threat of physical violence, so "keeping the most lethal weapon out of their hands is vital to the safety of their relatives." Skoien, 614 F.3d at 643.

But "[e]xisting felon-in-possession laws . . . were not keeping firearms out of the hands of domestic abusers," *Hayes*, 555 U.S. at 426, because many perpetrators of domestic violence were convicted only of misdemeanors. *Castleman*, 572 U.S. at

160. Proponents of § 922(g)(9) sought to close this "dangerous loophole." *Hayes*, 555 U.S. at 426. By enacting the statute, Congress prohibited possession of firearms by persons "who present a credible threat to the physical safety of others," *Rahimi*, 602 U.S. at 700, including persons who pose "an unacceptable risk of dangerousness." *United States v. Jackson*, 110 F.4th 1120, 1129 (8th Cir. 2024).

"Our tradition of firearm regulation allows the Government to disarm individuals who present a credible threat to the physical safety of others." Rahimi, 602 U.S. at 700. As the Sixth Circuit recently explained, while the statute at issue in Rahimi disarmed persons who presently pose a threat of physical violence, § 922(g)(9) disarms persons who posed a past threat of physical violence and pose a present threat of physical violence due to high rates of recidivism. United States v. Gailes, 118 F.4th 822, 829 (6th Cir. 2024). "[O]ur Nation has always taken measures to prevent violence by people with firearms who pose a clear threat to others," and "people who were previously convicted of a domestic-violence misdemeanor fall squarely within the category of people who pose a clear threat to the physical safety of others." Id. at 830. On its face, therefore, § 922(g)(9) is "consistent with the principles that underpin our regulatory tradition." Rahimi, 602 U.S. at 692.

Bernard contends that § 922(g)(9) departs from historical tradition because it is a permanent restriction on firearm possession. He observes that *Rahimi* relied on surety laws that provided for only temporary restrictions on possession. In *Rahimi*, however, the challenged statute involved only a temporary restriction, *see* 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8), so it was unnecessary for the Court to address the constitutionality of a permanent or indefinite ban. But the Court reiterated that the prohibition on possession of firearms by felons is presumptively constitutional, 602 U.S. at 699, and this court has held that the permanent prohibition of § 922(g)(1) is indeed constitutional. *Jackson*, 110 F.4th at 1129. Although § 922(g)(9) encompasses certain misdemeanants, the prohibition is limited to those whose offenses involved

an actual or attempted use a physical force, or a threatened use of a deadly weapon. *Id.* § 921(a)(33)(A)(ii). Given the historical tradition of regulating firearms possession by those who present a credible threat of safety to others, a permanent or indefinite prohibition on gun possession by convicted domestic abusers is not unconstitutional on its face.

In any event, not all applications of § 922(g)(9) are permanent. As the Sixth Circuit explained, "the purported permanent ban in § 922(g)(9) may not always be so, given that domestic-violence misdemeanants 'can (1) petition to set aside their conviction; (2) seek a pardon; (3) have their conviction expunged; or (4) have their civil rights fully restored." Gailes, 118 F.4th at 829 (quoting Stimmel v. Sessions, 879 F.3d 198, 207 (6th Cir. 2018)); see 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33)(B)(ii). "Some of the largest states make expungement available as of right to misdemeanants who have a clean record for a specified time." Skoien, 614 F.3d at 645. "This means that § 922(g)(9) in its normal application does not create a perpetual and unjustified disqualification for a person who no longer is apt to attack other members of the household." Id. Bernard suggested at oral argument that a five-year prohibition on possession would be constitutionally permissible. That acknowledgment effectively dooms his facial challenge: some offenders may not live beyond five years; others may have their rights restored within five years; still others may violate the prohibition within five years. Even on Bernard's view of the Second Amendment, at least some applications of the statute are constitutional.

The Supreme Court's most recent pronouncement in this area did "not suggest that the Second Amendment prohibits the enactment of laws banning the possession of guns by categories of persons thought by a legislature to present a special danger of misuse." *Rahimi*, 602 U.S. at 698. This court has held that felons are one such category of persons. Because Bernard raises only a facial challenge to § 922(g)(9), we need not resolve whether Congress's categorical ban on possession by persons convicted of misdemeanor domestic violence offenses is likewise constitutional. It

is sufficient here to conclude that the statute is constitutional in at least some of its applications and thus not unconstitutional on its face.

The judgment of the district court is affirmed.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Iowa UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ) JUDGMENT IN A CRIMINAL CASE V. ) Case Number: 0862 1:22CR00003-001 JAE MICHAEL BERNARD ) USM Number: 82352-509 ORIGINAL JUDGMENT James Nelsen · AMENDED JUDGMENT Defendant's Attorney Date of Most Recent Judgment: THE DEFENDANT: pleaded guilty to count(s) 1 of the Indictment filed on January 6, 2022 pleaded nolo contendere to count(s) which was accepted by the court. was found guilty on count(s) after a plea of not guilty. The defendant is adjudicated guilty of these offenses: Title & Section **Nature of Offense** Offense Ended Count 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(9) Possession of Firearms by a Person Convicted of 09/29/2021 and 924(a)(2) **Domestic Violence** The defendant is sentenced as provided in pages 2 through 7 of this judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984. The defendant has been found not guilty on count(s) Count(s) is/are dismissed on the motion of the United States. It is ordered that the defendant must notify the United States Attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of name, residence, or mailing address until all fines, restitution, costs, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid. If ordered to pay restitution, the defendant must notify the court and United States Attorney of material changes in economic circumstances.

C.J. Williams

July 26, 2023

**United States District Court Judge** 

Name and Title of Judge

Signature of Judge

July 28, 2023

Date of Imposition of Judgment

Appendix B-7

DEF	CNID ANTE	TAR MICHAEL PROPERTY AND	Judgment — Page 2 of 7
	ENDANT: E NUMBER:	JAE MICHAEL BERNARD 0862 1:22CR00003-001	
0110	e weight.	0002 1.22CX00005-001	
		PROBATION	
	The defendant is	hereby sentenced to probation for a term of:	
		IMPRISONMENT	
	The defendant is	hereby committed to the custody of the Federal Bureau of	Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of:
	37 months on Co	ount 1 of the Indictment.	
	The court makes	the following recommendations to the Federal Bureau of P	
	It is recommend	led that the defendant be designated to a Bureau of Pris	risons: sons facility as close to the defendant's family os
	possible, comme	ensurate with the defendant's security and custody class	ification needs.
	The defendant is	remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.	
		ust surrender to the United States Marshal for this district:	
	_	a.m.	
	as notified by	y the United States Marshal.	
	The defendant mu	ust surrender for service of sentence at the institution design	nated by the Federal Bureau of Prisons:
	before 2 p m.	. on	
	as notified by	the United States Marshal.	
	as notified by	the United States Probation or Pretrial Services Office.	
		RETURN	
I hove	avaantad this inda-		
1 mave	executed this judgn	ient as follows:	
	Defendant deliver	red on	to
-4			
at		, with a certified copy of this judgme	nt.
		· <del></del>	UNITED STATES MARSHAL

Judgment—Page 3 of 7

DEFENDANT: CASE NUMBER: JAE MICHAEL BERNARD 0862 1:22CR00003-001

#### SUPERVISED RELEASE

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant will be on supervised release for a term of: 3 years on Count 1 of the Indictment.

# MANDATORY CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

1)	The	defendant must not commit another federal, state, or local crime.
2)	The	defendant must not unlawfully possess a controlled substance.
3)	The	defendant must refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance.  defendant must submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests eafter, as determined by the court.
		The above drug testing condition is suspended, based on the court's determination that the defendant poses a low risk of future controlled substance abuse. (Check, if applicable.)
4)		The defendant must cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer. (Check, if applicable.)
5)		The defendant must comply with the requirements of the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (34 U.S.C. § 20901, et seq.) as directed by the probation officer, the Bureau of Prisons, or any state sex offender registration agency in the location where the defendant resides, works, and/or is a student, and/or was convicted of a qualifying offense. (Check, if applicable.)
6)		The defendant must participate in an approved program for domestic violence. (Check, if applicable.)

The defendant must comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court as well as with any other conditions on the attached page.

Judgment—Page 4 of 7

DEFENDANT: CASE NUMBER: JAE MICHAEL BERNARD 0862 1:22CR00003-001

## STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

As part of the defendant's supervision, the defendant must comply with the following standard conditions of supervision. These conditions are imposed because they establish the basic expectations for the defendant's behavior while on supervision and identify the minimum tools needed by probation officers to keep informed, report to the court about, and bring about improvements in the defendant's conduct and condition.

- 1) The defendant must report to the probation office in the federal judicial district where the defendant is authorized to reside within 72 hours of the time the defendant was sentenced and/or released from imprisonment, unless the probation officer instructs the defendant to report to a different probation office or within a different time frame.
- 2) After initially reporting to the probation office, the defendant will receive instructions from the court or the probation officer about how and when the defendant must report to the probation officer, and the defendant must report to the probation officer as instructed. The defendant must also appear in court as required.
- 3) The defendant must not knowingly leave the federal judicial district where the defendant is authorized to reside without first getting permission from the court or the probation officer.
- 4) The defendant must answer truthfully the questions asked by the defendant's probation officer.
- The defendant must live at a place approved by the probation officer. If the defendant plans to change where the defendant lives or anything about the defendant's living arrangements (such as the people the defendant lives with), the defendant must notify the probation officer at least 10 days before the change. If notifying the probation officer in advance is not possible due to unanticipated circumstances, the defendant must notify the probation officer within 72 hours of becoming aware of a change or expected change.
- The defendant must allow the probation officer to visit the defendant at any time at the defendant's home or elsewhere, and the defendant must permit the probation officer to take any items prohibited by the conditions of the defendant's supervision that he or she observes in plain view.
- 7) The defendant must work full time (at least 30 hours per week) at a lawful type of employment, unless the probation officer excuses the defendant from doing so. If the defendant does not have full-time employment, the defendant must try to find full-time employment, unless the probation officer excuses the defendant from doing so. If the defendant plans to change where the defendant works or anything about the defendant's work (such as the defendant's position or the defendant's job responsibilities), the defendant must notify the probation officer at least 10 days before the change. If notifying the probation officer at least 10 days in advance is not possible due to unanticipated circumstances, the defendant must notify the probation officer within 72 hours of becoming aware of a change or expected change.
- 8) The defendant must not communicate or interact with someone the defendant knows is engaged in criminal activity. If the defendant knows someone has been convicted of a felony, the defendant must not knowingly communicate or interact with that person without first getting the permission of the probation officer.
- 9) If the defendant is arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer, the defendant must notify the probation officer within 72 hours.
- 10) The defendant must not own, possess, or have access to a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or dangerous weapon (i.e., anything that was designed, or was modified for, the specific purpose of causing bodily injury or death to another person such as nunchakus or tasers).
- 11) The defendant must not act or make any agreement with a law enforcement agency to act as a confidential human source or informant without first getting the permission of the court.
- 12) As directed by the probation officer, the defendant must notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by the defendant's criminal record or personal history or characteristics and must permit the probation officer to make such notifications and to confirm the defendant's compliance with such notification requirement.
- 13) The defendant must follow the instructions of the probation officer related to the conditions of supervision.

Judgment—Page 5 of

DEFENDANT: CASE NUMBER: JAE MICHAEL BERNARD 0862 1:22CR00003-001

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

The defendant must comply with the following special conditions as ordered by the Court and implemented by the United States Probation Office:

- 1. The defendant must submit the defendant's person, property, house, residence, vehicle, papers, computers [as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1030(e)(1)], other electronic communications or data storage devices or media, or office, to a search conducted by a United States Probation Officer. Failure to submit to a search may be grounds for revocation of release. The defendant must warn any other occupants that the premises may be subject to searches pursuant to this condition. The United States Probation Office may conduct a search under this condition only when reasonable suspicion exists that the defendant has violated a condition of supervision and that the areas to be searched contain evidence of this violation. Any search must be conducted at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner.
- 2. The defendant must participate in a mental health evaluation. The defendant must complete any recommended treatment program, and follow the rules and regulations of the treatment program. The defendant must take all medications prescribed to the defendant by a licensed medical provider.
- 3. The defendant must pay any fine, restitution, costs, and/or assessment imposed by this judgment
- 4. For as long as the defendant owes any fine, restitution, costs, and/or assessment imposed by this judgment, the defendant must provide the United States Probation Office with access to any requested financial information.
- 5. For as long as the defendant owes any fine, restitution, costs, and/or assessment imposed by this judgment, the defendant must not incur new credit charges or open additional lines of credit without the approval of the United States Probation Office unless the defendant is in compliance with the installment payment schedule.

These conditions have been read to me. I fully understand the conditions and have been provided a copy of them. Upon a finding of a violation of supervision, I understand the Court may: (1) revoke supervision; (2) extend the term of supervision; and/or (3) modify the condition of supervision.

Defendant	Date
United States Probation Officer/Designated Witness	Date

DEFENDANT: CASE NUMBER: JAE MICHAEL BERNARD 0862 1:22CR00003-001

Judgment 6 of 7

#### **CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES**

	The defendant must pa	y the total criminal	monetary penalties under t	he schedule of payments on	Sheet 6.	
	TOTALS	Assessment \$ 100	AVAA Assessment <sup>1</sup> \$ 0	JVTA Assessment <sup>2</sup> \$ 0	<u>Fine</u> \$ 1,000	Restitution \$ 0
	The determination of r		l until, An	Amended Judgment in a C	riminal Case (AO	245C) will be entered
	The defendant must m	ake restitution (inclu	ding community restitution	n) to the following payees in	n the amount list	ed below.
	If the defendant makes otherwise in the priorit victims must be paid b	y order or percentag	e payment column below.	approximately proportioned However, pursuant to 18 U	d payment, unles J.S.C. § 3664(i),	s specified all nonfederal
Nar	ne of Payee		Total Loss <sup>3</sup>	Restitution Ordered	Priori	ty or Percentage
TO	ΓALS	\$	\$	**		
	Restitution amount ord	dered pursuant to ple	ea agreement \$			
	fifteenth day after the	date of the judgment	tion and a fine of more than t, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3 ursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 361	a \$2,500, unless the restitution of the payment 2(g).	ion or fine is paid options on Shee	1 in full before the t 6 may be subject
	The court determined	that the defendant do	pes not have the ability to p	pay interest and it is ordered	l that:	
	the interest require	rement is waived for	the fine	restitution.		
	the interest require	rement for the	fine restitution is	modified as follows:		
<sup>1</sup> Ar <sup>2</sup> Jus	ny, Vicky, and Andy Cl stice for Victims of Trai	nild Pornography Vic ficking Act of 2015,	ctim Assistance Act of 201 , 18 U.S.C. § 3014.	8, Pub. L. No. 115-299.		

<sup>3</sup>Findings for the total amount of losses are required under Chapters 109A, 110, 110A, and 113A of Title 18 for offenses committed on or after September 13, 1994, but before April 23, 1996. Appendix B-12

Case 1:22-cr-00003-CJW-MAR Document 115 Filed 07/28/23 Page 6 of 7

The defendant must pay the following court cost(s):

(NOTE: For	Amended	Indoment	Identify	Changes w	rith Ac	tarieke (	*11

**DEFENDANT:** CASE NUMBER: JAE MICHAEL BERNARD 0862 1:22CR00003-001

SCHEDI		OF D	A NZWIEW	NTTPC
SCHEDI	1 1 L L H L			

Having assessed the defendant's ability to pay, payment of the total criminal monetary penalties is due as follows: \$ <u>1,100</u> due immediately; not later than in accordance with D. E, or F below; or Payment to begin immediately (may be combined with  $\square$  C, D, or F below); or Payment in equal (e.g., weekly, monthly, quarterly) installments of \$ over a period of (e.g., months or years), to commence (e.g., 30 or 60 days) after the date of this judgment; or (e.g., weekly, monthly, quarterly) installments of \$ (e.g., months or years), to commence (e.g., 30 or 60 days) after release from imprisonment to a term of supervision; or Payment during the term of supervised release will commence within (e.g., 30 or 60 days) after release from  $\mathbf{E}$ imprisonment. The court will set the payment plan based on an assessment of the defendant's ability to pay at that time; or  $\mathbf{F}$ Special instructions regarding the payment of criminal monetary penalties: It is ordered that \$1,000 of the defendant's fine obligation is payable immediately and the balance is due immediately in accordance with the following payment plan. If any of the defendant's court ordered financial obligations are still owed while the defendant is incarcerated, the defendant must make monthly payments in accordance with the Bureau of Prisons Financial Responsibility Program. The amount of the monthly payments will not exceed 50% of the funds available to the defendant through institution or non-institution (community) resources and will be at least \$25 per quarter. If the defendant still owes any portion of the financial obligation(s) at the time of release from imprisonment, the defendant must pay it as a condition of supervision and the United States Probation Office will pursue collection of the amount due pursuant to a payment schedule approved by the Court. The defendant must notify the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Iowa within 30 days of any change of the defendant's mailing or residence address that occurs while any portion of the financial obligation(s) remains unpaid. Unless the court has expressly ordered otherwise, if this judgment imposes imprisonment, payment of criminal monetary penalties is due during imprisonment. All criminal monetary penalties, except those payments made through the Federal Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program, are made to the clerk of the court. The defendant will receive credit for all payments previously made toward any criminal monetary penalties imposed. ☐ Joint and Several Defendant and Co-Defendant Names and Case Numbers (including defendant number), Total Amount, Joint and Several Amount, and corresponding payee, if appropriate. The defendant must pay the cost of prosecution.

Payments shall be applied in the following order: (1) assessment, (2) restitution principal, (3) restitution interest, (4) AVAA assessment, (5) fine principal, (6) fine interest, (7) community restitution, (8) JVTA assessment, (9) penalties, and (10) costs, including cost of prosecution and court costs.

The defendant must forfeit the defendant's interest in the following property to the United States:

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

VS.

No. 22-cr-3-CJW-1

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

JAE MICHAEL BERNARD.

Defendant.

On December 27, 2022, the above-named defendant appeared before the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge by consent and, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11, conditionally pleaded guilty to Count 1 of the Indictment, Possession of a Firearm by a Person Convicted of Domestic Violence, in violation of 18 U.S.C. Sections 922(g)(9) and 924(a)(2). After cautioning and examining Defendant under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that Defendant's decision to plead guilty was knowing and voluntary, and the offense charged was supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of the offense. I therefore RECOMMEND that the Court ACCEPT Defendant's guilty plea and adjudge Defendant guilty.

At the commencement of the Rule 11 proceeding, I placed Defendant under oath and explained that if Defendant answered any question falsely, the Government could prosecute Defendant for perjury or for making a false statement. I also advised Defendant that in any such prosecution, the Government could use against Defendant any statements made under oath.

I then asked Defendant a number of questions to ensure Defendant had the requisite mental capacity to enter a plea. I elicited Defendant's full name, age, and extent of education. I also inquired into Defendant's history of mental illness; use of illegal and/or prescription drugs; and use of alcohol. From this inquiry, I determined Defendant was not suffering from any mental disability that would impair Defendant's ability to make a knowing, intelligent, and voluntary guilty plea.

Defendant acknowledged receipt of a copy of the Indictment and further acknowledged that Defendant had fully discussed the Indictment with Defendant's counsel. Defendant acknowledged that Defendant had fully conferred with Defendant's counsel prior to deciding to plead guilty and that Defendant was satisfied with counsel's services.

I fully advised Defendant of all the rights Defendant would be giving up if Defendant decided to plead guilty, including the following:

- 1. The right to assistance of counsel at every stage of the case;
- 2. The right to a speedy, public trial;
- 3. The right to have the case tried by a jury selected from a cross-section of the community;
- 4. That Defendant would be presumed innocent, and would be found not guilty unless the Government could prove each and every element of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt;
- 5. That Defendant would have the right to see and hear all of the Government's witnesses, and Defendant's attorney would have the right to cross-examine any witnesses called by the Government;
- 6. That Defendant would have the right to subpoena witnesses to testify at the trial, and if Defendant could not afford to pay the costs of bringing these witnesses to court, then the government would pay those costs;

- 7. That Defendant would have the privilege against self-incrimination: i.e., Defendant could choose to testify at trial, but need not do so; if Defendant chose not to testify, then the Court would instruct the jury that it could not draw any adverse inference from Defendant's decision not to testify; and
- 8. That any verdict by the jury would have to be unanimous.

I explained that if Defendant pleaded guilty, Defendant would be giving up all of these rights, there would be no trial, and Defendant would be adjudged guilty just as if Defendant had gone to trial and a jury returned a guilty verdict against Defendant.

### Plea Agreement

I determined that Defendant was pleading guilty pursuant to the Third Memorandum of a Proposed Plea Agreement between the United States Attorney's Office and Defendant ("the plea agreement"). After confirming that a copy of the written plea agreement was in front of Defendant and Defendant's counsel, I determined that Defendant understood the terms of the plea agreement. I summarized the plea agreement, and made certain Defendant understood its terms.

#### Conditional Plea

I determined that Defendant was entering a conditional plea; that is, Defendant reserved the right to withdraw his guilty plea regarding the issues raised in Defendant's motion to withdraw plea and motion to dismiss indictment (Doc. 54) which resulted in the District Court's order (Doc. 71).

## Elements of Crime and Factual Basis

I summarized the charges against Defendant and listed the elements of the crime charged. I determined that Defendant understood each and every element of the crime, and Defendant's counsel confirmed that Defendant understood each and every element of the crime charged. For the offense to which Defendant was pleading guilty, I elicited a full and complete factual basis for all elements of the crime charged in the Indictment.

Defendant's attorney indicated that the offense to which Defendant was pleading guilty was factually supported.

#### Sentencing

I explained to Defendant that the district judge will determine the appropriate sentence at the sentencing hearing. I explained that the Court will use the advisory United States Sentencing Guidelines to calculate Defendant's sentence. I explained that the sentence imposed might be different from what the advisory guidelines suggest it should be and may be different from what Defendant's attorney had estimated.

I explained that a probation officer will prepare a written presentence investigation report and that Defendant and Defendant's counsel will have an opportunity to read the presentence report before the sentencing hearing and will have the opportunity to object to the contents of the report. I further explained that Defendant and Defendant's counsel will be afforded the opportunity to present evidence and be heard at the sentencing hearing.

I also explained that if the mandatory minimum sentence applies, the sentencing judge cannot sentence Defendant to a sentence below fifteen years in prison on Count 1, which is the statutory mandatory minimum, even if the judge wants to.

I advised Defendant of the consequences of the guilty plea, including the possibility of a mandatory minimum sentence, the maximum term of imprisonment, the maximum term of supervised release, and the maximum fine. Specifically, I advised Defendant that Count 1 of the Indictment is punishable by the following maximum penalties: (1) not more than ten years in prison without the possibility of parole; (2) a period of supervised release following prison of not more than three years; and (3) a fine of not more than \$250,000. I further advised Defendant that if the Court finds Defendant has three previous convictions for a violent felony or a serious drug offense, or both, committed on occasions different from one another, then pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section

924(e)(1), Count 1 of the Indictment is punishable by a mandatory minimum sentence of fifteen years in prison and the following maximum penalties: (1) not more than life in prison without the possibility of parole; (2) a period of supervised release following prison of not more than five years; and (3) a fine of not more than \$250,000.

I explained that the Court will impose conditions of supervised release, and that if Defendant violates a condition of supervised release, then the Court could revoke Defendant's supervised release and require Defendant to serve all or part of the term of supervised release in prison, without credit for time previously served on supervised release. I advised Defendant that regardless of the sentence imposed, there would be no possibility of parole. I also advised Defendant that the Court will impose a mandatory special assessment of \$100.00, which Defendant must pay. I advised Defendant of the collateral consequences of pleading guilty. Defendant acknowledged understanding all of the above consequences.

I also explained that both the Government and Defendant have the right to appeal Defendant's sentence.

Defendant confirmed that the decision to plead guilty was voluntary; was not the result of any promises; and was not the result of anyone threatening, forcing, or pressuring Defendant to plead guilty. I explained that after the district judge accepts Defendant's guilty plea, Defendant will have no right to withdraw the plea at a later date, even if the sentence imposed is different from what Defendant anticipated.

Defendant confirmed that Defendant still wished to plead guilty, and Defendant pleaded guilty to Count 1 of the Indictment.

I find the following with respect to the guilty plea:

1. Defendant's plea is voluntary; knowing; not the result of force, threats or promise; and Defendant is fully competent.

- 2. Defendant is aware of the minimum and maximum punishment for the count to which Defendant pleaded guilty.
- 3. Defendant knows of and voluntarily waived Defendant's jury trial rights.
- 4. There is a factual basis for the plea.
- 5. Defendant is guilty of the crime to which Defendant pleaded guilty.

I found by clear and convincing evidence that Defendant is not likely to flee or to pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community. Therefore, in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Sections 3143(a) and 3142(c), Defendant was released pursuant to the terms of bond, and Defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshals Service on a date to be determined by the United States District Judge.

I explained that the Parties have fourteen (14) days from the filing of this Report and Recommendation to file any objections to my findings, and that if no objections are made, then the district judge may accept Defendant's guilty plea by simply entering a written order doing so. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b). But see, United States v. Cortez-Hernandez, 673 Fed. App'x 587, 590-91 (8th Cir. 2016) (per curiam) (suggesting that a Defendant may have the right to de novo review of a magistrate judge's recommendation to accept a plea of guilty even if no objection is filed). The district court judge will undertake a de novo review of the Report and Recommendation if a written request for such review is filed within fourteen (14) days after this Report and Recommendation is filed.

DONE AND ENTERED at Cedar Rapids, Iowa, this 28th day of December, 2022.

Mark A. Roberts, United States Magistrate Judge Northern District of Iowa