

Plain And Simple English

25-5198

No. 25-6070

(1:23-CV-01396)

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

MAY 15 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

COREY LEE BUTTS — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

CHADWICK DOTSON — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

COREY LEE BUTTS
(Your Name)

C/o 701 Sanderson Road
(Address)

Chesapeake, Virginia
(City, State, Zip Code)

Non-Domestic
None
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. [I do not understand.]
2. I claim Common Law Jurisdiction.
3. I'm not sure I understand what you mean; If you mean Questions like As Follows, Then here are my Questions:
 - Do I have the right to face my Accuser?
 - Do I have the right to A Fair Trial?
 - Do I have the right to Due Process of Law?
 - Do I have the right to Confront witnesses used against me?
 - Do I have the right to be Secure in my Person and Property?
 - Do I have the right to not be Forced to endure involuntary Servitude?
 - Do I have the right to claim the Nation, The indigenous status/descent of my Foremothers and Forefathers?
 - Was Slavery of peoples of African Descent Abolished in the year 1865?
 - Did the Judge in this Case Swear an Oath in God's Name to uphold the Constitution for the United States of America?
 - Do I have the right to be Protected under the Laws of the United States of America, being that I Am An American?
 - The Constitution Grants two Criminal Jurisdictions, one is a Common Law Jurisdiction, The other is a colorable Admiralty/Maritime Jurisdiction, Do I have the right to be tried under ~~the~~ common law Jurisdiction?
 - Do I have the Free Power of choice to contract to Terms and Conditions that I Agree with?
 - Do you know that without an injured Party detaining a Private American Civilian is in violation of that Oath?
 - Where's the victim?
 - Who is the injured Party?
 - What is the crime?
 - Who is making the Complaint?

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

1. [I donot understand.]
2. I Claim Common Law Jurisdiction.
3. Ruhstrat v. People, 57 NE 41
4. Erie Railroad vs. Thompkins case of 1938.
5. Girty vs. Logan, 6 Bush KY 8. which states: "It is an elementary rule of pleading, that a plea to the jurisdiction is a tacit admission that the court has a right to judge in the case and is a waiver to all exception to the jurisdiction." which means that if you plea - you have waived your rights to challenge jurisdiction; But in this case I never entered a plea, the Judge miglizzozi and Judge Fulton entered a plea on my behalf against my will and consent, which is practicing Law from the bench because I did not give the Attorney or the Judge permission to act on my behalf.
6. "An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no rights; it imposes no duties; affords no protection; it creates no office; it is in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed." Norton v. Shelby County, 118 U.S. 425 p. 442

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A-Opinions and Decisions of Virginia Court of Appeals
APPENDIX B-Opinions and Decisions of Virginia Supreme Court
APPENDIX C-Opinions and decisions of The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia Alexandria Division
APPENDIX D-Opinions and decisions of The United States Court of Appeals for the fourth circuit
APPENDIX E
APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

(See Attachment(s))/[Appendix]

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

1. Erie Railroad vs. Thompsons Case of 1938.
2. "Dred Scott. Sandford" 60 US (19 Howard) 393 (1857)
3. Main v. Thiboutot, 100 S. ct. 2502 (1980)
4. Basso v. Utah Power & Light Co. 495 F2d 906, 910. 3 of 6
5. Miranda v. Arizona 384 US 436, 125 3 of 6
6. Sherer v. Cullen 481 F. 945. 4 of 6
7. Hoffsommer v. Hayes, 92 OKla 32, 227 F. 417. 4 of 6
8. Fay v. Noia, 372 U.S. 391, 83 S. Ct 822, 91 Ed. 2d. 837 4 of 6
9. Zeigler v. Railroad co. 58 Ala. 599. 8 of 8
10. Ruhstrat v. People, 57 NE 41

STATUTES AND RULES

1. Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure "Rule 3"
2. 5 U.S.C § 702 Right of Review (1), (2)"
3. Title 28 U.S.C.S- F.R.C.P.
4. Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1985, 1986
5. Title 18 U.S.C. ch. 13 sec. 241, 242, 247
6. Title 4 U.S.C. A Chapter 1 sec. 1, sec. 2
7. "Chap. 48, 48 Stat. 112"

OTHER

1. Morocco- Treaty of Peace and Friendship - 1786-87/1836.
2. The Sundry Free Moors Act of 1790.
3. The 1781 Organic United States Constitution.
4. The United Nations "Declaration of the Rights of the Child"
- General Assembly Resolution 1386 (XIV), 14 U.N. GAOR Supp. (No, 16) at 19 U.N. Document A/4354 (1959).
5. The United States Supreme Court - 'Acts of State'

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from federal courts: I claim common law jurisdiction.

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix 7 to Appendix D (See Attachment(s)/)

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

☒ reported at [I do not understand.]; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from state courts: I claim common law jurisdiction.

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix B to the petition and is see Attachments/Appendix

☒ reported at [I do not understand.]; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Virginia Court of Appeals court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at [I do not understand.]; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from federal courts: *I claim Common Law Jurisdiction.*

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided ^{The ~~my~~ ^{case}} was [4/15/2025].

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from state courts: *I claim Common Law Jurisdiction.*

The date on which the highest state court decided ^{The ~~my~~ ^{case}} was [8/8/2023].
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix B.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. Morocco-Treaty of Peace and Friendship - 1786-87/1836.
2. The Constitution for the United States of America Republic.
3. The Virginia constitution.
4. "Chap. 48, 48 Stat. 112"
5. Title 28 U.S.C.S. - F.R.C.P.
6. Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Rule 3.
7. Title 5 U.S.C. § 702 Right of Review
8. Title 18 U.S.C Chapter 13 Sec. 242, Sec. 241, Sec. 247.
9. Title 4 U.S.C.A chapter 1 Sec. 1, Sec. 2.
10. Foreign Immunity - S.I.A of 1976, 28 USC 1601
11. Erie Railroad vs. Thompkins Case of 1938.
12. "Dred scott. Sandford" 60 US (19 Howard) 393 (1857)

(See Attachment 1-8) STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. (See Attachment(s)) / [Appendix]

2. "without Prejudice UCC 1-308/1-207, UCC 1-103"

"I reserve my right not to be compelled to perform under any Contract or Commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, voluntarily and intentionally. I do not accept the liability of the Compelled benefit of any unrevealed Contract or Commercial agreement."

3. I claim Common Law Jurisdiction.

4. The Constitution for the United States of America Contract; The Bill of Rights, VI Amendment says that I have the right to be confronted with the witnesses against me; The Virginia Constitution Contract says that I have the right to Face my Accuser; In this case I, [Corey-Lee: Butts-Bey], the claimant, was not Allowed to face my Accuser or Confront the witnesses against me, This violates both The original Constitution Contract of America, And the Virginia constitution Contract. The Alleged victim in this case never came to court. There is No victim in this case! Therefore, I demand that this case is dismissed for failure of the court to uphold the Constitution(s). Failure of the courts to uphold my Constitutional rights.

5. I declare and Proclaim that I, [Corey-Lee: Butts-Bey.] Am A Moorish American National, For the Record.

6. It is important to note that I reserved my right not to be compelled to perform under any contracts ~~that~~ or commercial agreements that I did not enter into knowingly, willingly, or intelligently, on the record, for the record, while I was in open court, in the Norfolk circuit court; I also asked the Judge what Jurisdiction am I being tried under but he ~~did not~~ ^{Refused to} respond, They made me stay in the Lock up cell, And I was not able to be present during trial, because I kept asking lawful questions. I did not sign a pre-sentence report, They didn't let me have a preliminary hearing.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. [I do not understand.]
2. I claim Common Law Jurisdiction.
3. "The law Provides that once State and Federal Jurisdiction has been challenged, it must be Proven." *Main v. Thiboutot*, 100 S. Ct. 2502 (1980)
4. "Jurisdiction can be challenged at any time." and "Jurisdiction Once challenged, cannot be assumed and must be decided."
Basso v. Utah Power & Light Co. 495 F 2d 906, 910.
5. "Constitutional 'rights' would be of little value if they could be indirectly denied. *Gomillion v. Lightfoot*, 364 U.S. 155 (1966), Cited also in *Smith v. Allwright*, 321 U.S. 649. 644
6. For a crime to exist, there must be an injured Party (Corpus Delicti.) There can be no sanction or penalty imposed on one because of this Constitutional Right. *Sherer v. Cullen* 481 F. 945
7. "Once challenged, Jurisdiction cannot be assumed, it must be Proved to exist." *Stuck v. Medical Examiners* 94 Ca 2d 751. 211 P 2d 389.
8. "There is no discretion to ignore that lack of Jurisdiction." *Joyce v. US*, 474 F 2d 215.
9. "The burden shifts to the court to Prove Jurisdiction." *Rosemond v. Lambert*, 469 F 2d 416
10. "where the court is without Jurisdiction, it has no authority to do anything other than to dismiss the case. *Fontenot v. State*, 932 S. W. 2d 185" Judicial action without Jurisdiction is void." *Id* (1966
11. "... In our country the People are sovereign and the government cannot sever it's relationship to them by taking away their citizenship." *Perez v. Brownell*, 356 U.S. 44, 7; 8 S. Ct. 568, 2 L Ed. 2d 603 (1958)

12. Girty vs. Logan, 6 Bush KY 8. which states: "It is an elementary rule of pleading, that a plea to the jurisdiction is a tacit admission that the Court has a right to Judge in the case and is a waiver to all exception to the jurisdiction." I never entered a plea, the Judge And Attorney entered a plea on my behalf ~~with~~ without my permission, I always claimed Common Law Jurisdiction. I cannot be time barred under a Jurisdiction that I never Agreed to. Erie Railroad vs. Thompkins

Case of 1938.

13. "where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no 'rule-making' or legislation which would abrogate them."

MIRANDA V. ARIZONA, 384 U.S. 436 (1966) 491; 86 S. Ct. 1603

14. (Zeigler v. Railroad Co., 58 Ala. 599.)

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.


Respectfully submitted,
"at arm's length"
"Without Prejudice 1-308"

[Corey-Lee: Butts-Bey]
[Copy-Right/Copy-claimant.]

Date: [July-29-2025]

NOTARY PUBLIC: N. Smith
(Print)

NOTARY PUBLIC: [Signature]
(Signature)



Subscribed and sworn/Affirmed to before me This 9th day of July, 2025.

STATE OF VIRGINIA

COUNTY/CITY OF Chesapeake