NO
IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
JOSHUA WILLIS,
Petitioner,
v.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Respondent.
On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit
APPENDIX TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Case No. 1:22-cr-00186-RMR Document 54 filed 02/27/23 USDC Colorado pg 1

AO 245B (CO Rev. 11/20)

Judgment in a Criminal Case

# United States District Court

Distr	ict of Colorado
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	) JUDGMENT IN A CRIMINAL CASE )
JOSHUA WILLIS	) Case Number: 1:22-cr-00186-RMR-1
	USM Number: 09243-510
	Stephanie Maureen Snyder and Leah Deborah Yaffe
THE DEFENDANT:	) Defendant's Attorney
☑ pleaded guilty to count(s) 1 of the Indictment	
pleaded nolo contendere to count(s) which was accepted by the court.	
was found guilty on count(s) after a plea of not guilty.	
The defendant is adjudicated guilty of these offenses:	
Fitle & Section Nature of Offense  8 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1) Possession of a Firearm and Ammun  The defendant is sentenced as provided in pages 2 through he Sentencing Reform Act of 1984.	ition by a Prohibited Person  Offense Ended 02/15/2022  1  7 of this judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to
☐ The defendant has been found not guilty on count(s)	
□ Count(s) □ is □	are dismissed on the motion of the United States.
	ates attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of name, residence, assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid. If ordered to pay attorney of material changes in economic circumstances.  February 23, 2023  Date of Imposition of Judgment
	Regina M. Rodriguez, United States District Judge  Name and Title of Judge
	February 27, 2023
	Date

**USDC** Colorado Case No. 1:22-cr-00186-RMR Document 54 filed 02/27/23 pg 2

AO 245B (CO Rev. 11/20) Judgment in Criminal Case

Judgment — Page 2 of JOSHUA WILLIS DEFENDANT: CASE NUMBER: 1:22-cr-00186-RMR-1 **IMPRISONMENT** The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Federal Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of: twenty-four (24) months  $\times$ The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons: The Court recommends the defendant participate in any mental health or substance abuse treatment available to him. The Court also recommends the service of his federal sentence commence as of the date of sentencing.  $\times$ The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: a.m. p.m. as notified by the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal. as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office. **RETURN** I have executed this judgment as follows:

	Defendant delivered on	t	to	
at _		, with a certified copy of this judgmen	nt.	
				UNITED STATES MARSHAL

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL

Case No. 1:22-cr-00186-RMR Document 54 filed 02/27/23 USDC Colorado pg 3

AO 245B (CO Rev. 11/20) Judgment in Criminal Case

7.

Judgment — Page \_\_\_\_3 of \_\_\_\_7

DEFENDANT: JOSHUA WILLIS CASE NUMBER: 1:22-cr-00186-RMR-1

# SUPERVISED RELEASE

Upon release from imprisonment, you will be on supervised release for a term of: three (3) years.

# **MANDATORY CONDITIONS**

1.	You	must not commit another federal, state or local crime.					
2.							
3.	<u>, i</u>						
	impr	isonment and a maximum of 20 tests per year of supervision thereafter.					
	•	☐ The above drug testing condition is suspended, based on the court's determination that you pose a low risk of future					
		substance abuse. (check if applicable)					
4.		You must make restitution in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §§ 3663 and 3663A or any other statute authorizing a sentence of					
		restitution. (check if applicable)					
5.	$\boxtimes$	You must cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer. (check if applicable)					
6.		You must comply with the requirements of the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (34 U.S.C. § 20901, et seq.) as					
		directed by the probation officer, the Bureau of Prisons, or any state sex offender registration agency in the location where you					

You must comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court as well as with any other conditions on the attached page.

reside, work, are a student, or were convicted of a qualifying offense. (check if applicable)

You must participate in an approved program for domestic violence. (check if applicable)

Case No. 1:22-cr-00186-RMR Document 54 filed 02/27/23 USDC Colorado pg

AO 245B (CO Rev. 11/20) Judgment in Criminal Case

Judgment — Page 4 of 7

DEFENDANT: JOSHUA WILLIS CASE NUMBER: 1:22-cr-00186-RMR-1

# STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

As part of your supervised release, you must comply with the following standard conditions of supervision. These conditions are imposed because they establish the basic expectations for your behavior while on supervision and identify the minimum tools needed by probation officers to keep informed, report to the court about, and bring about improvements in your conduct and condition.

- 1. You must report to the probation office in the federal judicial district where you are authorized to reside within 72 hours of your release from imprisonment, unless the probation officer instructs you to report to a different probation office or within a different time frame.
- 2. After initially reporting to the probation office, you will receive instructions from the court or the probation officer about how and when you must report to the probation officer, and you must report to the probation officer as instructed.
- 3. You must not knowingly leave the federal judicial district where you are authorized to reside without first getting permission from the court or the probation officer.
- 4. You must answer truthfully the questions asked by your probation officer.
- 5. You must live at a place approved by the probation officer. If you plan to change where you live or anything about your living arrangements (such as the people you live with), you must notify the probation officer at least 10 days before the change. If notifying the probation officer in advance is not possible due to unanticipated circumstances, you must notify the probation officer within 72 hours of becoming aware of a change or expected change.
- 6. You must allow the probation officer to visit you at any time at your home or elsewhere, and you must permit the probation officer to take any items prohibited by the conditions of your supervision that he or she observes in plain view.
- 7. You must work full time (at least 30 hours per week) at a lawful type of employment, unless the probation officer excuses you from doing so. If you do not have full-time employment you must try to find full-time employment, unless the probation officer excuses you from doing so. If you plan to change where you work or anything about your work (such as your position or your job responsibilities), you must notify the probation officer at least 10 days before the change. If notifying the probation officer at least 10 days in advance is not possible due to unanticipated circumstances, you must notify the probation officer within 72 hours of becoming aware of a change or expected change.
- 8. You must not communicate or interact with someone you know is engaged in criminal activity. If you know someone has been convicted of a felony, you must not knowingly communicate or interact with that person without first getting the permission of the probation officer.
- 9. If you are arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer, you must notify the probation officer within 72 hours.
- 10. You must not own, possess, or have access to a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or dangerous weapon (i.e., anything that was designed, or was modified for, the specific purpose of causing bodily injury or death to another person such as nunchakus or tasers).
- 11. You must not act or make any agreement with a law enforcement agency to act as a confidential human source or informant without first getting the permission of the court.
- 12. If the probation officer determines that you pose a risk to another person (including an organization), the probation officer may, after obtaining Court approval, notify the person about the risk or require you to notify the person about the risk and you must comply with that instruction. The probation officer may contact the person and confirm that you have notified the person about the risk.
- 13. You must follow the instructions of the probation officer related to the conditions of supervision.

# U.S. Probation Office Use Only

A U.S. probation officer has instructed me on the conditions specified by the court and has provided me with a written copy of this
judgment containing these conditions. For further information regarding these conditions, see Overview of Probation and Supervised
Release Conditions, available at: www.uscourts.gov.

Defendant's Signature	Date	
		•

Case No. 1:22-cr-00186-RMR Document 54 filed 02/27/23 USDC Colorado pg 5 of 7

AO 245B (CO Rev. 11/20) Judgment in Criminal Case

Judgment — Page \_\_\_\_\_5 \_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_7

DEFENDANT: JOSHUA WILLIS CASE NUMBER: 1:22-cr-00186-RMR-1

# SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

- 1. You must participate in a program of testing and/or treatment for substance abuse approved by the probation officer and follow the rules and regulations of such program. The probation officer, in consultation with the treatment provider, will supervise your participation in the program as to modality, duration, and intensity. You must abstain from the use of alcohol or other intoxicants during the course of treatment. You must not attempt to obstruct, tamper with or circumvent the testing methods. You must pay for the cost of testing and/or treatment based on your ability to pay.
- 2. You must participate in a program of mental health treatment approved by the probation officer and follow the rules and regulations of such program. The probation officer, in consultation with the treatment provider, will supervise your participation in the program as to modality, duration, and intensity. You must pay for the cost of treatment based on your ability to pay.
- 3. You must submit your person, property, house, residence, papers, or office, to a search conducted by a United States probation officer. Failure to submit to search may be grounds for revocation of release. You must warn any other occupants that the premises may be subject to searches pursuant to this condition. An officer may conduct a search pursuant to this condition only when reasonable suspicion exists that you have violated a condition of your supervision and that the areas to be searched contain evidence of this violation. Any search must be conducted at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner.

Case No. 1:22-cr-00186-RMR Document 54 filed 02/27/23 USDC Colorado

AO 245B (CO Rev. 11/20) Judgment in Criminal Case

Judgment — Page 6 of 7

pg 6

DEFENDANT: JOSHUA WILLIS CASE NUMBER: 1:22-cr-00186-RMR-1

# **CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES**

The defendant must pay the total criminal monetary penalties under the schedule of payments on the following page.

T(	OTALS	\$	Assessment 100.00	\$	Restitution 0.00	\$	<u>Fine</u> 0.00	\$	AVAA Assessment 0.00	ent*	\$	JVTA Assessment**
	The deter		ion of restitution is mination.	deferi	red until	•	An Amend	led Judgn	nent in a Crimino	al Ca	se (2	4O 245C) will be entered
	The defer	ıdant 1	must make restituti	ion (in	cluding commu	nity resti	tution) to th	e followir	ng payees in the an	nount	list	ed below.
	the priori	ty orde										ss specified otherwise in al victims must be paid
Na	ame of Pay	<u>ee</u>				Total l	_oss***	<u>R</u>	estitution Ordere	<u>ed</u>	<u>F</u>	Priority or Percentage
TO	OTALS				<b>\$</b>			;	\$			
	Restitutio	n amo	ount ordered pursua	ant to p	olea agreement	\$						
	fifteenth o	day afi		udgme	ent, pursuant to	18 U.S.C	C. § 3612(f).	. All of th	the restitution or fi ne payment options			I in full before the bllowing page may be
	The court	deter	mined that the defe	endant	does not have to	he abilit	y to pay inte	erest and it	t is ordered that:			
	☐ the ir	iterest	requirement is wa	ived fo	or the	ine $\square$	restitution	1.				
	$\Box$ the in	iterest	requirement for th	ne 🗆	fine $\square$	restitutio	on is modifi	ed as follo	ows:			

<sup>\*</sup> Amy, Vicky, and Andy Child Pornography Victim Assistance Act of 2018, Publ. L. No. 115-299.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act of 2015, Pub. L. No. 114-22.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Findings for the total amount of losses are required under Chapters 109A, 110, 110A, and 113A of Title 18 for offenses committed on or after September 13, 1994, but before April 23, 1996.

Case No. 1:22-cr-00186-RMR Document 54 filed 02/27/23 USDC Colorado pg 7 of 7

AO 245B (CO Rev. 11/20) Judgment in Criminal Case

Judgment — Page \_\_\_\_7 of \_\_\_\_7

DEFENDANT: JOSHUA WILLIS CASE NUMBER: 1:22-cr-00186-RMR-1

# **SCHEDULE OF PAYMENTS**

Hav	ing a	ssessed the defendant's ability to pay, payment of the total criminal monetary penalties is due as follows:
A		Lump sum payment of \$ due immediately, balance due
		□ not later than , or □ in accordance with □ C, □ D, □ E, or □ F below; or
В	$\boxtimes$	Payment to begin immediately (may be combined with $\Box$ C, $\Box$ D, or $\Box$ F below); or
С		Payment in equal (e.g., weekly, monthly, quarterly) installments of \$ over a period of (e.g., months or years), to commence (e.g., 30 or 60 days) after the date of this judgment; or
D		Payment in equal (e.g., weekly, monthly, quarterly) installments of \$ over a period of (e.g., months or years), to commence (e.g., 30 or 60 days) after release from imprisonment to a term of supervision; or
Е		Payment during the term of supervised release will commence within (e.g., 30 or 60 days) after release from imprisonment. The court will set the payment plan based on an assessment of the defendant's ability to pay at that time; or
F		Special instructions regarding the payment of criminal monetary penalties:
duri Inm	ng th	ne court has expressly ordered otherwise, if this judgment imposes imprisonment, payment of criminal monetary penalties is due to period of imprisonment. All criminal monetary penalties, except those payments made through the Federal Bureau of Prisons' inancial Responsibility Program, are made to the clerk of the court.  Indant shall receive credit for all payments previously made toward any criminal monetary penalties imposed.
	Join	nt and Several
	Defe	e Number endant and Co-Defendant Names Corresponding Payee, if luding defendant number) Total Amount Joint and Several Amount appropriate
	The	e defendant shall pay the cost of prosecution.
	The	e defendant shall pay the following court cost(s):
		defendant shall forfeit the defendant's interest in the following property to the United States: Remington rifle, model 597, caliber .22LR, with serial number D2957673, and 2) the recovered ammunition.

Payments shall be applied in the following order: (1) assessment, (2) restitution principal, (3) restitution interest, (4) AVAA assessment, (5) fine principal, (6) fine interest, (7) community restitution, (8) JVTA assessment, (9) penalties, and (10) costs, including cost of prosecution and court costs.

2024 WL 857058

Only the Westlaw citation is currently available. United States Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

UNITED STATES of America, Plaintiff - Appellee,

V.

Joshua WILLIS, Defendant - Appellant.

No. 23-1058 | FILED February 29, 2024

(D.C. No. 1:22-CR-00186-RMR-1) (D. Colorado)

### **Attorneys and Law Firms**

Elizabeth Ford Milani, Office of the United States Attorney, Denver, CO, for Plaintiff - Appellee.

Leah Deborah Yaffe, Office of the Federal Public Defender, Denver, CO, for Defendant - Appellant.

Before PHILLIPS, BRISCOE, and CARSON, Circuit Judges.

## ORDER AND JUDGMENT \*\*

### Joel M. Carson III, Circuit Judge

\*1 A grand jury indicted Defendant Joshua Willis on one count of possession of a firearm and ammunition in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). Defendant pleaded guilty to this crime. Defendant's record includes three prior felony convictions—one for first degree criminal trespass, one for criminal impersonation to gain a benefit, and one for first degree aggravated motor vehicle theft.

Congress long ago prohibited felons—even non-violent felons—from possessing firearms. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). Defendant moved to dismiss the indictment against him based on the Supreme Court's decision in New York State Rifle & Pistol Association, Inc. v. Bruen, 597 U.S. 1 (2022), which created a new test for the scope of the right to possess firearms. Defendant brought both a facial and an as-applied challenge to the constitutionality of the ban. <sup>1</sup> The district court denied Defendant's motion to dismiss. Defendant pleaded guilty but preserved his right to appeal the denial of his motion to dismiss. The district court sentenced Defendant to twenty-four months' imprisonment and three years' supervised release.

Defendant timely filed a notice of appeal. While his appeal was pending, we decided <u>Vincent v. Garland</u>, 80 F.4th 1197 (10th Cir. 2023), holding that <u>Bruen</u> does not expressly overrule our precedent from <u>United States v. McCane</u>, 573 F.3d 1037 (10th Cir. 2009). Accordingly, we upheld the constitutionality of § 922(g)(1) in <u>Vincent</u>.

Even so, Defendant argues on appeal that § 922(g)(1) violates the Second Amendment—both facially and as-applied to him—because the Government has not, and cannot, establish a historical tradition of disarming felons under <u>Bruen</u>. But Defendant acknowledges that <u>Vincent</u> forecloses his Second Amendment challenges to § 922(g)(1), and he brings these arguments for preservation only.

Exercising jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, we affirm the district court's decision upholding the constitutionality of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1).

AFFIRMED.

### **All Citations**

Not Reported in Fed. Rptr., 2024 WL 857058

#### **Footnotes**

- \* After examining the briefs and appellate record, this panel has determined unanimously to honor the parties' request for a decision on the briefs without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(f); 10th Cir. R. 34.1(G). The case is therefore submitted without oral argument.
- \*\* This order and judgment is not binding precedent, except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata, and collateral estoppel. It may be cited, however, for its persuasive value consistent with Fed. R. App. P. 32.1 and 10th Cir. R. 32.1.
- Defendant also asserts that Congress exceeded its Commerce Clause authority in enacting the relevant portion of § 922(g)(1). Defendant recognizes that this claim is foreclosed by <u>United States v. Urbano</u>, 563 F.3d 1150 (10th Cir. 2009) and must fail but brings it anyway for preservation purposes only.

**End of Document** 

© 2025 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.

Appellate Case: 23-1058 Document: 58 Date Filed: 11/08/2024 Page: 1

# Supreme Court of the United States Office of the Clerk Washington, DC 20543-0001

Scott S. Harris Clerk of the Court (202) 479-3011

November 8, 2024

Clerk
United States Court of Appeals
for the Tenth Circuit
Byron White United States Courthouse
1823 Stout Street
Denver, Colorado 80257-2505

Re: Joshua Willis v. United States No. 23-7776 (Your docket No. 23-1058)

Dear Clerk:

Attached please find a certified copy of the judgment of this Court in the above-entitled case.

Sincerely,

SCOTT S. HARRIS, Clerk

By

M. Altner

MARther

Assistant Clerk - Judgments

Enc.

cc: All counsel of record

Appellate Case: 23-1058 Document: 58 Date Filed: 11/08/2024 Page: 2

# Supreme Court of the United States Office of the Clerk Washington, DC 20543-0001

Scott S. Harris Clerk of the Court (202) 479-3011

November 8, 2024

Ms. Leah D. Yaffe, Esq. Office of the Federal Public Defender 633 17<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1000 Denver, CO 80202

Mrs. Elizabeth B. Prelogar, Esq. Solicitor General United States Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

> Re: Joshua Willis v. United States No. 23-7776

### Greetings:

Today, a certified copy of the judgment of this Court in the above-entitled case was emailed to the Clerk of the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

Sincerely,

SCOTT S. HARRIS, Clerk

By

M. Altner

Assistant Clerk - Judgments

cc: Clerk, 10th Cir.

(Your docket No. 23-1058)

Appellate Case: 23-1058 Document: 58 Date Filed: 11/08/2024 Page: 3

# **Supreme Court of the United States**

No. 23-7776

## JOSHUA WILLIS,

Petitioner

v.

### **UNITED STATES**

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

THIS CAUSE having been submitted on the petition for writ of certiorari and the response thereto.

ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is ordered and adjudged by this Court that the motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and the petition for writ of certiorari are granted. The judgment of the above court is vacated, and the case is remanded to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit for further consideration in light of *United States* v. *Rahimi*, 602 U. S. \_\_\_ (2024).

October 7, 2024



Appellate Case: 23-1058 Document: 59 Date Filed: 11/12/2024 Page: 1

# FILED United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

# FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

**November 12, 2024** 

Christopher M. Wolpert Clerk of Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JOSHUA WILLIS,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 23-1058 (D.C. No. 1:22-CR-00186-RMR-1) (D. Colo.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# ORDER

Before PHILLIPS and CARSON, Circuit Judges.\*

\_\_\_\_\_

The Supreme Court of the United States entered a judgment on November 8, 2024 reversing the judgment of this court and remanding the cause for further proceedings.

Upon further consideration, the mandate issued to the United States District Court for the District of Colorado on March 22, 2024 is recalled effective immediately and the judgment of this court filed February 29, 2024 is vacated.

Counsel will be notified if this court desires further briefing prior to disposition of

<sup>\*</sup> The Honorable Mary Beck Briscoe, Senior Circuit Judge, took inactive senior status after the decision was issued in this appeal, and before this matter was remanded by the United States Supreme Court. The practice of this court permits the remaining two panel judges, if in agreement, to act as a quorum. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 46(d); *see also United States v. Wiles*, 106 F.3d 1516, 1516 n.\* (10th Cir.1997) (noting this court allows remaining judges to act as a quorum to resolve an appeal).

Appellate Case: 23-1058 Document: 59 Date Filed: 11/12/2024 Page: 2

this appeal upon remand.

Entered for the Court

CHRISTOPHER M. WOLPERT, Clerk

by: Jane K. Castro Chief Deputy Clerk Appellate Case: 23-1058 Document: 60 Date Filed: 12/27/2024 Page: 1

# FILED

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

# FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

**December 27, 2024** 

United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit

> Christopher M. Wolpert Clerk of Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JOSHUA WILLIS,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 23-1058 (D.C. No. 1:22-CR-00186-RMR-1) (D. Colo.)

# **ORDER**

This matter is before the court on Appellant's *Petition for Initial En Banc Review* following remand by the Supreme Court.

The petition for initial *en banc* review was transmitted to all of the judges on the court who are in regular active service. As no judge in regular active service on the court requested that the court be polled, the petition seeking initial *en banc* review is denied pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 40(c).

Entered for the Court

CHRISTOPHER M. WOLPERT, Clerk

by: Jane K. Castro

Chief Deputy Clerk

2025 WL 687029

Only the Westlaw citation is currently available. United States Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit.

UNITED STATES of America, Plaintiff - Appellee,

V.

Joshua WILLIS, Defendant - Appellant.

No. 23-1058

FILED March 4, 2025

(D.C. No. 1:22-CR-00186-RMR-1) (D. Colorado)

### **Attorneys and Law Firms**

Elizabeth Ford Milani, Office of the United States Attorney, Denver, CO, for Plaintiff - Appellee.

John C. Arceci, O. Dean Sanderford, Leah Deborah Yaffe, Office of the Federal Public Defender, Denver, CO, for Defendant - Appellant.

Before PHILLIPS and CARSON, Circuit Judges. \*, 1

## ORDER AND JUDGMENT \*\*

### Joel M. Carson III, Circuit Judge

\*1 A grand jury indicted Defendant on one count of possession of a firearm in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1) which prohibits felons from possessing firearms. Defendant moved to dismiss the indictment against him under New York State Rifle & Pistol Association, Inc. v. Bruen, 597 U.S. 1 (2022). The district court denied his motion. He pled guilty to the charge but preserved his right to appeal the motion to dismiss. The district court sentenced Defendant to twenty-four months' imprisonment and three years' supervised release.

In his 2023 appeal, Defendant brought both a facial and an as-applied challenge to the constitutionality of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g) (1). While Defendant's appeal was pending, we decided Vincent v. Garland, 80 F.4th 1197 (10th Cir. 2023) (hereinafter Vincent I), in which we held that the Supreme Court's decision in Bruen does not expressly overrule United States v. McCane, 573 F.3d 1037 (10th Cir. 2009)—which upheld § 922(g)(1)'s constitutionality. After we issued Vincent I, Defendant filed an unopposed motion to expedite decision. In that motion, he acknowledged that Vincent I forecloses Second Amendment challenges to § 922(g)(1) but contended that an expedited decision would allow him to promptly petition for rehearing so that he may receive timely relief from his sentence if he succeeded. Exercising jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, we granted Defendant's motion to expedite decision and affirmed the district court's decision upholding the constitutionality of § 922(g)(1). United States v. Willis, No. 23-1058, 2024 WL 857058 (10th Cir. Feb. 29, 2024).

On July 2, 2024, the Supreme Court vacated our dismissal in <u>Vincent I</u> and remanded for reconsideration in light of its recent <u>United States v. Rahimi</u>, 602 U.S. 680 (2024) decision. <u>See Vincent v. Garland</u>, 144 S. Ct. 2708 (2024) (mem.) (hereinafter <u>Vincent II</u>). The Supreme Court likewise vacated our judgment in this case and remanded for reconsideration in light of <u>Rahimi</u> on October 7, 2024. <u>Willis v. United States</u>, 145 S. Ct. 122 (2024) (mem.).

On remand in <u>Vincent I</u>, we concluded that <u>Rahimi</u> did not undermine our earlier reasoning or result, and reiterated that under <u>McCane</u> and <u>Rahimi</u>, the Second Amendment does not render § 922(g)(1) unconstitutional. <u>Vincent v. Bondi</u>, No. 21-4121, 2025 WL 453999, at \*1 (10th Cir. Feb. 11, 2025) (hereinafter <u>Vincent III</u>).

We are now in the same position as when we resolved Defendant's appeal in 2023: Supreme Court and Tenth Circuit precedent (now <u>Vincent III</u>) forecloses Defendant's facial and as-applied challenges to § 922(g)(1).

AFFIRMED.

### **All Citations**

Not Reported in Fed. Rptr., 2025 WL 687029

### **Footnotes**

- \* After examining the briefs and appellate record, this panel has determined unanimously that oral argument would not materially assist in the determination of this appeal. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); 10th Cir. R. 34.1(G). The case is therefore ordered submitted without oral argument.
- The Honorable Mary Beck Briscoe participated in this appeal originally but did not participate in this Order and Judgment. The practice of this court permits the remaining two panel judges, if in agreement, to act as a quorum in resolving the appeal. <u>Gallardo v. United States</u>, 752 F.3d 865, 867 n.\* (10th Cir. 2014) (quoting <u>United States v. Wiles</u>, 106 F.3d 1516, 1516 n. \* (10th Cir. 1997)).
- \*\* This order and judgment is not binding precedent, except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata, and collateral estoppel. It may be cited, however, for its persuasive value consistent with Fed. R. App. P. 32.1 and 10th Cir. R. 32.1.

**End of Document** 

© 2025 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.

Appellate Case: 23-1058 Document: 63 Date Filed: 06/02/2025 Supreme Court of the United States Page: 1 2025 Non 2 MID: 35

# Office of the Clerk Washington, DC 20543-0001

Scott S. Harris Clerk of the Court (202) 479-3011

May 29, 2025

Clerk United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit Byron White Courthouse 1823 Stout Street Denver, CO 80257

> Re: Joshua Willis v. United States Application No. 24A1162 (Your No. 23-1058)

## Dear Clerk:

The application for an extension of time within which to file a petition for a writ of certiorari in the above-entitled case has been presented to Justice Gorsuch, who on May 29, 2025, extended the time to and including July 2, 2025.

This letter has been sent to those designated on the attached notification list.

Sincerely,

Scott S. Harris, Clerk

Rashonda Garner

Case Analyst

Appellate Case: 23-1058 Document: 63 Date Filed: 06/02/2025 Page: 2

# Supreme Court of the United States Office of the Clerk Washington, DC 20543-0001

# NOTIFICATION LIST

Scott S. Harris Clerk of the Court (202) 479-3011

Ms. Leah D. Yaffe Office of the Federal Public Defender 633 17th Street, Suite 1000 Denver, CO 80202

Mr. D. John Sauer Solicitor General United States Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001

Clerk United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit Byron White Courthouse 1823 Stout Street Denver, CO 80257