

Kevin E. Steele
Valparaiso Office
steele@bcclegal.com

November 7, 2025

The Honorable Scott Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20543

RE: Request for extension of time to file a response to the petition
Jacqueline Sterling v. Southlake Nautilus & Racquet Club, Inc.
Supreme Ct. No. 25-497

Dear Mr. Harris:

I am counsel for Respondent Southlake Nautilus & Racquet Club, Inc (“Respondent”), in this case. Petitioner’s Petition was docketed on October 22, 2025. Absent an extension, Respondent’s response is due on November 21, 2025. Pursuant to Rule 30.4, Respondent respectfully requests that the time to file a response be extended by thirty days. Respondent’s response would be due on December 22, 2025 (December 21, 2025 is thirty days but is a Sunday).

This request for an extension is Respondent’s first. Good cause exists for the requested extension. Respondent’s counsel has numerous other professional commitments prior to the current deadline, including preparing an appellee’s brief in a case pending in the Indiana Court of Appeals. The requested extension is necessary to ensure Respondent and its counsel have an adequate opportunity to review and respond to the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari in light of these other commitments. The requested extension will also better enable preparation of a response that will be most helpful to the Court. Further, there are no circumstances that necessitate a speedy ruling on the Petition.

Accordingly, Respondent requests a thirty-day extension of time, to and including December 22, 2025, to file its brief in opposition to the Petition for Writ of Certiorari. Before writing this letter, I attempted to inform Petitioner’s counsel - Samuel A. Shelist – of Respondent’s intent to request a thirty-day extension. I did not hear back from Mr. Shelist concerning the matter.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kevin E. Steele