

# L I C H T E N & L I S S - R I O R D A N , P . C .

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

WWW.LLRLAW.COM

HAROLD L. LICHTEN\*  
SHANNON LISS-RIORDAN\*<sup>Δ</sup><sup>◇</sup>  
SARAH SCHALMAN-BERGEN\*  
MATTHEW W. THOMSON\*  
THOMAS P. FOWLER\*<sup>◇</sup>  
BRADLEY MANEWITH\*  
KRYSTEN CONNON\*<sup>▲</sup>  
OLENA SAVYTSKA\*

729 BOYLSTON STREET, SUITE 2000  
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02116

TELEPHONE 617-994-5800  
FACSIMILE 617-994-5801

× ADMITTED IN MASSACHUSETTS  
Δ ADMITTED IN CALIFORNIA  
◇ ADMITTED IN NEW YORK  
■ ADMITTED IN PENNSYLVANIA  
● ADMITTED IN ILLINOIS  
^ ADMITTED IN NEW JERSEY  
○ ADMITTED IN WASHINGTON D.C.

BRENDAN BALLOU\*  
MICHAEL ROMANO\*  
JACK BARTHOLET\*  
TREVOR BYRNE\*  
MORINE MITCHELL\*  
KELLY GEDDES\*  
HODA KATEBI<sup>▲</sup>

November 6, 2025

## VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

The Honorable Scott Harris  
Clerk of the Court  
Supreme Court of the United States  
1 First Street, N.E. 20543  
Washington, DC 20543

**Re: Request for extension of time to file a response to the petition for a writ of certiorari, *Eli Lilly and Co., et al. v. Richards*, No. 25-476**

Dear Mr. Harris:

I am counsel for Respondent Monica Richards (“Respondent”) in the above-referenced matter. Petitioners filed their Petition for a Writ of Certiorari on October 15, 2025. The Petition was placed on the docket on October 17, 2025. Absent an extension, Respondent’s response is due on November 17, 2025.

Pursuant to Rule 30.4, Respondent respectfully requests that the time for filing a response to the Petition be extended by thirty (30) days, to December 17, 2025. This request for an extension is Respondent’s first. Good cause exists for the requested extension. Respondent’s counsel has numerous other professional commitments prior to the current deadline, including a motion for class certification, oppositions to two motions to compel arbitration, and an opposition and reply regarding cross-motions for summary judgment, all in cases before federal courts. The requested extension is necessary to ensure Respondent and her counsel have an adequate opportunity to review and respond to the Petition in light of these other commitments.

Additionally, a number of third parties have indicated their intention to file *amicus* briefs in support of the Petition. The requested extension will allow Respondent to address the arguments of the *amici* and therefore better enable preparation of a response that will be most helpful to the Court. Further, there are no circumstances that necessitate a speedy ruling on the Petition.

I have conferred with Petitioners’ counsel Jonathan Linas of Jones Day, who has indicated that Petitioners do not oppose a thirty (30) day extension.

# L I C H T E N   &   L I S S - R I O R D A N ,   P . C .

Thank you for your attention to this matter

/s/ Harold Lichten  
Harold Lichten

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Harold Lichten, hereby certify that the foregoing document was served by Priority Mail on the following counsel for Petitioners on November 6, 2025:

Noel J. Francisco  
Jones Day  
51 Louisiana Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20001  
(202) 879-3939  
njfrancisco@jonesday.com  
*Counsel for Petitioners*

Jonathan Linas  
E. Michael Rossman  
Jones Day  
110 North Wacker Drive, Suite 4800  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 269-4245  
jlinas@jonesday.com  
emrossman@jonesday.com  
*Counsel for Petitioners*

Dated: November 6, 2025

/s/ Harold Lichten  
Harold Lichten