

25-432

25A89

In The

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

CARL PUCKETT et., al.

Carl Puckett "Pro-Se" and Marcella Pockett "Pro-Se"
PETITIONERS,

V.

JABBAR et., al..

RESPONDENTS,

On Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari to United States
Court Of Appeals Sixth Circuit No. 24-5282, 24-5537

***MOTION FOR RECUSAL OF THE HONORABLE
CHIEF JUSTICE JOHN ROBERTS*** 28 U.S.C. 455

CARL PUCKETT

2170 Old Gardner Road

Martin, Tn. 38237

(731)446-4104

PETITIONER "PRO-SE"

xfilesxfilesfan@yahoo.com

MARCELLA PUCKETT

2170 Old Gardner Road

Martin, Tn. 38237

(731)446-4104

PETITIONER "PRO-SE"

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Comes now Petitioners Carl Puckett “Pro-Se” and Marcella Puckett “Pro-Se” respectfully request pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 455 that the Honorable Chief Justice John Roberts recuse himself from this case.

FACTS

The Honorable Chief Justice John Roberts is Chairman of the Federal Judicial Center Board where the Honorable magistrate judge Anthony E. Porcelli, was elected in March 2021 and served with the Honorable Chief Justice John Roberts until 3.2025. The honorable magistrate judge Anthony E. Porcelli’s actions without complete jurisdiction and as a RICO defendant are directly involved in this case. The Honorable magistrate judge Anthony E. Porcelli served as one of seven judges on the board with the Honorable Chief Justice Roberts, where together, the board engages in research and ongoing education and training within the federal courts.

Petitioners believe that due to this close affiliation and relationship with the Honorable magistrate judge Anthony E. Porcelli, the Honorable Chief John Roberts could not be impartial and unbiased in this matter (*see Judicial Disqualification An Analysis of Federal Law Third Edition 2020 Federal Judicial Center*) and therefore respectfully request that the Honorable Chief Justice John Roberts respectfully recuse himself from this case.

Moreover, the Honorable Chief Justice John Roberts is head of the Federal Judicial Center

responsible for the ongoing training and education of the lower court judges where the policies and procedures developed by FJC are in direct conflict with and unconstitutionally usurp the legislative branches intent under 28 U.S.C. 371 et., seq. regarding senior status judges. Policies and procedures which serve to unconstitutionally usurp the power of the executive branch under the Appointment clause of the constitution with a similar political intent behind the prior midnight judges act of 1801 that was deemed unconstitutional and repealed in 1802.

The validity and constitutionality of the 2 senior judges and an autopen appointment circuit panel judge are direct issues and questions in this case. Due to the Honorable Chief Justices position and actions related to this matter which is directly in question. Additionally, the appointment clause authorizes the president to exercise executive authority to fill vacancies upon judges actually claiming senior status, whereas, in this case and others judges are expressing intent to claim once a nomination is confirmed which the president has no executive authority to exercise until the vacancy is actually created. Petitioners do not believe that the Honorable Chief Justice John Roberts could remain fair and impartial as constitutionally required and set for by his own Federal Judicial Center (*see Judicial Disqualification An Analysis of Federal Law Third Edition 2020 Federal Judicial Center*) and therefore respectfully request that the Honorable

Chief Justice John Roberts respectfully recuse himself from this case.

Respectfully Submitted,

We declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct Executed this 25th day of August, 2025 in Martin, Tennessee.


Carl Puckett Jr. Petitioner pro-se


Marcella Puckett Petitioner pro-se

NO. 25A89

In The
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

CARL PUCKETT et., al.

Carl Puckett “Pro-Se” and Marcella Pockett “Pro-Se”

PETITIONERS,

V.

JABBAR et., al.,

RESPONDENTS,

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Marcella Puckett, do swear or declare that on this date of March, 2025, as required by Supreme Court Rule I have served the enclosed MOTION FOR RECUSAL of the honorable Chief Justice John Roberts, on each party to the above proceeding or that party’s counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid to The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

The Brickell IP Group
1101 BRICKELL AVE S TOWER FL 8
MIAMI, FL 33131
305-728-8830 Email: rguerra@brickellip.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff/Respondent Axencis, Inc's
client Ain Jeem Inc, Defendant Deborah Morales,
Brickell IP Group Pllc, Attorney Gueraa pro-se
Attorney Weaver pro-se,pro-se but also representing
Defendant Kareem ABdul Jabbar DBA AIn Jeem INc
Defendant Deborah Morales DBA Iconomy

Akerman Law for defendant Alejandro Fernandez
71 S Wacker Drive, 46th floor
Chicago, Il. 60606

Nicole Fondura-defendant pro-se
1449 Oakwood Drive
MIami Florida, 33166

Littler Mendelson Firm for defendant Etsy, Inc.
40 S. Main Street, STE. 2500
Memphis, Tn. 38103

Baker Donaldson for defendant NBA, NBA
properties
165 Madison AVe, ste. 2000
Memphis, Tn 38103

Gordon, Rees, Scully, Mansukhami Law Firm
Stephen Milbrath-Defendant
4020 Aspen Grove Drive, ste. 500
Franklin, Tn 37067

Holland & Knight
Jessica Sarah Kramer - defendant
100 N. Tampa STreet, ste. 4100
Tampa, Fl. 33602

U.S. Attorney's Office
Virginia M. Hernandez-Covington-defendant
Anthony E. Porcelli - defendant
167 N. Main St. ste. 800
Memphis, Tn. 38103

Atty Kerry Steven Culpepper
Axencis Inc. - defendant
Chris Stavro defendant
Ralph schoenfelder defendant
75-`70 Haulalai Road, Ste B204
Kailua Kona, Hi, 96740

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing
is true and correct. Executed on this 25th day of
August. 2025.


Marcella Puckett Petitioner pro-se