

In the Supreme Court of the United States

PAMELA BONDI, ATTORNEY GENERAL., PETITIONER

v.

MUK CHOI LAU

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

As required by Supreme Court Rule 33.1(h), I hereby certify that the Brief in Opposition in *Bondi v. Lau*, S. Ct. No. 25-429, complies with the word limitations, because it contains 8,965 words, excluding the parts of the document exempted by Rule 33.1(d).

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: December 8, 2025



Shay Dvoretzky
