

December 19, 2025

By FedEx To:

Kyle Ratliff, Deputy Clerk Supreme Court of the United States 1 First Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20543

> Re: Request for extension of time to file a response to the petition James Griffiths, Individually and as Employee of the Cuyahoga Metropolitan Housing Authority Petitioner v. Rita Keith, Individually and as the Natural Parent of Arthur Keith and as Administrator of the Estate of Arthur Keith, Deceased S. Ct. No. 25-362

Dear Mr. Ratliff:

I am counsel for Respondent Rita Keith ("Respondent"), in this case. Petitioners filed their petition on September 24, 2025. On October 27, 2025, the National Fraternal Order of Police filed a Brief Amicus Curiae. On November 12, 2025, the Court requested a respons. Absent an extension, Respondent's response is due on December 22, 2025. Pursuant to Rule 30.4, Respondent respectfully requests that the time for filing a response be extended by thirty days, making the response due date January 21, 2025.

This request for an extension is Respondent's first. Good cause exists for the requested extension. I am not currently admitted to the Supreme Court but I have submitted an application to be admitted. Admission is currently slated to occur on January 12, 2025. The requested extension is necessary to allow for an admitted Counsel of Record for Respondent. In addition, an extension of time would better enable preparation of a response that would be most helpful to the Court.

Accordingly, Respondent requests a thirty-day extension of time, to and including January 21, 2025, to file its response in opposition to the Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Sam Starks

Sam Starks, Esq.