

**PAUL**  
**HASTINGS**

1(202) 551-1990  
naveenmodi@paulhastings.com

December 16, 2025

Scott S. Harris  
Clerk of the Court  
Supreme Court of the United States  
One First Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: *Lynk Labs, Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.*, No. 25-308

Dear Mr. Harris:

I am counsel for Respondent Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Samsung”) in the above-captioned case. On November 3, 2025, the Court requested a response to Petitioner Lynk Labs, Inc.’s (“Lynk Labs”) petition for a writ of certiorari and, on November 14, 2025, granted Samsung a 30-day extension of time for the response. Samsung’s response is currently due on January 2, 2026.

I respectfully request an additional 30-day extension of time, to and including February 2, 2026, within which to file Samsung’s response to the petition.\* This extension is needed in light of counsel’s competing professional commitments in other matters and the intervening Christmas and New Year holidays. The requested additional time would enable counsel to prepare a response to the petition that will be useful to the Court while accommodating these conflicting obligations and preexisting holiday plans.

Petitioner Lynk Labs consents to the requested extension.

Respectfully submitted,

*/s/ Naveen Modi*

Naveen Modi  
*Counsel for Respondent Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.*

cc: Jeffrey A. Lamken, MoloLamken LLP, *Counsel for Petitioner Lynk Labs, Inc.*  
D. John Sauer, Solicitor General

---

\* The requested 30-day period would end on February 1, 2026, which is a Sunday. Therefore, the period shall extend until Monday, February 2, 2026. See S. Ct. R. 30.1.