

NO. 25-282

ORIGINAL

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES**

FILED
JUN 05 2025
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

CARINA CONERLY, IN PRO SE

**- PETITIONER
V.**

SHARIF TARPIN, IN PRO SE

- RESPONDENT

**SECOND AMENDED CORRECTION TO
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

**ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

**CARINA CONERLY
1501 AMAZON AVENUE
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95835-1929
(916) 704-6755**

QUESTIONS

1. **WHETHER, The California Supreme Court
Erred in denying Petitioner's Request For
Review?**
2. **WHETHER, The Third Appellate District
Court Erred by not correctly applying The
Local Rule 1(d)(6), or not considering The
Local Rule 1(d)(6)?**
3. **WHETHER, Petitioner's Constitutional
Right To Due Process was Violated by the
Lower Courts?**

LIST OF PARTIES

[X] All parties appear in the caption of the case as follows:

Petitioner, Carina Conerly

1501 Amazon Avenue

Sacramento, California, 95835

Phone No. (916) 704-6755

Email carinaconerly@gmail.com

Respondent, Sharif Tarpin

2390 Bayless Way

Sacramento, California, 95835

RELATED CASES

A. VERACITY CASE - D.C. CASE NO. 2:19-CV-

**01021 KJM KJN [D.C. Court had Plaintiffs to
combine this case with the immediately
following case [2:19-CV-01113], 9TH Cir. No.
20-17029.**

B. VERACITY CASE - D.C. CASE NO. 2:19-CV-

**01113 TLN EFB, and the two cases became Case
number 2:19-CV-01021 KJM KJN, 9TH Cir. No.
20-17029.**

C. STATE OF CALIFORNIA CASE- D.C. CASE

**NO. 2:19-CV-02535, 9TH Cir. No. 21-16603, [This
Case involving U.S. Constitutional
Violations, by Government Conspiracy,
against Plaintiffs' Civil Rights [including
Guaranteed to Plaintiffs/**

Appellants/Petitioners Rights to
Constitutional Due Process] is the
Foundation/Originating Case, which was
and is the starting point and the Conspiracy
connection for all the other cases of the
“Federal Government Courts Forum”] for
Plaintiffs/Appellants’/ Petitioners’
“Adjudication.” Defendants/Appellees /
Respondents agreed and acted to aid the
State, its officials, others of its contact, and
each other, where knowingly attached to and
joined into this Case herein and aided the
Others [Co-Conspirators] of This Defendant
List to wrongfully stop Plaintiffs/
Appellants/ Petitioners from filing THIS CASE
AT HAND and therefore, joined in with The State
Of California’s [included violation of Petitioner

Carina Conerly "Wrongful Employment Termination" where her right to **Due Process** involving her Right to the Government's Statutory Time allowed to defend and be heard against employment termination from actually taking affect] **and as a consequence, Violate** **Petitioners' Guaranteed Constitutional Rights To "Due Process", which Comes Under The Constitution's 14th (Fourteenth) Amendment by Government Officers, Agencies, Recruits, etcetera by Conspiring together, and it has actually happened by way of the following Defendants stated within the related and following Listed Cases:**

D. SUPERIOR COURT OF CA CASE - D.C. CASE
NO. 2:20-CV-00362, 9TH Cir. No. **20-16679**

E. CARINA CONERLY STATE CASE –

CALIFORNIA THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT
CASE NO. C092174, SUPREME COURT OF
CALIFORNIA No. S265069

F. OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MGT CASE - D.C.

CASE NO. 2:20-CV-00950, 9TH Cir. No. 20-17502

G. WINN CASE - D.C. CASE NO. 2:20-CV-01833,

9th Cir. No. 20-17118

H. WINN, YAP, HARMAN CASE - D.C. CASE NO.

2:21-CV-01076, 9th Cir. No. 22-15221

I. YAP CASE - D.C. CASE NO. 2:21-CV-01132, 9TH

Cir. No. 21-17041

J. DAVENPORT, OFFICIAL PEST CASE - D.C.

CASE NO. 2:21-CV-01600, 9TH Cir. No. 21-17081

K. YANG CASE - D.C. CASE NO. 2-21-CV-01618,

9th Cir. No. 22-15281

L. OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MGT. CASE - D.C.

CASE NO. 2:22-CV-01617, 9TH Cir. No. 23-16120

M. HERON SCHOOL CASE – D.C. CASE NO. 2:22-

CV-01525, 9TH Cir. No. 23-15297

1st. FILED: JUNE 4, 2019, FILED BY

AND MINOR M.T. (CC AND MT)

DECISION/ORDER DATE: MARCH

5, 2021 COMBINED WITH NEXT

CASE # 2:19-CV- 01113 TLN EFB

CV-01021 KJM KJN ORDER

NINTH CIRCUIT CASE NO.

2017029

2nd. FILED: JUNE 18, 2019, FILED BY

PLAINTIFFS JC AND MC.

DECISION/ORDER DATE: October

5, 2020.

VERACITY - D.C. CASE NO. 2:19-

CV-01113 TLN EFB

NINTH CIRCUIT CASE NO.

20-17029

3rd. FILED: DECEMBER 17, 2019,

FILED BY JC MC CC MT.

DECISION/ORDER DATE:

September 3, 2021

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - D.C.-

CASE NO. 2:19-CV- 02535 JAM, DB

NINTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 21-

16603

4th. FILED: FEBRUARY 18, 2020,

FILED BY PLAINTIFFS JC MC CC

MT

DECISION/ORDER DATE: July 20,

2020

SUPERIOR COURT OF CA D.C.

CASE NO. 2:20-CV-00362 KJM

KJN

NINTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 20-

16679

5TH FILED: MARCH 24, 2020, JUNE 18,

2020, and JUNE 30, 2020,

FILED BY PLAINTIFF CC

DECISION/ORDER DATE: August 24,

2020

CARINA CONERLY STATE CASE

CALIFORNIA THIRD APPELLATE

DISTRICT CASE NO. C092174

SUPREME COURT OF

CALIFORNIA

CASE NO. S265069

6TH FILED MAY 8, 2020, FILED BY

PLAINTIFF MC

DECISION/ORDER DATE:

DECEMBER 18, 2020

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MGT.

D.C. CASE NO. 2:20-CV-00950

TLN KJN

NINTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 20-

17502

7TH FILED: SEPTEMBER 11,

PLAINTIFFS JC MC CC MT

DECISION/ORDER DATE: October,

15, 2020. WINN, KIANA CASE D.C.

NO. 2:20- CV-01833 JAM AC

NINTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 20-

17118

8th FILED: JUNE 16, 2021, FILED BY

PLAINTIFF CC DECISION/ORDER

DATE: February 1, 2022

WINN, YAP, YANG, ET. AL.-D.C.

CASE NO. 2:21-CV-01076 TLN JDP

NINTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 22-

15221

9th. FILED: JUNE 25, 2021, FILED BY CC

AND MT

DECISION/ORDER DATE: November

29, 2021

YAP, ET. AL.-D.C. CASE NO.

2:21-CV-1132 TLN CKD

NINTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 21-

17041

10th FILED: SEPTEMBER 7, 2021, FILED BY

PLAINTIFFS JC AND MC

DECISION/ORDER DATE: February 9,

2021

DAVENPORT, OFFICIAL

PEST- D.C. CASE NO. 2:21 -CV- 01600

KJM KJN

NINTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 21-

17081

11th. FILED: SEPTEMBER 10, 2021,

SEPTEMBER 11, 2021, FILED BY

PLAINTIFF CC

DECISION/ORDER DATE: May 25,

2022

YANG, ET. AL-D.C. CASE NO.

2:21-CV-01618 WBS DB

NINTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 22-

15281

12TH FILED AUGUST 26, 2022, FILED BY

PLAINTIFF MC

DECISION/ORDER DATE: August 7,

2023

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MGT. D.C.

CASE NO. 2:22-CV-01617

DAD AC

NINTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 23-

16120

13th FILED: AUGUST 30, 2022, FILED BY

PLAINTIFFS, JC, MT-C, CC AND MINOR

M.T.

ECISION/ORDERS DATE: February 16,

2023

HERON SCHOOL, ET. AL.- DC

CASE NO. 2:22-CV- 01525 TLN CKD

NINTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 23-

15297

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE NUMBER

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY	
PROVISIONS INVOLVED	2-4
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	3-4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT. xv, and	4-5
CONCLUSION.....	5

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A _Decision of The State

Supreme Court of California

APPENDIX B _Decision of The State Court

of Appeals for The Third District

Court of Appeals Circuit

Court of California

APPENDIX C _Volumes and Numerous

documents of Pro Per Petitioner's
struggling with hardship within the
Third District of California Court of
Appeals, to show why the cases
should be Bifurcated according to
the Appellate Court's Local Rules
and Petitioner's United States
Constitutional Rights to Due
Process and Equal Treatment as

Respondent is receiving. These
Appendices also support the
fact that the Third District Appellate
Court is creating hardship upon
Petitioner, in order to Sabotage
Petitioner's Case and Evidence
(example Clerical Errors –
Transcripts, and etcetera), and to
suppress The Appellate Court's
wrongful handling of Petitioners
case and evidence.

APPENDIX D_ Third District Appellate

Court's Local Rule 1(d)(6)

APPENDIX E _ United States Constitution,

Amendment 14.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

STATUTES AND RULES	PAGE NUMBER
--------------------	-------------

1. Due Process, 14th Amendment of	
---	--

The U.S. Constitution.xvi, 2-4	
-------------------------------------	--

2. Local Rules of the Court of Appeal Third	
--	--

Appellate District: <u>RULE 1(d)(6)</u>xvi, 4	
---	--

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court, Supreme
Court of California, to review the merits appears at
Appendix A to the petition and is reported at
_____ ; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet
reported; or,

☒ is unpublished. The opinion of the Court of
Appeal of the State of California in and for the Third
Appellate District court

appears at Appendix B to the petition and is reported
at _____ ; or, ☐

has been designated for publication but is not yet
reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under

28 U. S. C. § 1254, 28 U. S. C. § 1257,

28 U. S. C. § 2101(c)

☒ For cases from **state Court**

The date on which the highest state court decided
my case was 4/16/2025. A copy of that decision
appears at Appendix A.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY

PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. Due Process "The Fourteenth

Amendment to the U.S. Constitution

states that all persons born or

naturalized in the United States are

citizens and guarantees them equal

protection under the law and due

process. It was ratified on July 9, 1868,

and includes provisions regarding

**citizenship, privileges, and the
apportionment of representatives.”**

2. Local Rules of the Court of Appeal Third

Appellate District: RULE 1(d)(6)

(See Appendix D).

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

ISSUES HEREIN:

1. Bifurcation of Petitioner’s Multiple

**Appeals Filed in the manner that The
Third Appellate District Court’s Local
Rule Provides for filing the Third
Appeal under a different file number
because the Record on Appeal for the
First Two Appeals had already been filed,
therefore, the Appellate Court Must file
the Third Appeal Court must Bifurcate**

the Cases in order to be in compliance with Local Rule 1(d)(6). (See Documents under Appendices C, herein this Petition and Rule under Appendices D and E).

2. Petitioner's United States Constitutional Due Process Rights to Equal Treatment and Fair Court Case Treatment, without Prejudice, within the lower Courts are being deprived and violated.
3. Petitioner Respectfully request a Granting Petitioner's Request for Certiorari and Reversal of the Lower Courts' Decisions.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

Petitioner has been litigating this Case that has endured many Civil Issues, along with many personal Assaults, False Imprisonment of


**Petitioner's Minor Daughter, Battering,
Property Takings, Properties Damaged,
Relatives have also endured the same. In
addition, Petitioner Terrorized, Stalked,
Heavy Constitutional Rights Violated,
Agencies Funding and Recruiting of
Criminal Actors To Injure and Damage
Petitioner, Waste and Misuse Government
Funds, and This Case, Along with My Related
Cases, have unnecessarily been in Litigation
for over six(6) years.**

CONCLUSION

**Petitioner present this Petition in good
faith, with all honesty and respect for the
Judicial System. Petitioner pray that this**

**Court Reverse the Decisions of The Lower
Courts.**

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true
and correct.

SIGN: 

Date: September 2, 2025

Carina Conerly

Pro Se

Appellant

(916) 704-6755

Appendix A