

September 22, 2025

By Electronic Filing and Hand Delivery

Honorable Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street, NE
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: *Wes Allen, Alabama Secretary of State, et al. v. Bobby Singleton, et al.*, No. 25-273; *Wes Allen, Alabama Secretary of State, et al. v. Evan Milligan, et al.*, No. 25-274; *Wes Allen, Alabama Secretary of State, et al. v. Marcus Caster, et al.*, No. 25-243

Dear Mr. Harris,

We represent Appellees and Respondents in the above-captioned matters, which arise out of the same district court decision. *Singleton v. Allen*, 782 F. Supp. 3d 1092, 1111 (N.D. Ala. 2025); *see also Caster v. Allen*, No. 2:21-CV-1536-AMM, 2025 WL 1643532, at *3 (N.D. Ala. May 8, 2025) (adopting the opinion of the three-judge court in *Singleton*). We respectfully request, under Rule 30.4 of the rules of this Court, that the response deadlines in all three cases be extended to October 20, 2025. The State Appellants/Respondents (“the State”) do not oppose.

On August 26, 2025, the State filed a petition for a writ of certiorari before judgment in *Caster* and jurisdictional statements in *Milligan* and *Singleton*. Due to a filing issue, however, the State’s jurisdictional statements were not docketed until September 10, 2025. As a result, although all parties have at all times intended that briefing and resolution of these three cases would proceed in tandem,¹ *Caster* Respondents’ response to the certiorari petition is currently due on October 3, 2025, while *Milligan* and *Singleton* Appellees’ motions to dismiss and/or affirm are due on October 10, 2025. Respondents and Appellees now respectfully request an extension of their respective deadlines to October 20, 2025.

An extension is warranted to allow Appellees and Respondents to coordinate their responses to the State’s jurisdictional statements and petition for a writ of certiorari before judgment, which are substantively identical across all three cases and which raise four discrete questions presented. Additionally, counsel for Appellees in No. 25-274 also has several other upcoming deadlines in this Court, including a supplemental reply brief due on October 3 and oral argument on October 15 in *Robinson v. Callais*, No. 24-110, and a motion to affirm/dismiss in *State Board of Election Commissioners v. Mississippi State Conference of*

¹ *See, e.g.*, Unopposed Mot. to Place Appeal in Abeyance at 2, *Caster v. Allen*, No. 25-11915 (11th Cir. June 23, 2025), Doc. 12 (“Appellants intend to seek certiorari before judgment in [*Caster*] to allow the Supreme Court to consider all three cases together.”).

the NAACP, No. 25-234, due on October 14. This is the first extension Appellees and Respondents have sought in these matters.

We respectfully request this extension of time so that we can prepare coordinated briefing that fully addresses the points raised by the State in its jurisdictional statements and petition for a writ of certiorari before judgment.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James Uriah Blacksher

James Uriah Blacksher

/s/ Deuel Ross

Deuel Ross

/s/ Abha Khanna

Abha Khanna

*Counsel for Appellees in
No. 25-273*

*Counsel for Appellees in
No. 25-274*

*Counsel for Respondents in
No. 25-243*

cc: All counsel of record