

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

NO. 25-236

IN RE : JUSTIN JEFFREY SAADEIN-MORALES

Petitioner.

**MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONER’S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO
FILE A PETITION FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY WRIT OF PROHIBITION AND
MANDAMUS, OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR A SUMMARY DENIAL
OF PETITIONER’S PETITION**

Respondent Westridge Swim and Racquet Club, Inc., A Community Association (“Westridge”), by counsel, submits this Memorandum in Opposition to the Motion (“Motion”) filed by Justin Jeffrey Saadein-Morales (“Petitioner”) for Leave to File a Petition for an Extraordinary Writ of Prohibition and Mandamus (the “Petition”), or in the alternative, Motion for a Summary Denial of the Petition.

ARGUMENT

The Petition which Petitioner seeks leave of Court to file in the Motion is the latest in a long series of frivolous court filings by Petitioner in which he seeks to overturn final and unappealed judgments and Orders of the Prince William County, Circuit Court (the “State Court”) of his eviction from his house and for its sale, and to otherwise frustrate that sale and obtain relief to which he is not entitled and which is not supported by any of the alleged authority he cites in his Petition.

Among other things, Petitioner failed to acknowledge in his Petition that he had every opportunity to contest Westridge’s claim in the State Court that he should be evicted from his house and that the house should be sold; that he did not appeal the operative judgments of the State Court granting Westridge that relief; and that he did not appeal the August 26, 2024

dismissal of his second bankruptcy case, in which he made many of the same arguments that he now makes in his Petition, and which he has made in many of his other filings in various Courts, including the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia; and the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals. Accordingly, his Petition is nothing more than yet another desperate and cynical attempt to evade his just obligations to Westridge (and his many other creditors), while almost certainly using AI or the assistance of an unnamed attorney to generate his baseless Petition.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Motion should be denied, but in the event the Petition has already been accepted for filing, the Petition should be summarily denied, and Westridge awarded such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

**WESTRIDGE SWIM AND RACQUET CLUB,
INC., A COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION**
By Counsel

BUONASSISSI, HENNING & LASH, PC

By: /s/ Richard A. Lash
Richard A. Lash, Esquire
12355 Sunrise Valley Drive, Suite 650
Reston, Virginia 20191
Richard.Lash@bhlpc.com
*Counsel for Respondent Westridge Swim and
Racquet Club, Inc., A Community Association*