




MURIEL GOODE-TRUFANT
Corporation Counsel

THE CITY OF NEW YORK
LAW DEPARTMENT

100 CHURCH STREET
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007



HANNAH J. SAROKIN
Assistant Corporation Counsel
Phone: 212-356-5036
hsarokin@law.nyc.gov

October 1, 2025

Hon. Scott S. Harris, Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
Office of the Clerk
One First Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20543-0001


Re: *Katergaris v. City of New York*
No. 25-187

Dear. Mr. Harris:

I am an attorney in the Office of Muriel Goode-Trufant, Corporation Counsel of the City of New York and counsel for defendant-respondent City of New York in the above-captioned case. On August 13, 2025, petitioner filed a petition for a writ of certiorari after obtaining a 30-day extension, and respondent initially waived its right to respond. However, on September 15, 2025, the Court requested a response due October 15, 2025. Respondent respectfully requests a 45-day extension of time to oppose the petition for a writ of certiorari. Because a 45-day extension of time would make respondent's brief due Saturday, November 29, 2025, respondent respectfully requests a due date of December 1, 2025. Petitioner consents to this request.

The extension of time is necessary to prepare the opposition, which must undergo multiple layers of review internally and with our client before filing, and to account for the press of work.

I am not presently a member of the Bar of this Court. The response will be filed by a Bar member.



Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Respectfully submitted,

MURIEL GOODE-TRUFANT
Corporation Counsel
of the City of New York
Attorney for Respondent

By:



Hannah J. Sarokin
Assistant Corporation Counsel

cc: Diana K. Simpson
Robert Fellner
William R. Maurer
INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE
Counsel for Appellant