

August 10, 2026

Mr. Scott S. Harris, Clerk
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, D.C. 20543

RE: *ON24, Inc., et al. v. Leadersel Innotech ESG*, No. 25-1376, On Petition for a Writ of
Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Dear Mr. Harris:

I represent Respondent in this case. Petitioners filed their petition for a writ of certiorari on June 11, 2026. Respondent waived its right to respond. On July 22, 2026, however, the Court requested a response. Absent an extension, and pursuant to Rule 15.3 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, Respondent's response is due on August 21, 2026. Pursuant to Rule 30.4, Respondent respectfully requests that the time for filing a response be extended by thirty (30) days. Because a thirty-day extension of time would make Respondent's brief due on Sunday, September 20, 2026, Respondent respectfully requests a due date of September 21, 2026.

This is Respondent's first request for an extension, and good cause exists for the requested extension. Respondent's counsel has numerous other professional commitments prior to the current deadline, including out-of-state travel for depositions, the drafting of two amended securities class action complaints, and other litigation deadlines. The requested extension is necessary to ensure that Respondent and its counsel have an adequate opportunity to review and respond to the petition in light of these other commitments. The requested extension will also better enable preparation of a response that will be most helpful to the Court. Further, no party will be prejudiced by the extension requested.

Accordingly, Respondent requests a thirty-one-day extension of time, up to and including September 21, 2026, to file their brief in opposition to the petition.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Alfred L. Fatale III

Alfred L. Fatale III