

No. 25-1300

ORIGINAL

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

FILED
MAR 09 2026
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

JANE DOE

Petitioner,

v.

SEC'Y OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari
To The United States Court of Appeals
For The Federal Circuit

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

/s/Jane Doe
Jane Doe
Pro Se Petitioner
proselegal35@outlook.com

RECEIVED
MAY 20 2026
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- I. Can a ruling/judgment in a case that was illegally hijacked & then commandeered to give a specific outcome, and is rife with: lies, genetics/health fraud, atty malpractice, atty/judge collusion (captured on court records), targeted evidence suppression/deletion/exclusion, breach of multiple federal laws, and legal gamesmanship---stand, despite the judicial collusion within/between the lower Federal Courts, malpractice, all the lawbreaking, collusion, etc., that had previously occurred, but they want to draw the line at an alleged missed deadline due to circumstances they created??

- II. Can a judgment/ruling that was intentionally not in accordance with the established laws/rules, violated multiple federal laws to illegally obtain law-protected, restricted files/info that was not even needed (because "subject matter expert" level filings had been provided that were in line with the rules of the program), & birthed from malice, ignorance, and the clear intent to financially defraud, stand---despite info having been provided from someone with "lived" knowledge?

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

Petitioner (appellant) is Jane Doe (who does not want to see, hear nor read about her case in the media or otherwise; all of my privacy rights have been violated).

Respondent (appellee) is Robert F. Kennedy Jr, in his official capacity as Secretary of Health and Human Services.

RELATED PROCEEDINGS

United States Court of Federal Claims: Jane Doe v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, No. 13-471

United States Court of Appeals (CAFC): Jane Doe v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, No. 25-1769

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|---------|
| QUESTIONS PRESENTED | i |
| PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING | i |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | ii- iii |
| TABLE OF AUTHORITIES | iv |
| OPINIONS BELOW | 5 |
| JURISDICTION | 5 |
| CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED | 6 |
| STATEMENT OF THE CASE | 6-15 |
| REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION | 16-17 |

(-). This Court should grant certiorari because this case is the perfect vehicle that illustrates every point that the HHS Sec’y himself, Sec’y Kennedy, decried in his X post (see Appx G, H); no matter how controversial you may find him to be, the Sec’y HHS is not wrong about this (Please keep my case out the media)

I. This Court should grant certiorari because almost everything about this case is the epitome of “arbitrary, capricious, or otherwise not in accordance with the law” & is abuse of discretion.

II. This Court should grant certiorari to set out punishments for fraud, forgery, theft & abuse of government information systems to obtain, disseminate, possess law-protected/restricted records/info, since the lower Federal Courts could not be bothered with doing the right thing.

CONCLUSION 17

APPENDIX A- United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit- Order And Judgement (12/09/25)

APPENDIX B- United States Court of Federal Claims Order (03/21/25)

APPENDIX C- United States Court of Federal Claims Opinion & Order (02/05/25)

- APPENDIX D- United States Court of Federal Claims Decision on Damages (11/7/24); Ruling with details cannot be submitted as all the required redactions would render it unreadable
- APPENDIX E- Hearing Transcript, Relevant Pages (redacted v. HHS) (Entitlement Hearing On 02/18/21)
- APPENDIX F- Intake Paperwork, (three redacted pages to prove date) of First Firm (Submission Dated 02/20/12)
- APPENDIX G- HHS Sec'y Kennedy's "Blistering Rebuke of VICP", 07/28/25 X Post available at:
<https://x.com/SecKennedy/status/1949875225904005367>
- APPENDIX H- Vaccine Liability Is on the Table, Dr. James Lyons-Weiler
<https://brownstone.org/articles/vaccine-liability-is-on-the-table/>
- APPENDIX I- Earning Capacity: The Ultimate Guide to Protecting Your Future Income. Article available at:
https://uslawexplained.com/earning_capacity
- APPENDIX J- Judicial Collusion, Susan B. Available at:
<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/judicial-collusion-susan-britton-k23jc>

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

| | |
|--|------|
| Althen v. HHS 418 Fed. 3d 1274, 1280 (III) (Fed. Cir. 2005) | 9,10 |
| Boatmon v. Sec'y of Health and Human Servs., 941 F.3d 1351 (CAFC 2019) | 8 |
| Cloer v. Sec'y Health & Human Servs., US Court of Federal Claims No. 09-5052 (Fed. Cir. 2011) | 7 |
| Cloer v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., US Court of Appeals Federal Circuit No. 09-5052 (Fed. Cir. 2012) | 7 |
| de Bazan v. Sec'y of Health and Human Servs., 539 F.3d 1347 (CAFC 2008) | 9 |
| Grant v Sec'y of Health and Human Servs., 956 F.2d 1144 (CAFC 1992) | 8 |
| Hines, 940 F.2d at 1525 | 7 |
| Knudsen v. Sec'y Health and Human Servs., 35 F.3d 543(CAFC 1994) | 8 |
| Shyface v. Sec'y of Health and Human Servs., 165 F.3d 1344 (CAFC 1999) | 7 |
| Walter v. Sec'y of Health and Human Servs., 485 F.3d 1146 (CAFC 2007) | 9 |
| Werderitsh v, Sec'y HHS No. 99-319V (Fed. Cl. May 26, 2006) | 8 |

STATUTES

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| 28 U.S.C. 1254(1) | 1 |
| 42 U.S. Code 300aa-13(a)(1) | 7-9 |

42 U.S. Code 300aa-13(b)(2)1, 5

42 U.S. Code 300aa-15(a)(3A) 2

The National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986 (NCVIA) and or Program (VICP)
42 U.S.C. § 300aa-1 to -34 6,8

U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS, APPX. B, VACCINE RULES

OTHER AUTHORITIES

Concurrent Military Retired Pay and VA Disability Compensation
<https://www.dfas.mil/retiredmilitary/disability/crdp/>11-12

Earning Capacity: The Ultimate Guide to Protecting Your Future Income
https://uslawexplained.com/earning_capacity 6, 12, 13

HHS Sec’y Kennedy’s “Blistering Rebuke of VICP” X Post. Available at:
<https://x.com/SecKennedy/status/1949875225904005367> 2, 16

Vaccine Liability Is on the Table, Dr. James Lyons-Weiler. Available at:
<https://brownstone.org/articles/vaccine-liability-is-on-the-table/> 2, 16

MISCELLANEOUS

Judicial Collusion, Susan B. Available at:
<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/judicial-collusion-susan-britton-k23jc> 1, 2, 15

No. _____

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

JANE DOE

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

ROBERT F. KENNEDY JR.,
SEC'Y OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent - Appellee.

On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari
To The United States Court of Appeals
For The Federal Circuit

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

ORDERS AND OPINIONS BELOW

The Order & Judgment of the US Court of Appeals Federal Circuit, the Order of the US Court of Federal Claims, the Opinion and Order of the US Court of Federal Claims, the damages order of the SM at the US Court of Federal Claims.

JURISDICTION

The Federal Circuit entered its judgment on December 9, 2025. This petition is filed within 90 days after that date and thus is timely. This Court's jurisdiction rests on 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

I. 42 U.S. Code § 300aa-13(b)(2) –

The special master or court may find ***the first symptom or manifestation of onset*** or significant aggravation of an injury, disability, illness, condition, or death described in a petition occurred within the time period described in the Vaccine Injury Table ***even though the occurrence of such symptom or manifestation was not recorded*** or was incorrectly recorded as having occurred outside such period. Such a finding may be made only upon demonstration by a preponderance of the evidence that the onset or significant aggravation of the injury, disability, illness, condition, or death described in the petition did in fact occur within the time period described in the Vaccine Injury Table.

II. 42 U.S. Code § 300aa-15(a)(3A) provides that the standard is “earning capacity”:

In the case of any person who has sustained a vaccine-related injury after attaining the age of 18 and whose ***earning capacity*** is or has been impaired by reason of such person's vaccine-related injury for which compensation is to be awarded, compensation for actual and anticipated loss of earnings determined in accordance with generally recognized actuarial principles and projections.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This case presents the travesty of judicial collusion & the question of whether a person whose petition under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program that was hijacked by all other parties to the proceedings to lie/manufacture a less adverse outcome for the VICP & the government, thereby defrauding petitioner financially & suppressing the truth of what actually happened (that the 1st 2010 hep B vaccine caused my MS, with the 2nd 2011 hep B being an aggravation of my MS), stand? Despite all the collusion, deletion of the 1st causation vax, deletion of my expert report etc., along with fraudulently/illegally obtaining then publishing my law-protected records/info when there was no need for it per program rules?

Please do not allow the headline of such a large sum being appealed to deceive you – appellant is owed much, much more than that by HHS. The SM is a bought pHARMA shill, caught on court records colluding with plaintiff's former atty to sabotage/deny appellant's double-injury case & her Vaccine Injury Compensation

Program (VICP) claims/rights by suppressing, even deleting the causation vaccine portion of my double-injury case (1st HepB vax-causation, 2nd HepB vax-aggravation).

Your Honor, while petitioner *is not rejecting the amount awarded* in the December 23, 2024 Judgment/Special Master's November 7, 2024 Decision, it is not the complete sum of damages owed to petitioner under this program. For the past 16+ years, petitioner, whom these vaccines caused to be a Disabled American Veteran, has been abused & failed by everyone involved/all sides, but specifically this Special Master simply because I refused to lie or go along with her lies & would not fall in line with her bullcrap to protect Big pHARMa. Your Honor, I know what happened to me—I did not have multiple sclerosis (MS) before that 1st hep A/B (Twinrix) vaccine caused it (matter of fact, I had no medical conditions prior).

Like Dr. Cloer, “there was no reason to suspect a vaccine link to MS” (Cloer v Sec’y HHS 2011/12), in which I had onset of fatigue that did not go away (only to realize later that this was my 1st symptom of MS) after the 1st hep B causation vax; in contrast, however, Jane Doe timely submitted double-injury claims info to her 1st vaccine atty well within the 36-month timeframe. Though petitioner did indict this 1st Twinrix vaccine from the very start as the one that caused my MS, (the 2nd Twinrix was an aggravation of the MS that was caused by the 1st) the attorney/firm I had at that time filed the case too late causing the 1st Twinrix causation vax, the foundation of my entire case, to miss statute, despite having the info over a year before statute ran out--THAT WAS NOT MY FAULT! Petitioner was not even aware that they had done this/that this happened until after the statute had already gone by! The atty deceptively had me under the impression that she had gone back to add on this 1st causation vaccine & browbeat me in attempts to settle to cover up her grave error. When petitioner became privy to the fact that she had not gone back to correct her error & of her deception, she was fired for her deception & malpractice.

That screw-up of that atty is what this SM has exploited & taken advantage of in her quest to protect Big pHARMa by *ANY* means necessary, regardless of how she mistreats & causes unnecessary suffering to the petitioner. How is it that I am fighting against this SM more so than the DOJ attorney?? This woman is *obviously* paid-off & is misusing her position for personal gain. She has tried to commandeer my case to have the outcome that she wants by then having the 2nd atty act as her lapdog, which unfortunately, he obliged her; my docket shows all the shenanigans that went on-- a lot of the filings I did not make or know about & would not have approved of; please make it make sense to me why I would file anything that would go against what happened to me & what I have been saying about my double-injury claims (1st vax-causation, 2nd vax-aggravation) from day 1?

It makes absolutely no sense, logically or legally, that the SM/DOJ are up in arms about this, having meltdowns over it when *Werderitsh v HHS 2006*, a paradigm case tried as part of the Hepatitis B-Neurological Demyelinating Omnibus Proceeding, found that the hepatitis B vaccine can & does cause multiple sclerosis (MS). There is over \$4.5 BILLION in the VICP Trust Fund; many other cases in general were paid out multiples of what my case is due per the rules, but they paid out those amounts, so why is my case being treated differently?

These are her antics despite my reporting fatigue that never went away after the 1st causation hep A/B vaccine (I wrote it off to physicality of basic training & being older than the norm so had no reason to seek medical care—this is why there is no medical record for it), & though the VICP Rules dictate that she should have shown grace to me, she did the complete opposite on steroids—the past 15+ years should not have happened at all, as 42 U.S. Code § 300aa-13(b)(2) states:

“The special master or court may find ***the first symptom or manifestation of onset*** or significant aggravation of an injury, disability, illness, condition, or death described in a petition occurred within the time period described in the Vaccine Injury Table ***even though the occurrence of such symptom or manifestation was not recorded*** or was incorrectly recorded as having occurred outside such period. Such a finding may be made only upon demonstration by a preponderance of the evidence that the onset or significant aggravation of the injury, disability, illness, condition, or death described in the petition did in fact occur within the time period described in the Vaccine Injury Table.”

Instead, she saw it as an opening to unleash her unwarranted, targeted, over-15 years-long campaign against me, filled with her punishing me because I would not fall in line with her bullcrap, & her abuse of me by withholding things that could help with a better quality of life from the effects of my vaccine-caused health conditions. She has impeded/hindered/stalled me or otherwise run interference at every juncture to prevent me from refiling my case to add on the 1st causation vaccine telling the truth of what happened to me. And because she (the SM/judge, not the DOJ atty!) could not produce a rebuttal/had nothing to go on to this 2010 causation vax, her response was to simply make it disappear/clean up the record by deleting any mention of it.

For example, right after the hearing (which she did not even want me to have) that gave more support to my double-injury case, she immediately filed a Scheduling Order which says “Petitioner shall file as Amended Petition that accurately reflects petitioners claim”—notably, she & this atty (the 2nd who was hired to nexus on the 1st causation vaccine) were captured on the hearing transcript colluding to sabotage my double-injury case after I was released from the hearing

(see Appx E). He then filed an Amended Petition deleting the 1st hep B causation vax – he did/filed this without my knowledge or permission & also contrary to what he was hired/paid to do; his duty & loyalty should have always stayed to his client! He was fired for his malpractice, collusion & fraud, & petitioner has been pro se ever since.

I found out that he also filed a Motion to Strike my expert report that supported what actually happened to me (1st hep B vax – causation, 2nd hep B vax – aggravation)?! Which he did not file & had nothing to do with its production as it was filed earlier in my case, supporting what I've said from day 1 about double-injury as it detailed: 2010 causation hep A/B vax had to have caused my MS given how quickly the 2011 hep A/B vax reacted (like throwing gas on an already burning/smoldering fire caused by the 1st hep A/B vax to let me know something was wrong, hence losing sight in my eye (the optic neuritis) that led to the MS diagnosis; 1st causation hep B vax had primed my body to react to the next; molecular mimicry; no oligoclonal bands in my CSF even by the time of the 2011 aggravation hep B vax suggests a nascent process, & also by that time, the nonenhancing lesions on the initial MRI more likely than not could have been from the 1st causation vax (vs the 2nd aggravation vax, though either could be responsible) as it certainly had time to be at that stage resulting from the 2010 causation hep B vax & also explains the 2010 onset of the MS & fatigue that never went away. As petitioner's atty, why in the hell would/did he strike my expert report from the record??

All of this over the last 16 years despite the laws/rules that could be no clearer on this/the causation-in-fact 2010 1st hep B vaccine:

The showing of "causation-in-fact" must satisfy the "preponderance of the evidence" standard, the same standard ordinarily used in tort litigation. § 300aa-13(a)(1)(A); *see also Althen*, 418 F.3d at 1279; *Hines*, 940 F.2d at 1525. Under that standard, the petitioner must show that it is "more probable than not" that the vaccination was the cause of the injury. *Althen*, 418 F.3d at 1279. The petitioner need not show that the vaccination was the sole cause of the injury or condition, but must demonstrate that the vaccination was at least a "substantial factor" in causing the condition, and was a "but for" cause. *Shyface v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 165 F.3d 1344, 1352 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Thus, the petitioner must supply "proof of a logical sequence of cause and effect showing that the vaccination was the reason for the injury;" the logical sequence must be supported by "reputable medical or scientific explanation, *i.e.*, evidence in the form of scientific studies or expert medical testimony." *Althen*, 418 F.3d at 1278; *Grant v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 956 F.2d 1144, 1148 (Fed. Cir. 1992). Petitioner may not receive a Vaccine Program award based solely on her assertions; rather, the petition must be supported by either medical records or by the opinion of a competent physician. § 300aa-13(a)(1).

In what has become the predominant framing of this burden of proof, the *Althen* court described the “causation-in-fact” standard, as follows:

Concisely stated, [petitioner’s] burden is to show by preponderant evidence that the vaccination brought about her injury by providing: (1) a medical theory causally connecting the vaccination and the injury; (2) a logical sequence of cause and effect showing that the vaccination was the reason for the injury; and (3) a showing of proximate temporal relationship between vaccination and injury. If [petitioner] satisfies this burden, she is entitled to recover unless the [government] shows, also by a preponderance of the evidence, that the injury was in fact caused by factors unrelated to the vaccine.

Althen, 418 F.3d at 1278 (citations omitted). The *Althen* court noted that a petitioner need not necessarily supply evidence from medical literature supporting her causation contention, so long as the petitioner supplies the medical opinion of an expert. *Id.* at 1279-80. That expert’s opinion must be “sound and reliable.” *Boatmon v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, 941 F.3d 1351, 1359-60 (Fed. Cir. 2019) (citing *Knudsen v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, 35 F.3d 543, 548-49 (Fed. Cir. 1994)). The *Althen* court also indicated, however, that a Program factfinder may rely upon “circumstantial evidence,” which the court found to be consistent with the “system created by Congress, in which close calls regarding causation are resolved in favor of injured claimants.” 418 F.3d at 1280.

Generally, respondent bears the burden of demonstrating the presence of any alternative cause by preponderant evidence only if petitioner satisfies his *prima facie* burden. § 300aa-13(a)(1)(B); *Walther v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, 485 F.3d 1146, 1150 (Fed. Cir. 2007). However, respondent may also present evidence relating to an alternative cause to demonstrate the inadequacy of petitioner’s evidence supporting his case in chief. Nonetheless, petitioner does not bear the burden of eliminating alternative causes where the other evidence on causation is sufficient to establish a *prima facie* case under *Althen*. *de Bazan v. Sec’y of Health & Human Servs.*, 539 F.3d 1347, 1352-53 (Fed. Cir. 2008); *Walther*, 485 F.3d at 1150.

But they did not stop there on their mission to defraud me, Your Honor. The SM & the last atty also without MY knowledge, permission & MY signed consent, broke multiple federal laws (Veterans Records, Military Records, Privacy & HIPAA) to illegally & fraudulently access, obtain, possess & disseminate these prohibited records/info then filing them (VA Records & Military Files) with that court!!! In her August 14, 2024 Ruling—then a public ruling at that which the general public will have access to—when the general public is already prohibited from accessing this restricted, law-protected records/info, I note the date when she says: “On October 22, 2018, a Consented Motion to Substitute Attorney was filed by petitioner”

“petitioner filed her Veteran’s Administration (“VA”) records, military file, and documents reflecting retirement and annuity payments”—I did not file ANY of this (why would I when I know the VA & Military laws & rules on this?).

It was that rogue atty (that *did* have my SSN as his retainer paperwork sought it) that she was caught on court records colluding with, that did, & he did so without MY ORIGINAL SIGNED SIGNATURE! I had no knowledge, much less gave MY consent/permission, that he did this! And, if I had not filed law-protected records in all that time prior to that attorney, why in the world would I suddenly do so then?? Because I did not—& her lying about it that I filed those records shows a cover-up to hide her nefarious motives/intents (not the first time she has lied saying I filed something when she damn well knows it was that rogue attorney, her lapdog, that did—without MY knowledge/consent then, too).

This is from the National Personnel Records Center but *all* veterans & military records centers/agencies mirror the same:

“Without the consent of the veteran or next-of-kin, the National Personnel Records Center (NPRC) can only release limited information from the Official Military Personnel File (OMPF) to the general public. You are considered a member of the general public if you are not the veteran, asking about a veteran who is of no relation to you or seeking information about a veteran who is a relative but for whom you are not the next-of-kin. The next-of-kin is defined as any of the following: *the un-remarried widow or widower, son, daughter, father, mother, brother or sister* of the deceased veteran.”

<https://www.archives.gov/personnel-records-center/ompf-access-public>

If by another method, *however* she/they obtained them, it was unauthorized access either way because I NEVER gave MY written consent to him/her/anyone. Besides that, her simply being in possession of/audacity to publish my law-protected records in her Ruling, public or not, is still breaking various federal laws & the privacy rules of the courts, not to mention how vile, disrespectful & depraved this is especially when she has been told it is breached, protected info; the only ones authorized to have possession of these records are the military/veterans records agencies & the respective veteran—not her, not any court, not anyone unauthorized.

But why would she even go to these lengths, when VA Benefits are NOT enumerated as an offset per the vaccine rules?? Not to mention I had already provided *a printout of my VA payments* before from the various systems I have direct access to (this could have been updated by me if asked)? As for retirement pay, I previously explained & submitted info that I do not receive that pay; due to DOD Rules, certain retired soldiers-Chapter 61 retired for disability, do not receive

their earned retirement pay (see Concurrent Military Retired Pay and VA Disability Compensation), but that is DOD business, not that of the VICP, & if she deducted this from the award, then she is dead wrong. All of that to say: petitioner had previously provided a damages spreadsheet etc., which she admits, based in part on *public* military/veteran data & in line with the rules of the vaccine court (earning capacity) & CFC, so there were NO valid or pure reasons for this SM to commit these federal crimes.

Given the egregiousness of this woman's actions, instant correction by destroying & categorically excluding the protected records is not enough—the illegal unauthorized access has already occurred, not to mention her further acts of then exposing breached info in her ruling, public or not; she should not even be in possession of my military/veterans' records in the first place—there are no words for this. This is from NARA but all other military/veterans' records agencies/depts mirror the same & I NEVER signed my consent:

“The National Archives values the privacy of our veterans very highly and we actively protect their files stored here from disclosure to unauthorized individuals. This is why we require veterans' authorization for third party disclosures, why we work with the Department of Defense to identify authorized users, and why we require the signature of those who purport to be the veteran or next of kin on requests for information, including DD 214s. DD 214s are not available online. They are sent to the requester through the mail and like all other similar requests do require a written signature before the request is processed.”

This did not need to be done, as it was not needed per program rules to determine “earning capacity” (see Appx I). Further, I already have my own records! & did not submit them for the exact reasons as are occurring right now—this deranged SM has possession of, has horrendously misinterpreted, pushed her misinterpretations as truth/fact when it is not, & additionally has incorporated my law-protected, prohibited records/info into her ruling, public or not, to post on court servers which anyone can access, all while everyone is restricted from this info/records (even IF she did not collude with him to obtain, she is still breaking federal laws by possessing & publishing them when she has been told that it is illegally obtained, breached info).

Her excuse is total bullcrap; how can one even need something they are already prohibited from having?? Most glaring, however, is her saying “she needed it for her ruling” is a direct admission of her colluding with him to break these laws & of her law-breaking nature—you really can't make this stuff up. All this despite the fact that I provided info/a spreadsheet etc., which she even admits, in line with the rules of this program. She wrongly assumed “power of attorney” applies here & that he could just sign my name but it does not work that way for Military/Veterans Records; neither he nor she meets the established list of people who can access my

records, & even then it is only with MY signed consent. He nor she is of no relation to me & neither is the general public who she published my law-protected, prohibited info to.

Also, the program rules clearly state “earning capacity” which is not the same as past or present earnings (at time of injury/illness), but what someone has the capability to earn before the illness/injury occurred, & in which education/degrees are a huge factor (see Appx I). Despite me having two master’s degrees (one in which the military specifically recruits officers & also plays a role to increase promotion odds for the most senior officer ranks), this woman attempts to shepherd & assign me to enlisted career loss when these vaccines caused (1st hep A/B) then aggravated (2nd hep A/B) my MS as soon as I got to basic training & before I could even switch over to officer?? But the tenet of “earning capacity” does not require that I had actually applied for OCS – just that my education made me capable of achieving/earning at that level BEFORE the vaccine-caused MS disability.

Then, if that’s not bad enough, she tries to assign me to the minimum 20-year career?? Yeah, that’s fine for a 17-year-old; I was 34 years old when I signed up— TWICE the age of average young recruits, & I had a completely different agenda than that of a 17-year-old, having been out in the world & lived life! Having been affected by the economy: multiple recessions/companies not hiring (in addition to so-called being “overqualified” for some jobs while “no experience” for others) I was DONE with being a civilian, & was going to stay & serve as an officer until I was retirement age (which would have been about 67 yrs old in my case) & because I would have had kids to raise at least through grad school (to be at least as educated as me). And that is another thing – injury compensation is to make the injured “whole”; this woman said the program does not pay for causing someone to not be a parent; well, my career did with BAH w/dependents level – I can assure you as a 34-year-old woman at the time, it is a fact that I planned to have at least two kids & was a part of the reason why I joined the military so that I could provide for them. But this woman again “assigned” me to the without dependents” level for BAH for my Army career. I note, too, that she, a person who did not serve 1 day of her life, tries to dictate to me what I was “eligible” for? – no ma’am, EVERYTHING received by those of us who serve/d is EARNED!

In addition to her illegally obtaining & possessing breached restricted records, her horrendous interpretation in attempt to speak on things she knows nothing about, & despite the military having clear pay charts & promotion/natural progression data/info publicly available, this woman deliberately took evidence out of context to stall me at the same rank & same duty station for a whole 20-yr career she assigned me to (despite evidence showing officer earning capacity & my plan to stay until retirement age of 67), although military personnel are not stationed at one base for the entirety of their career nor do they remain at the same rank

perpetually—she produced pure bullcrap to screw over a vaccine-ruined disabled veteran; she should be ashamed of herself but she has shown/proven that she is not.

Despite my constantly telling her she was dead wrong in her misinterpretations & computations, she moved full speed ahead with pushing her disinformation as truth & facts. Also, because I was injured twice (causation then aggravation) at two different times & by two separate vaccines, I am due an additional minimum \$250,000 pain/suffering for the causation injury of the 1st vaccine that she has refused me from refiling my case to include. Ironically, to date, no one—not one atty on either side but especially this special master, can explain to me why I would not have indicted this 1st causation hep A/B vaccine? Matter of fact, they purposely do not even acknowledge it, choose to ignore it & even made it disappear from the record with the last petitioner's atty striking my expert report, which supported everything I've said from day one about this 1st 2010 causation vax; thankfully I have that I indicted it on the 1st atty's intake paperwork & my Army NARSUM where the Army MEB M.D. (who was in the absolute best position possible to evaluate this) concluded that my MS developed after entry to service which = that 1st hep B vax had to have caused my MS.

Additionally, I point out that this SM's computations: do not include a projection of retired military pay at all (I already explained that I do not receive any retired pay from the military now), which I would have been entitled to at the end of my military career if these vaccines had not caused then aggravated my MS & made me a Disabled Veteran, taking my career; does not include PAST paid amounts for Medicare Part B premiums (she could have Googled this) I have been forced to pay due to the vaccine-caused MS, & Tricare deductibles; does not include other past paid expenses -handyman, lawn, mailing fees & court transcript fee paid by petitioner (that revealed their collusion to tank my double injury claims), etc.

Since this woman has intentionally stalled & delayed my case over all these years, what happens to all the money that I was due then (for life care plan items) but did not receive because of her actions that resulted in my case not being resolved properly to date, but all of those things (items/services/assistance) were & are needed? Shouldn't *that* money be awarded to me as well, as she purposely withheld help I need/needed in attempt to induce settlement when I was not having it, because I know (we all do!) what happened to me, i.e., these vaccines caused then aggravated my MS?

This SM & her deliberate actions to defraud me -- health fraud/genetically & financially by dragging out & prolonging proper resolution of my claims have caused petitioner to miss out on interest income my compensation from this program could have earned in the high-interest environment of the last few years. This woman is clearly bought & paid for, & misusing her position for personal gain. ALL the bribe/payoff money she has received, & I mean EVERY CENT should be awarded to

me as well—NO ONE, & I MEAN NO ONE SHOULD PROFIT & BE PAID OFF OF MY PAIN/SUFFERING BESIDES ME!!!

I did file judicial misconduct complaints numerous times, but in keeping with the judicial collusion in/within the court/s, of course they were ignored or, no findings of fact (expectedly); they, conveniently, are also not part of the overall record.

The Appeal

Ms. Doe filed a timely notice of appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Ms. Doe raised the following errors in her brief:

(1) The SM implemented her own invisible, arbitrary laws to what the rules/statutes outline, & was also captured on court records colluding with plaintiff's former atty to sabotage my double-injury case, & to also limit the sum of money owed to me while simultaneously protecting Big pHARMa because my case proves their vaccines caused/aggravated my MS. There are very real merits of my case that need to be addressed despite the judges & SM Roth hiding behind "procedures" & legal gamesmanship to avoid doing so.

(2) Also, SM Roth violated multiple, various privacy laws & then tried to blame me for the submissions even though I never gave MY written consent to obtain them & did not take over my case until November 2022 though she refused to enter it until Feb 2023. She has refused to remove my law-protected, breached info/records. It is notable that the records were not even needed at all as The Act states "earning capacity" to be used & I'd already submitted & proved lost income damages in line with program rules.

But the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, in perpetuating of the judicial collusion (Appx J; though I *am not* a whistleblower, this is good reading & is applicable to this case), chose to bury their heads in the sand, hiding behind the Court of Federal Claims self-created/serving excuse of petitioner filed the review/reconsideration too late instead of addressing the very real merits, all while the COFC is the reason why the circumstances are how they are, i.e., they chose to commit judge-atty collusion, leaving me with no choice but to represent myself – that comes along with the vaccine-caused MS/conditions as well which can lead to *any* kind of deadline or whatever being missed (I simply am not a healthy person since this vaccine-caused MS). They cannot be both architect of the situation (the reason why I have MS, depression, etc., & the reason why I had to take over/save my case because of the atty-judge collusion - both being situations they created) then in the next breath scream foul & wanting to have it both ways.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

(-). This case is the perfect vehicle that illustrates every point that the HHS Sec'y himself, Sec'y Kennedy, decried in his X post (see Appx G, H). (please note that I do not want to hear, see nor read anything about my case in the media or otherwise).

No matter how controversial you may find him to be, the Sec'y HHS is not wrong about this; it is no coincidence that what he said lines up perfectly with my own experiences for the last 16 years.

I. This Court should grant certiorari because almost everything about this case is the epitome of "arbitrary, capricious, or otherwise not in accordance with the law" & is abuse of discretion. The proceedings are rife with the intent to defraud, atty malpractice, judge-atty collusion, evidence suppression/deletion, judicial collusion, etc., everything antithetical of law/proper judiciary.

The COFC is the only avenue to have vaccine cases heard. They chose to not follow established laws/rules & the Federal Circuit is their enabler; as both lower Federal Courts are compromised & corrupt, the US Supreme Court is the only other option to right their wrongs. The truth needs to be documented in/by *this* Court.

II. This Court should grant certiorari to set out punishments for fraud, forgery, theft & abuse of government information systems to obtain, disseminate, possess law-protected/restricted records/info, since the lower Federal Courts could not be bothered with doing the right thing.

The COFC is the only avenue to have vaccine cases heard. They chose to not follow established laws/rules & the Federal Circuit is their enabler; as both lower Federal Courts are compromised & corrupt, the US Supreme Court is the only other option to right their wrongs. The truth needs to be documented in/by *this* Court.

The Federal Circuit & COFC judges condoned all of the collusion, & belittled & minimized appellant's very real mental health issues despite her formal diagnosis of depression, an effect of is disengagement/shutting down as a coping mechanism. After over 15 years of being abused in this program: simply because I rightfully sought compensation for proving my vaccine injuries of causation (an apparent uncomfortable truth for some) then aggravation, being traumatized by my own attys missing statutes even though I provided evidence timely, & the other colluding with the (SM)judge etc., to having my privacy rights violated, what

options did I have besides to takeover my case? That comes along with all the vaccine-caused conditions as well, so this should not be held against me, period, & it is rich that they have the audacity to attempt to draw any line here/anywhere at all given the sheer magnitude of shenanigans they took part in long before this timeframe, as the “rules” were thrown out a long time ago.

CONCLUSION

For far too long, this Vaccine Court in general & these SMs like Roth specifically have gambled with the lives & well-being of vaccine-injured/ruined petitioners & in my case, I’ve spent over one-third of my life being abused by this Special Master Roth – over 15 YEARS! Their strategy has been clear: delay, deny, and defend — no matter the cost or human suffering; I am a Disabled American Veteran because of these vaccines & not even I was shown any humanity or respect. But this time, I hope this Court rejects those tactics and does right by me and delivers a powerful message: accountability matters, and VICP claimants deserve better in ALL aspects of this program.

Appellant hopes this verdict isn’t just a win for her, but it’s a warning to these roguely empowered Special Masters like Roth & the Big pHARMA corporations who believe their vaccines can do no harm: the days of dodging responsibility through legal gamesmanship, collusion, & bribery are over.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition for writ of certiorari should be granted.

Date: March 4, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Jane Doe

Jane Doe

Pro Se Petitioner/Appellant

proselegal35@outlook.com