

September 2, 2026

Scott S. Harris
Clerk
The Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543

RE: Request for extension of time to file response to petition, *The Hain Celestial Group, et al. v. Salamon Gimpel et al.*, No. 25-1255

Dear Mr. Harris:

I am counsel for Respondents Salamon Gimpel and Rosewood Funeral Home. Pursuant to Rule 30.4 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, Respondents respectfully request a second 90-day extension of time to file a response to Petitioners' writ of certiorari in this matter.

On May 1, 2026, Petitioners filed their petition for a writ of certiorari (the "Petition"). Shortly thereafter, the parties reached an agreement in principle to settle this case. On June 4, 2026, the parties requested that the deadline for response to Petitioners' writ of certiorari be extended by 90 days to allow the district court time to consider approval of the proposed settlement. The 90-day extension previously granted set the deadline for response as September 2, 2026. On July 13, 2026, the district court granted preliminary approval of the settlement. The final hearing for approval of the settlement is set for October 28, 2026.

This is Respondents' second request for an extension of time to file a response. Good cause exists for the requested extension because the parties anticipate filing a motion to dismiss under Rule 46 once the district court grants final approval of the settlement on or after October 28, 2026. Counsel for Petitioners consent to this extension request.

Sincerely,

/s/ Jake Bissell-Linsk
Jake Bissell-Linsk

cc: Elizabeth B. Prelogar, Counsel of Record for Petitioners
Cooley LLP
eprelogar@cooley.com