



Office of the Los Angeles City Attorney
Hydee Feldstein Soto

April 20, 2026

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: *Carlos Pena, Petitioner v. City of Los Angeles, California*, No. 25-1163

Dear Mr. Harris:

Petitioner submitted his Petition for a Writ of Certiorari on April 6, 2026, in the above-referenced matter. The response to this petition is currently due on May 11, 2026.

The City of Los Angeles respectfully requests, under Rule 30.4 of the Rules of this Court, a 30-day extension on the due date for the response, to and including June 10, 2026. This is Respondent's first request for an extension. The Petitioner does not oppose this request.

There is good cause for this extension. It will provide the City adequate time to prepare a more cogent response which will better assist the Court in its consideration of the petition. The City's review and editing process takes longer with any brief filed with this Court. In addition, the Civil Appeals Division of the Office of the Los Angeles City Attorney already has an existing burdensome caseload, which limits the available resources that it can devote to preparing the response to this petition without neglecting other obligations. I remain the lead appellate attorney on this matter, and I have multiple briefs and oral arguments scheduled in the time before the current due date, with only a limited ability to adjust the briefing due dates.

April 20, 2026

Re: *Carlos Pena, Petitioner v. City of Los Angeles*, California, No. 25-1163

For the foregoing reasons, the City of Los Angeles respectfully requests that you please extend the time for filing a responsive brief to and including June 10, 2026.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael M. Walsh

Michael M. Walsh, Deputy City Attorney
213-978-2209 | michael.walsh@lacity.org

cc: Jeffrey Hallett Redfern
Anna Aleksandrovna Bidwell
Patrick Michael Jaicomo
Marie Leora Miller
Suranjan Matthew Sen

April 20, 2026

Re: *Carlos Pena, Petitioner v. City of Los Angeles*, California, No. 25-1163