

April 23, 2026

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543-00001
sharris@supremecourt.gov

Re: *United States of America v. Jason Robert Hopson and Robert Marcus Johnston, No. 25-1039*
Unopposed Motion to Extend Respondents' Time to Respond to the Petition

Dear Mr. Harris:

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 30.4, Respondent Robert Marcus Johnston (“Johnston”) respectfully requests a 7-day extension of the deadline for Respondents Johnston and Jason Robert Hopson (Hopson and Johnston collectively “Respondents”) to oppose the Petition for Writ of Certiorari (the “Petition”) in the above-captioned cases. The Respondents’ oppositions are currently due May 4, 2026, and one 30-day extension has previously been granted.

Good cause warrants granting an extension in this case. Namely, this Petition arises from two cases, each containing substantial trial records and raising complex statutory arguments. Additional time will allow the parties to fully consider the record, legal arguments, and coordination of their responses to the Petition. An extension will also avoid other briefing and hearing conflicts of the Respondents’ counsel.

Counsel for the Government and counsel for Hopson consent to this request.

Accordingly, counsel for Johnston respectfully requests a 7-day extension for Respondents to file their oppositions to the Petition.

Respectfully submitted,

Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'K Bradley', with a long, sweeping underline.

Keith Bradley

Cc: D. John Sauer (Counsel of Record for the Government)
Neil D. Van Dalsem (Counsel of Record for Respondent Hopson)