

No. _____

In this Court of the United States

HAROLD JEAN-BAPTISTE,

Petitioner,

v.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ET AL.,

Respondents.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

APPENDIX

Harold Jean-Baptiste
253-37 148 Drive
Rosedale, NY 11422
(786) 657-8158
hbaptiste@influctec.com

Pro Se Jean-Baptiste

JANUARY MMXXVI

United States Commercial Printing Company • www.uscpc.us • (202) 866-8558

APPENDIX

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appendix A

Judgment [affirming district court dismissal], United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit, *Harold Jean-Baptiste v. United States Department of Justice*, et al., No. 24-5087, (Dec. 10, 2025) App-1

Appendix B

Order [rehearing denied], United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit, *Harold Jean-Baptiste v. United States Department of Justice*, et al., No. 24-5087, (Jan. 22, 2026) App-4

Appendix C

Order [oral argument not needed], United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit, *Harold Jean-Baptiste v. United States Department of Justice*, et al., No. 24-5087, (Nov. 26, 2025) App-6

App-ii

Appendix D

Order [dismissal without prejudice],
United States Court of Appeals for the
D.C. Circuit, *Harold Jean-Baptiste v.*
United States Department of Justice, et
al., 1:24-cv-01040-ACR,
(Apr. 12, 2025) App-8

Appendix E

Minute Order [leave to file amended
complaint and motion to reconsider de-
nied], United States District Court for
the District of Columbia, *Harold Jean-*
Baptiste v. United States Department of
Justice, et al., 1:24-cv-01040-ACR,
(Aug. 21, 2025) App-10

App-2

motion to stop and set aside national security letters, and the motion to appoint counsel, it is

ORDERED that the motion to amend the complaint, the motion to obtain Freedom of Information Act data, and the motion to stop and set aside national security letters be denied. Appellant has failed to demonstrate any entitlement to relief sought. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to appoint counsel be denied. In civil cases, appellants are not entitled to appointment of counsel when they have not demonstrated any likelihood of success on the merits. It is

FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's April 12, 2024 and August 21, 2025 orders be affirmed. The district court correctly dismissed appellant's complaint based on a pre-filing injunction requiring him to obtain leave of court before filing any pro se complaint. See Jean-Baptiste v. Dep't of Justice, No. 23-cv-02298 (D.D.C. Jan. 18, 2024). To the extent appellant challenges the pre-filing injunction on First Amendment grounds, appellant did not appeal that order, and he is thus precluded from litigating the issue in this case. See Allen v. McCurry, 449 U.S. 90, 94 (1980); see also Martin-Trigona v. United States, 779 F.2d 72, 73 (D.C. Cir. 1985) (per curiam). The district court also correctly denied appellant's motion for leave to file and for reconsideration because the amended complaint fails to satisfy the standard set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a) and contains the same type of frivolous claims that appellant has been enjoined from asserting. Finally, appellant's

App-3

allegations of judicial bias are without merit. See Liteky v. United States, 510 U.S. 540, 555 (1994) (“[J]udicial rulings alone almost never constitute a valid basis for bias or partiality motion.”).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to without issuance of mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P, 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT

Clifton B. Cislak, Clerk

By: /s/

Daniel J. Reidy

Deputy Clerk

App-4

Appendix B

United States District Court
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 24-5087

September Term, 2025

1:24-cv-01040-ACR

Filed On: January 22, 2025

Harold Jean-Baptiste

Appellant

v.

United States Department of Justice, et al.

Appellees

BEFORE: Srinivasan, Chief Judge, and Henderson, Millett, Pillard, Wilkins, Katsas, Rao, Walker, Childs, Pan, and Garcia, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of the petition for rehearing en banc, and the absence of a request by any member of the court for a vote, it is

ORDERED that the petition be denied.

Per Curiam

App-5

FOR THE COURT

Clifton B. Cislak, Clerk

By: /s/

Daniel J. Reidy

Deputy Clerk

App-7

FOR THE COURT

Clifton B. Cislak, Clerk

By: /s/

Selena R. Gancasz

Deputy Clerk

App-8
Appendix D
[Filed: Apr. 12, 2024]

HAROLD JEAN-BAPTISTE

Plaintiff,

v.

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
JUSTICE, et al.**

Defendants.

Case No.
24-cv-01040-ACR

ORDER

On January 18, 2024, Judge McFadden entered a pre-filing injunction against Plaintiff Harold Jean-Baptiste that stated, in relevant part:

Harold Jean-Baptiste is hereby enjoined from filing any pro se complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia without first obtaining leave to file upon a showing that the complaint raises new, non-frivolous matters that have not been previously adjudicated. If Jean-Baptiste files a new action in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia without first obtaining leave to do so, that suit will be summarily dismissed without prejudice.

App-9

Order at 3, *Harold Jean-Baptiste v. U.S. Department of Justice*, No. 23-cv-02298-TNM (D.D.C. Jan. 18, 2024), ECF No. 18.

Plaintiff filed this case without first obtaining leave to file in violation of Judge McFadden's order. Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

This is a final appealable order. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 4(a).

Dated: April 12, 2024 /s/ Ana C. Reyes
ANA C. REYES
United States
District Judge

App-10

Appendix E

[Filed: Aug. 21, 2025]

MINUTE ORDER denying 4 Motion for Leave to File, Motion for Reconsideration. The Court DENIES Plaintiff's Motions because the Amended Complaint does not meet the standard set forth in Rule 8(a) and contains the same type of frivolous claims that Judge McFadden enjoined without the Plaintiff's first obtaining leave. Signed by Judge Ana C. Reyes on 08/21/2025. (lcacr2) (Entered: 08/21/2025)