



1600 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 700  
Arlington, VA 22209  
703.243.9423  
www.consovoymccarthy.com

June 8, 2026

Hon. Scott S. Harris, Clerk  
Supreme Court of the United States  
One First Street, NE  
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: *Saadeh v. New Jersey State Bar Association*, No. 25-1002

Dear Mr. Harris:

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 32.3, petitioner, Rajeh A. Saadeh, seeks to lodge with the Court certain non-record material in connection with the above case.

In its brief in opposition, respondent suggested that this case might be partially moot because respondent has changed the criteria for members who may run for its set-aside diversity seats. *See* BIO.18. Under the new criteria, the argument goes, Saadeh is free to apply because he is a member of a “diversity bar association”—specifically, the New Jersey Muslim Lawyers Association. BIO.7-8.

To dispel any suggestion of mootness, petitioner seeks to lodge a declaration that is signed by him under penalty of perjury. The original declaration has been provided to respondent’s counsel. The declaration explains that Saadeh is no longer a member of the Muslim Lawyers Association. The declaration also explains that Saadeh remains able and ready to apply, including his previous applications to the board and nominating committee in 2016 and 2020.

As required by Rule 32.3, petitioner will not submit the original, signed version of this declaration to the Court until this request is granted.

Lodging this declaration is proper under this Court’s Rule 32.3. It addresses jurisdictional issues that respondent raised for the first time after the proceedings below concluded and the record closed. *See, e.g.*, Rule 32.3 Ltr. (May 2, 2022), *SFFA v. Harvard*, No. 20-1199, perma.cc/G473-P6H9 (describing and proposing to lodge declarations that prove SFFA still has members who are “ready and able to apply to transfer” to Harvard); Rule 32.3 Ltr. (Oct. 18, 2023), *Speech First v. Sands*, No. 23-156, perma.cc/YJL5-KEHH (describing and proposing to lodge declaration concerning mootness in response to petition for certiorari). And it addresses basic factual issues, including petitioner’s status and past applications, that respondent already knows and cannot dispute.

For all these reasons, petitioner respectfully asks to lodge with the Court the non-record material identified above.

Sincerely,

/s/ Cameron T. Norris  
CONSOVOY MCCARTHY PLLC  
cam@consovoymccarthy.com

*Counsel for Petitioner*

cc: All counsel of record with declaration