



1600 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 700
Arlington, VA 22209
703.243.9423
www.consvoymccarthy.com

April 29, 2026

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of Court
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543

Re: *Saadeh v. New Jersey State Bar Association*, No. 25-1002

Dear Mr. Harris:

I represent petitioner in this case, who writes to oppose respondent's request for an additional thirty days to file its brief in opposition. That extension would prejudice petitioner by preventing the Court from considering the petition until the beginning of next Term.

Respondent has been aware that it would likely need to respond to a petition for certiorari in this case since at least November 24, 2025, when petitioner filed an application for an extension of time to file a petition. Petitioner filed his petition on February 13, 2026, at which point Respondent's brief in opposition was due on March 26. Respondent waived its response on March 13, but the Court called for a response on March 23. Respondent sought and received a first extension, from April 22 to May 22, 2026. It now seeks a second extension, to June 22, 2026.

An extension to June 22 would prejudice petitioner. It would mean that this case would not even be considered by the Court until the start of October Term 2026. Even if petitioner waived his reply brief on June 23 to allow for the petition to be circulated immediately, the petition would not be considered at conference until September, in the first conference of October Term 2026.

Petitioner respectfully asks the Court to deny the requested extension.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Cameron T. Norris
cam@consvoymccarthy.com

Counsel for Petitioner