



**FBT
Gibbons**

JOHN J. GIBBONS FELLOWSHIP IN
PUBLIC INTEREST AND CONSTITUTIONAL
LAW

Michael R. Noveck
Executive Director

Lawrence S. Lustberg
Director Emeritus

Ruth O'Herron
Madhulika Murali*
Gibbons Fellows

**Admitted in NY only*

April 29, 2026

By Electronic Filing

The Honorable Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
United States Supreme Court
One First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: Second Request for 30-day Extension of Time to Respond to Petition in *Rajeh A. Saadeh v. New Jersey State Bar Association*, No. 25-1002

Dear Mr. Harris:

This Firm represents Respondent New Jersey State Bar Association in the above-captioned matter. Respondent here writes, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 30.4, to respectfully request a second 30-day extension of time, up to and including June 22, 2026, within which to file its Brief in Opposition to the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari. That Rule permits such a request to “be presented in the form of a letter to the Clerk setting out specific reasons why an extension of time is justified The motion may be acted on by the Clerk in the first instance, and any party aggrieved by the Clerk’s action may request that the motion be submitted to a Justice or to the Court.” Sup. Ct. R. 30.4.

The Court previously granted Petitioner two 30-day extensions of time within which to file his Petition for a Writ of Certiorari. Respondent here seeks the same treatment. On April 14, 2026, the Court granted Respondent’s first request for a 30-day extension of time, such that the Brief in Opposition is currently due on May 22, 2026. Respondent respectfully requests a second extension of 30 days, or up to and including June 22, 2026, for the following specific reasons:

- Additional time is necessary to permit sufficient time for consultation with the client, including its Board of Trustees and representatives thereof, as well as to accommodate counsel's professional obligations in other litigation matters, including but not limited to a petition for certification to the New Jersey Supreme Court, multiple briefs in the Third Circuit Court of Appeals, and some personal travel for a wedding outside of the United States;
- Additionally, Respondent is also working with co-counsel expert in Supreme Court litigation, consultation with whom will also take time, in an effort to provide the most helpful possible response to the Court; and
- Finally, additional time, consistent with that granted to Petitioner, is necessary to prepare and file a response that fully and fairly addresses not only the points raised in the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari, but also in the four *amicus* briefs filed in support of the Petition.

For these reasons, Respondent respectfully requests a second and final 30-day extension of time within which to file its Brief in Opposition to the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari in this matter. Thank you very much for your consideration of this request.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Lawrence S. Lustberg, Esq.

Lawrence S. Lustberg, Esq. (*Counsel of Record*)

Michael R. Noveck, Esq.

Madhulika Murali, Esq.

FBT Gibbons LLP

Tel: (973) 596-4500

llustberg@fbtgibbons.com

Counsel for Respondent