

No. 24A982

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

JOHN DOES 1, 2, 4, AND 5,
Applicants,

v.

SEATTLE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND SAM SUEOKA
Respondents.

**ON APPLICATION FOR A STAY OF MANDATE TO THE
SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON**

RESPONSE OF CITY OF SEATTLE

ANN DAVISON
SEATTLE CITY ATTORNEY
701 FIFTH AVENUE
SUITE 2050
Seattle, WA 98104
(206) 684-8200

JESSICA LEISER
REBECCA WIDEN*
ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEYS
REBECCA.WIDEN@SEATTLE.GOV
JESSICA.LEISER@SEATTLE.GOV

Attorneys for Respondent Seattle Police Department

**Counsel of Record*

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

No. 24A982

JOHN DOES 1, 2, 4, AND 5,
Applicants,
v.

SEATTLE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND SAM SUEOKA
Respondents.

**ON APPLICATION FOR A STAY OF MANDATE TO THE
SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON**

RESPONSE OF CITY OF SEATTLE

The City of Seattle agrees with John Does 1, 2, 4 and 5 that, absent a stay from this Court, their arguments will be mooted by the implementation of the mandate from the Supreme Court of Washington. However, the City of Seattle takes no position as to whether John Does 1, 2, 4 and 5 have met the requirements of Supreme Court Rule 23 or whether they have met the “four-part showing” required “to rebut the

presumption that the decisions below- both on the merits and on the proper interim disposition of the case- are correct.” *Rokster v. Goldberg*, 448 U.S. 1306, 1308, 101 S. Ct. 1, 65 L. Ed. 2d 1098 (1980).

Respectfully submitted,

ANN DAVISON
SEATTLE CITY ATTORNEY
701 FIFTH AVENUE
SUITE 2050
Seattle, WA 98104
(206) 684-8200

JESSICA LEISER
REBECCA WIDEN*
ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEYS
REBECCA.WIDEN@SEATTLE.GOV
JESSICA.LEISER@SEATTLE.GOV

Attorneys for Respondent Seattle Police Department
**Counsel of Record*