

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

NO.

LAWRENCE WATSON

Petitioner

v

DIRECTOR CHRISTOPHER WRAY, FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION,
INSPECTOR GENERAL MICHAEL HOROWITZ, AND OFFICE OF INSPECTOR
GENERAL

Respondents


PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF FILING DATE

On January 10, 2025 the Fifth Circuit issued a final judgment in the matter of Docket 24-30202 Watson v Wray etal. **Attached at 1**


On February 11, 2025 the Fifth Circuit denied Petitioner's petition for rehearing. **Attached at 2** Pursuant to Title 28 U.S. Code § 2101 (c), Petitioner has 90 days from the decision on the petition for rehearing to file a petition for a writ of certiorari; the date is May 11, 2025

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 30(3), Petitioner is requesting an extension of the filing date for his petition for a writ of certioraris. This Court has jurisdiction, pursuant to Title 28 U.S. Code § 2101.

Petitioner has filed a motion in this matter to request Respondents to comply with Title 5 U.S.C. § 552 ("The Freedom of Information Act") so that Petitioner can create a complete record for his petition for a write of certiorari. Petitioner needs the requested extension in order to await This Court's decision on the



aforementioned motion and subsequently to create a record for a petition for a writ of certiorari.



Lawrence Watson

P.O. Box 1331


Metairie, LA 70004

Date: April 17, 2025

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lawrence Watson, do hereby certify that a true copy of this document was served by first class mail on April 17, 2025 upon Renee Godeau of the Office of the U.S.

Attorney at 650 Poydras Street in New Orleans, LA 70130



Lawrence Watson

P.O. Box 1331

Metairie, LA 70004

Date: April 17, 2025

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

No. 24-30202
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

January 10, 2025

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

LAWRENCE WATSON,

Plaintiff—Appellant,

versus

CHRISTOPHER A. WRAY, *Director, In his personal and official capacity;*
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONS; MICHAEL HOROWITZ,
Inspector General Director, In his personal and official capacity; OFFICE OF
INSPECTOR GENERAL, *Department of Justice,*

Defendants—Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Louisiana
USDC No. 2:23-CV-3228

Before JOLLY, GRAVES, and OLDHAM, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:*

Lawrence Watson, proceeding *pro se*, appeals the district court's judgment dismissing his case and denying his motion to amend his complaint. He brought claims against FBI Director Christopher Wray, in his individual

* This opinion is not designated for publication. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

No. 24-30202

and official capacity, Inspector General Michael Horowitz, in his individual and official capacity, the Federal Bureau of Investigation (“FBI”), and the Office of Inspector General (“OIG”) (collectively, “Defendants”). The sixty-eight-page complaint is difficult to decipher, but his claims seemingly center around 42 U.S.C. § 1983¹ and the Federal Tort Claims Act (“FTCA”). He arguably also brings a claim under the Second Amendment.

Watson sued the Defendants seeking redress for their failure to investigate or prevent an alleged decades-long conspiracy by state actors of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts to deprive Watson of his constitutional rights. Watson alleges that the Massachusetts officials’ conduct—dating from 1995 through 2011—toward him was motivated by his opposition to their, per Watson’s complaint, “discriminatory practices against males who are engaged in domestic disputes with females, especially in the issuance of abuse prevention orders and in the determination [of] child custody and child support in probate courts of the Commonwealth.”

On appeal, Watson challenges the district court’s dismissal of his complaint and denial of his motion to amend his complaint. In the district court’s well-reasoned opinion, it found, *inter alia*, that Watson’s claims are time-barred. *Watson v. Wray*, 2:23-cv-3223-JCZ-JVM 6 n.4 (Mar. 27, 2024 E.D. La.). Watson began contacting the FBI in 2000 and the Department of Justice in 2007 about the alleged misconduct by the Massachusetts officials. He filed this lawsuit in 2023. His claims are clearly time-barred, and thus the

¹ Because a plaintiff cannot bring a Section 1983 suit against a federal official or a federal agency, the district court construed these claims instead under *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).

No. 24-30202

district court properly held it lacked subject matter jurisdiction.² No amendment would cure this defect.

Because Watson's appeal lacks an arguable basis in law upon which we can grant him relief, it is frivolous. Accordingly, the judgment of the district court is, in all respects,

AFFIRMED.

² “[T]here is no unyielding jurisdictional hierarchy’ requiring federal courts to sequence one jurisdictional issue before the other.” *Gadlin v. Sybron Int’l Corp.*, 222 F.3d 797, 799 (10th Cir. 2000) (quoting *Ruhrgas AG v. Marathon Oil Co.*, 526 U.S. 578 (1999)). Here, the court lacks subject matter jurisdiction because Watson’s claims are time-barred. Thus, we need not address any personal jurisdiction issues arising from the underlying events’ tenuous connection with Louisiana.

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

January 10, 2025

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 24-30202

LAWRENCE WATSON,

Plaintiff—Appellant,

versus

CHRISTOPHER A. WRAY, *Director, In his personal and official capacity;*
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONS; MICHAEL HOROWITZ,
Inspector General Director, In his personal and official capacity; OFFICE OF
INSPECTOR GENERAL, *Department of Justice,*

Defendants—Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Louisiana
USDC No. 2:23-CV-3228

Before JOLLY, GRAVES, and OLDHAM, *Circuit Judges.*

J U D G M E N T

This cause was considered on the record on appeal and the briefs on file.

IT IS ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the judgment of the District Court is AFFIRMED.

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

February 11, 2025

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 24-30202

LAWRENCE WATSON,

Plaintiff—Appellant,

versus

CHRISTOPHER A. WRAY, *Director, In his personal and official capacity;*
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONS; MICHAEL HOROWITZ,
Inspector General Director, In his personal and official capacity; OFFICE OF
INSPECTOR GENERAL, *Department of Justice,*

Defendants—Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Louisiana
USDC No. 2:23-CV-3228

ON PETITION FOR REHEARING

Before JOLLY, GRAVES, and OLDHAM, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:

IT IS ORDERED that the petition for rehearing is DENIED.

2