

APR 21 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No.

24A1042

**In The
Supreme Court of the United States**

LEROY THOMAS JOYNER, JR.

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

**On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the
United States Courts of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit**

**RULE 11 EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY LOWER COURT
PROCEEDING**

Leroy T. Joyner, Jr. #18079-002
Federal Correctional Institution
2680 301 South
Jesup, GA 31599
(407) 744-3534
Email: ltjj1972@gmail.com
Pro Se Litigant

RECEIVED

APR 24 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Comes now, Leroy Thomas Joyner, Jr. (Mr. Joyner) pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 23(3) and respectfully request a stay of the lower court proceedings. In support, he offers the following:

1. On Feb 28th 2025, the 11th Circuit Court of Appeals denied petitioner request to stay the Appellant proceeding. (See Attachment #1)
2. As noted within that motion, he seeks a stay due to the district court's failure to provide material and exculpatory transcripts not available to him before, during and after trial. (See Attachment #2)
3. The appeals court has consistently been placed on notice that without the requested transcripts petitioner cannot prosecute his appeal. (See Attachment #3)
4. By way of § 753(f), he has a statutory right to the requested transcripts on direct appeal, especially since he has been granted the right to proceed in forma pauperis in the District and Appealant courts. (See Attachment #4-5)
5. Petitioner has exercised due diligence by filing the instant petition within this court on March 20, 2025, April 1, 2025, and April 21, 2025. Without a stay petitioner will suffer irreparable harm which can be seen within a scenario under which the 11th circuit dismisses his appeal for non prosecution and this court denies the subsequent Petition for Certiorari he would have been denied due process on appeal.

Wherefore Premises Considered, the reasons set forth in the paragraphs with attachments
Supra, petitioner seeks a stay of the lower court proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.

Leroy T. Joyner, Jr. #18079-002
Federal Correctional Institution
2680 301 South
Jesup, GA 31599
(407) 744-3534
Email: ltjj1972@gmail.com
Pro Se Litigant

No. _____

**In The
Supreme Court of the United States**

LEROY THOMAS JOYNER, JR.

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

**On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the
Unites States Courts of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 29.5(b) and this Court's Order (April 15, 2020), that on this 21st day of April 2025:

- (1) one paper copy of the Rule 11 Emergency to Stay Lower Court Proceedings was sent by UPS to the Clerk, United States Supreme Court, One First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20543; and
- (2) an electronic copy of the Rule 11 Emergency to Stay Lower Court Proceedings will be provided by electronic service to the Solicitor General at SupremeCtBriefs@USDOJ.gov once the petition has been docketed by the clerk of court.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.

Leroy T. Joyner, Jr. #18079-002
Federal Correctional Institution
2680 301 South
Jesup, GA 31599
(407) 744-3534
Email: ltjj1972@gmail.com
Pro Se Litigant

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 24-12605

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

LEROY THOMAS JOYNER, JR.,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Middle District of Alabama
D.C. Docket No. 1:22-cr-00242-ECM-JTA-1

Before JILL PRYOR, NEWSOM, and LUCK, Circuit Judges.

BY THE COURT:

Appellant's Notice of Renewed and Expedited Motion for Transcripts is DENIED.

Appellant's Motion to Amend, seeking to amend docket entry 10 to add the amended transcript order form docketed below on December 26, 2024, is DENIED AS UNNECESSARY.

Appellant's Emergency and Expedited Motion to Compel is DENIED.

Appellant's Renewed Motion for Independent Transcriber is DENIED.

Appellant's Second Motion for an Extension is DENIED AS UNNECESSARY to the extent that Appellant seeks an extension of time in which to file his initial brief. The initial brief is due within 40 days after the date of this order. *See* 11th Cir. R. 31-1(a). The motion is otherwise DENIED.

Appellant's Emergency Motion to Stay Appeal is DENIED AS MOOT.

No. 24-12605

→ JJ

United States Court of Appeals
for the
Eleventh Circuit

United States of America

Appellee,

v.

Leroy Thomas Joyner, Jr.,

Appellant.

**Appeal from the
United States District Court for the
Middle District of Alabama**

EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY APPEAL

Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.
Pro Se Counsel
P.O. Box 605
Columbus, GA 31902
(334) 439-8200

Pro Se Counsel for Appellant Mr. Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.





CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES
AND CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The undersigned pro se counsel certifies that the following listed persons have an interest in the outcome of this case. These representations are made so the judges of this Court may evaluate their possible disqualification or recusal.

The Appellee is the United States who is being represented by attorneys for the United States Attorney's Office for the Middle District of Alabama. Because this is an appeal from a final order the (Honourable Emily C. Marks) is a nominal respondent.

No corporate entities are parties to this proceeding.

EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY APPEAL

Comes now Leroy T. Joyner, Jr. ("Joyner"), and respectfully request a stay of the instant appeal pursuant to this circuit holding in *Ga. ex rel Olens v. McCarthy*, 833 F.3d 1317-1321 (11th Cir. 2016) and based upon its ruling in *Zakrzewski v. McDonough*, 490 F.3d 1264, 1267 (11th Cir. 2007)(Quoting *Travelers Indem. Co. v. Gore* 761 F.2d 1549, 1551 (11th Cir. 1985). In support, he offers the following:

ARGUMENT

1. As noted within Attachment #1 Joyner holds that the appearance of fraud upon the court not only has prevented him from prosecuting his appeal but the non-disclosure of facts allegedly pertinent to the matter falls short of fraud. *Rozier v. Ford Motor Co.*, 573 F.2d 1332, 1338 (5th Cir. 1978). However, the appearance of fraud defiled the court itself by way of misconduct perpetrated "by officers of the court so that the judicial machinery can not perform in the usual manner its impartial task of adjudging cases that are presented for adjudication. *Gore*, at 1551.

2. On February 12, 2020, October 27, 2020, July 14, 2021 and August 16, 2022 (Hereinafter "Indictment #1-4, respectively"), the appellant was indicted within the Middle District of Alabama. Due to the non-disclosure of Verizon Wireless Records and a February 6, 2019 CAC interview as well as the Spoliation of material and exculpatory text messages, Joyner filed appeals in the Spring of 2021,

Summer of 2021, Fall of 2021 and Winter of 2023. Docket Number 32, Attachments #1-4.

3. This circuit deferred adjudication of those appeals to the subsequent indictments where the district court not only failed to provide the Verizon Wireless records nor the February 6, 2019 CAC interview, but disregarded law enforcement role in the spoliation of material and exculpatory text messages. With no adjudication provided over a span of nearly 48 months under indictments 1-4, Joyner was brought to trial without evidence guaranteed by the Fifth and Sixth Amendments.

4. He has a due process right to appeal these non-disclosure issues as they relate to ineffective assistance and prosecutorial misconduct as well as the associated rulings for an abuse of discretion. Frap Rule 4. To deny him this right on appeal compounds the deprivation encountered before trial.

5. On January 23 2024, Joyner was convicted in the Middle District of Alabama. He immediately requested many specified transcripts to object to the forthcoming pre sentence investigation report and ensure that he received a reasonable sentence. Docket Number 6; doc.249.

6. Not only did he exhaust (5) months of attempting to obtain those transcripts from defense counsel, but made an emergency outreach to the district court on June 26, 2024. Id. That motion was not adjudicated until (32) days later on August 1,

2024. Attachment #2. There, the sentencing related issues were adjudicated by a magistrate judge without the consent of Joyner.

7. That court granted him transcripts from the April 13, 2023 and May 4, 2023 evidentiary hearings along with the transcription of two government witnesses from trial. Although an order listed the trial transcript as being granted, he did not receive that document until November of 2024. (Docket Number 16-2 p.12:22-13:4)

8. With the requested transcripts being withheld before the issuance of the presentence investigation report and before sentencing, Joyner was unable to object to being a recipient of an unreasonable sentence. He has a due process right to appeal these non-disclosure issues as they relate to ineffective assistance as well as the associated rulings for an abuse of discretion. To deny him this right on appeal compounds the deprivation encountered before sentencing.

9. On August 8, 2024, he filed a timely notice of appeal in the Middle district of Alabama. Simultaneously he requested specific transcripts needed on appeal. Docket Number 32; Attachment #5. That motion was not adjudicated until (133) days later on December 19, 2024. Docket Number 32, Attachment #6. Most recently, Joyner filed a motion for the transcription of the August 2, 2024 status conference and after (26) days that motion is still pending before that court.

10. With the requested transcripts being withheld while on appeal he is unable to prosecute the instant appeal. In an attempt to obtain the requested transcripts Joyner sought relief originally under appellate case number (24-12193). That action has been pending before this circuit for (136) days. As it relates to case number (24-12605), he has filed multiple motions requesting that this circuit provide relief from the non-disclosure of the requested transcripts. Those pleadings have been pending before this circuit as long as (69) days.

11. Joyner has not only exercised reasonable diligence for nearly (60) months, but can point to within the record “an unconscionable plan or scheme which was designed to improperly influence” this circuit in its appellate decision. See First Nat’l Bank of Louisville, at 1573. (Quoting Rozier, at 1338). He has a due process right to provide facts in support of these non-disclosure issues as they relate to violations of his constitutional rights. To deny him that right on appeal compounds his deprivation encountered before trial.

CONCLUSION

In compliance with this circuit’s reasonable diligence standard and within the understanding that a § 2255 motion is only proper to vacate, correct or set aside a sentence or conviction, Joyner cannot exhaust an opportunity to contest his conviction by filing an incomplete appeal. Standing upon nearly (60) months of reasonable diligence he seeks a stay of the appeal. A stay is warranted pursuant to

this circuit's holding in *Ga. ex rel Olens*, at 1321 (Quoting *Colorado River Water Conservation Dist. v. United States*, 424 U.S. 800, 817 (1976)(Considerations of wise judicial administration, giving regard to conservation of judicial resources and comprehensive disposition of litigation, counsel in favor of honoring the general principle of avoiding duplicative litigation"). Even though a timely notice of appeal was filed in this case, the district court has disregarded that divestation of jurisdiction. At this time, Joyner is currently litigating in the district court and this circuit. See Docket Number (29); doc. (298), under case number 1:22CR00242 ECM-JTA. Both motions are pending before their respective judiciary which has left Joyner in a position of staying the instant appeal. As not only noted by this circuit "it would be a colossal waste of judicial resources for both" this circuit and the court "to undertake , to decide the same issues" as it relates to the production of transcripts for the February 6, 2019 CAC interview and the November 19, 2018 PFA hearing, among others. *Ga. ex rel at Olens* at 1331. Joyner cannot prosecute his appeal without access to both transcriptions. Therefore this appeal should be held in abeyance pending a decision from the district court or until further order of this circuit.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.

Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.

Pro Se, Counselor of Record

P.O. Box 605

Columbus, GA 31902

(334) 439-8200

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 32(a)

This brief complies with the type-volume limitation of Fed. R. Appx. P. 32(a)(7)(B) because this brief contains 1,517 words, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by Fed. R. Appx. P. 32(a)(7)(B)(iii), according to the Microsoft Word software that counsel employs.

This brief complies with the typeface requirements of Fed. R. Appx. P. 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of Fed R. Appx. P. 32(a)(6) because this brief has been prepared in a proportionally spaced Time New Roman typeface using 14-point Times New Roman type.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.

Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on February 03, 2025, the foregoing document was served on the parties to the proceedings below or their counsel of record, and on the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Alabama (Marks, E.), via e-mail at the following addresses:

Counsel for the United States

Russell.Duraski@usdoj.gov

U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Alabama

almdcourt@almd.uscourts.gov

/s/Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.
Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.



No. 24-12605 - 55

United States Court of Appeals

for the

Eleventh Circuit

United States of America

Appellee,

v.

Leroy Thomas Joyner, Jr.,


Appellant.

**Appeal from the
United States District Court for the
Middle District of Alabama**

NOTICE OF PROSECUTION

Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.
Pro Se Counsel
P.O. Box 605
Columbus, GA 31902
(334) 439-8200

Pro Se Counsel for Appellant Mr. Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.



CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES
AND CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The undersigned pro se counsel certifies that the following listed persons have an interest in the outcome of this case. These representations are made so the judges of this Court may evaluate their possible disqualification or recusal.

The Appellee is the United States who is being represented by attorneys for the United States Attorney's Office for the Middle District of Alabama. Because this is an appeal from a final order the (Honourable Emily C. Marks) is a nominal respondent.

No corporate entities are parties to this proceeding.

NOTICE OF PROSECUTION

Comes now Leroy T. Joyner, Jr. ("Joyner"), and hereby gives notice that he has met his obligation in attempting to prosecute his appeal. In support, he offers the following:

1. This circuit has established that a petitioner can sufficiently demonstrate reasonable diligence "only if he shows 1) that he has been pursuing his rights diligently, and 2) that some extraordinary circumstance stood in his way and prevented timely filing. *Holland v. Florida*, 560 U.S. 631, 649 (2010).
2. Joyner filed a timely notice of appeal on August 8, 2024 and immediately docketed a Motion for Transcript in the trial court. Docket Number 10; doc. 265. That was his second request to the district court which was premised on five months of defense counsel refusing his transcript requests. Docket Number 6; doc. 249.
3. Not having the requested transcripts over (11) months later, he filed a notice with the Eleventh Circuit. Docket Number 26. That notice was docketed on November 13, 2024 simultaneously with the issuance of a briefing deadline. Docket Number 25.
4. A Motion for Extension to file his brief was filed and granted based upon the trial court's (133) day delay in providing the transcripts. Docket Number 28.

Joyner filed a renewed motion in that court and that pleading was granted in part and denied in part. Docket Number 32; Attachment #5-6.

5. As a result, he filed a Motion to Compel, a Motion For an Extension and a Motion To Stay. Docket Number 32,35,38. The filing of these motions were all predicated on conversations held with supervisors of the Clerk of Court office (Ms. G., Mr. S. and F.B.).

6. At this juncture, Joyner is not only entitled to an appeal pursuant to Rule 4, but he is also entitled to equitable tolling due to 1) his sufficient prosecution of his appeal and 2) the existence of the non disclosure of the requested transcripts.

7. There does not exist a pathway for him to prosecute his appeal absent production of the requested transcripts. As proof of this fact, all issues on appeal are directly linked to his prior appeals and conduct at his January 22-23, 2024 trial. See Docket Number 32, Attachment #1-4.

8. Further, the trial testimony was based on supports and denials relating to the November 19, 2019 PFA hearing and the February 6, 2019 CAC interview. He must have those transcripts and all others before August 16, 2022 to sufficiently prosecute his appeal.

Wherefore Notice is Given, that Joyner is not only unable to prosecute his appeal by the February 7, 2025 deadline, but had sufficiently exhibited reasonable diligence and taken independent steps to be timely. See Jackson v. Sec'y, 787 Fed. Appx. 774, 777 (11th Cir. 2019).

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.

Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.

Pro Se, Counselor of Record

P.O. Box 605

Columbus, GA 31902

(334) 439-8200

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 32(a)

This brief complies with the type-volume limitation of Fed. R. Appx. P. 32(a)(7)(B) because this brief contains 844 words, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by Fed. R. Appx. P. 32(a)(7)(B)(iii), according to the Microsoft Word software that counsel employs.

This brief complies with the typeface requirements of Fed. R. Appx. P. 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of Fed R. Appx. P. 32(a)(6) because this brief has been prepared in a proportionally spaced Times New Roman typeface using 14-point Times New Roman type.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.

Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on February 07, 2025, the foregoing document was served on the parties to the proceedings below or their counsel of record, and on the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Alabama (Marks, E.), via e-mail at the following addresses:

Counsel for the United States

Russell.Duraski@usdoj.gov

U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Alabama

almdcourt@almd.uscourts.gov

/s/Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.
Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.

No. 24-12605 -JJ

United States Court of Appeals

for the

Eleventh Circuit



United States of America

Appellee,

v.

Leroy Thomas Joyner, Jr.,


Appellant.

**Appeal from the
United States District Court for the
Middle District of Alabama**

SECOND NOTICE OF PROSECUTION

Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.
Pro Se Counsel
P.O. Box 605
Columbus, GA 31902
(334) 439-8200

Pro Se Counsel for Appellant Mr. Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.



CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES
AND CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The undersigned pro se counsel certifies that the following listed persons have an interest in the outcome of this case. These representations are made so the judges of this Court may evaluate their possible disqualification or recusal.

The Appellee is the United States who is being represented by attorneys for the United States Attorney's Office for the Middle District of Alabama. Because this is an appeal from a final order the (Honourable Emily C. Marks) is a nominal respondent.

No corporate entities are parties to this proceeding.

SECONDNOTICE OF PROSECUTION

Comes now Leroy T. Joyner, Jr. ("Joyner"), and hereby gives notice for a second time that he has met his obligation in attempting to prosecute his appeal. In support, he offers the following:

1. This circuit has established that a petitioner can sufficiently demonstrate reasonable diligence "only if he shows 1) that he has been pursuing his rights diligently, and 2) that some extraordinary circumstance stood in his way and prevented timely filing. *Holland v. Florida*, 560 U.S. 631, 649 (2010).
2. Joyner filed a timely notice of appeal on August 8, 2024 and immediately docketed a Motion for Transcript in the trial court. Docket Number 10; doc. 265. That was his second request to the district court which was premised on five months of defense counsel refusing his transcript requests. Docket Number 6; doc. 249.
3. Not having the requested transcripts over (11) months later, he filed a notice with the Eleventh Circuit. Docket Number 26. That notice was docketed on November 13, 2024 simultaneously with the issuance of a briefing deadline. Docket Number 25.
4. A Motion for Extension to file his brief was filed and granted based upon the trial court's (133) day delay in providing the transcripts. Docket Number 28.

Joyner filed a renewed motion in that court and that pleading was granted in part and denied in part. Docket Number 32; Attachment #5-6.

5. As a result, he filed a Motion to Compel, a Motion For an Extension and a Motion To Stay. Docket Number 32,35,38. The filing of these motions were all predicated on conversations held with supervisors of the Clerk of Court office (Ms. G., Mr. S. and F.B.). Those motions were denied and a briefing deadline was set of April 9, 2025. (See Docket Number 43-44).

6. At this juncture, Joyner is not only entitled to an appeal pursuant to Rule 4, but he is also entitled to equitable tolling due to 1) his sufficient prosecution of his appeal and 2) the existence of the non disclosure of the requested transcripts.

7. There does not exist a pathway for him to prosecute his appeal absent production of the requested transcripts. As proof of this fact, all issues on appeal are directly linked to his prior appeals and conduct at his January 22-23, 2024 trial. See Docket Number 32, Attachment #1-4.

8. Further, the trial testimony was based on supports and denials relating to the November 19, 2019 PFA hearing and the February 6, 2019 CAC interview. He must have those transcripts and all others before August 16, 2022 to sufficiently prosecute his appeal.

Wherefore Notice is Given for a second time, that Joyner is not only unable to prosecute his appeal by the April 9, 2025 deadline, but had sufficiently exhibited

reasonable diligence and taken independent steps to be timely. See Jackson v. Sec'y, 787 Fed. Appx. 774, 777 (11th Cir. 2019).

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.

Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.

Pro Se, Counselor of Record

P.O. Box 605

Columbus, GA 31902

(334) 439-8200

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 32(a)

This brief complies with the type-volume limitation of Fed. R. Appx. P. 32(a)(7)(B) because this brief contains 871 words, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by Fed. R. Appx. P. 32(a)(7)(B)(iii), according to the Microsoft Word software that counsel employs.

This brief complies with the typeface requirements of Fed. R. Appx. P. 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of Fed R. Appx. P. 32(a)(6) because this brief has been prepared in a proportionally spaced Times New Roman typeface using 14-point Times New Roman type.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.

Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on March 21, 2025, the foregoing document was served on the parties to the proceedings below or their counsel of record, and on the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Alabama (Marks, E.), via e-mail at the following addresses:

Counsel for the United States

Russell.Duraski@usdoj.gov

U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Alabama

almdcourt@almd.uscourts.gov

/s/Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.
Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.

)
)
)
)
)

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
)
v.) CRIM. ACT. NO. 1:22-cr-242-ECM
)
LEROY T. JOYNER, JR.)

ORDER

Now pending before the Court is Defendant Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.'s *pro se* motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal (doc. 263) filed on August 7, 2024. The Defendant previously demonstrated by affidavit (*see* doc. 5) that he is financially unable to pay fees and costs. Accordingly, upon consideration of the motion, and for good cause, it is

ORDERED that the motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (doc. 263) is GRANTED.
See FED. R. APP. P. 24(a)(3).

Done this 15th day of August, 2024.

/s/ Emily C. Marks
EMILY C. MARKS
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

No. _____

**In The
Supreme Court of the United States**

LEROY THOMAS JOYNER, JR.

Petitioner,

v.


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

**On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the
United States Courts of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit**

NOTICE OF ADDRESS CHANGE

Leroy T. Joyner, Jr. #18079-002
Federal Correctional Institution
2680 301 South
Jesup, GA 31599
(407) 744-3534
Email: ltjj1972@gmail.com
Pro Se Litigant



Notice of Address Change

Petitioner, Leroy T. Joyner, (Mr. Joyner) respectfully notifies this court of a change in address:

1. Notice is hereby given that all further correspondence regarding the above styled matter should be directed to the following address:

P.O. Box 5963
Columbus, GA 39106

2. This court appears to have knowledge that the defendant is currently incarcerated in FCI Jesup.

3. This presents challenges as it relates to his timely response to pleadings. With correspondences going to the above address, Joyner would be notified.

4. To continue mailing correspondences to the current address would cause time constraints to become normal.

Wherefore Notice Given, it would benefit all parties, including this court to change the address to the indicated mailing destination.

Date: November 11, 2024

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

/s/Leroy T. Joyner

No. _____

**In The
Supreme Court of the United States**

LEROY THOMAS JOYNER, JR.

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

**On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the
Unites States Courts of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit**

NOTICE OF ADDRESS CHANGE

I HEREBY CERTIFY, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 29.5(b) and this Court's Order (April 15, 2020), that on this 21st day of April 2025:

I, Leroy T. Joyner, Jr. do hereby certify that I have filed a **Notice of Address Change** with the Court and as a result the CM/ECF system will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Leroy T. Joyner, Jr.

Leroy T. Joyner, Jr. #18079-002
Federal Correctional Institution
2680 301 South
Jesup, GA 31599
(407) 744-3534
Email: ltjj1972@gmail.com
Pro Se Litigant