

June 11, 2025

Hon. Scott S. Harris, Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543

RE: Request for extension of time to file response to petition in Mendocino
Railway v. Ainsworth, No. 24-986

Dear Mr. Harris:

We represent the City of Fort Bragg in the above-referenced matter. Another Respondent, Jack Ainsworth, obtained an extension of time from this Court to respond to the petition for a writ of certiorari, to July 16, 2025. Since the City and Mr. Ainsworth, named in his capacity as the Executive Director of the Coastal Commission, are aligned and anticipate coordinating their response(s) to the petition, an extension is necessary for the City as well. Coordinated response(s) from both respondents would streamline the response(s) and aid the Court more efficiently. Therefore, pursuant to Rule 30.4, the City of Fort Bragg respectfully requests that the same 30-day extension be granted to it to extend the time for filing its response to the petition, as was approved by the Court for Respondent Ainsworth.

Very truly yours,



Krista MacNevin Jee

cc: Paul J. Beard II, Counsel of Record for Petitioners
Rob Bonta, California Attorney General

