

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Office of the Attorney General



ATTORNEY GENERAL
BRIAN L. SCHWALB

Office of the Solicitor General

March 17, 2025

The Honorable Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
United States Supreme Court
One First Street, NE
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: *American Airlines Grp. Inc. v. United States et al.*, No. 24-938

Dear Mr. Harris:

The Court docketed the petition in the above-referenced case on February 27, 2025. Briefs in opposition are currently due on April 2, 2025.

I respectfully request, under Rule 30.4 of the rules of this Court, an extension of time to and including May 2, 2025, for the State respondents (the State of Arizona, the State of California, the District of Columbia, the State of Florida, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and the Commonwealth of Virginia) to file their response.

An extension is necessary to ensure adequate time for consultation and collaboration between the State respondents, who intend to file a joint response to the petition. An extension is also necessary because District of Columbia Assistant Attorneys General Bryan J. Leitch and Sarah Carroll, who are assigned to draft the brief, are heavily engaged in the press of other matters. In addition to drafting the brief in opposition in this case, Mr. Leitch recently presented oral argument in *Hall v. D.C. Board of Elections*, Nos. 24-7050 & 24-7065 (D.C. Cir.) (March 14), and he is responsible for drafting the District of Columbia's brief in *Angelo v. District of Columbia*, No. 24-7127 (D.C. Cir.) (due April 28). Ms. Carroll is responsible for drafting the District of Columbia's briefs in *Jones v. D.C. Public Employee Relations Board*, No. 24-CV-264 (D.C.) (due April 1), and *D.C. Housing Authority v. District of Columbia*, No. 24-TX-651 (D.C.) (due April 24), and is responsible for numerous time-sensitive internal matters. After Mr. Leitch and Ms. Carroll complete their draft, I and other supervisors in the Office of the Attorney General, along with other State respondents, will need to review and edit the brief. The requested extension is therefore necessary.

This is the State respondents' first request for an extension of time. It is made in good faith and solely to prepare the response. Petitioner sought a 21-day extension of time within which to file

the certiorari petition, and the requested extension will not result in undue delay, as the Court could still grant certiorari (should it decide to do so) in time to hear and decide the case next Term. Counsel for petitioner and counsel for respondent the United States do not oppose this request. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Caroline S. Van Zile
Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Cc: Gregory Garre
Counsel of Record for Petitioner (by first class mail)

Sarah M. Harris
Counsel of Record for Respondent United States (by first class mail)