

No. 24-872

In the Supreme Court of the United States

COMMISSIONER,
ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,
Petitioner,

v.

JOSEPH CLIFTON SMITH,
Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the
United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

MOTION TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*

CHRISTINE A. FREEMAN
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
KACEY L. KEETON
Counsel of Record
FEDERAL DEFENDERS FOR THE
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
817 S. COURT STREET
MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA 36104
(334) 834-2099
Kacey_Keeton@fd.org

April 16, 2025

Petitioner, Joseph Clifton Smith, an indigent individual incarcerated on Alabama's death row, respectfully requests that this Court grant him leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, without prepayment of costs or fees.

In support of this motion, Mr. Smith states:

1. Mr. Smith is in custody at Holman Correctional Facility.
2. Mr. Smith was granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and undersigned counsel's office was appointed to represent him pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3599.
3. Mr. Smith was granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal to the Eleventh Circuit. A copy of the order is attached to this motion.
4. Further, in a prior appeal in this same matter, Mr. Smith was granted *in forma pauperis* status by this Court
5. Mr. Smith's financial circumstances have not materially changed since those prior orders.

For the reasons set forth above, Mr. Smith respectfully requests that this Court grant him *in forma pauperis* status.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kacey L. Keeton

Kacey L. Keeton

ASSISTANT FEDERAL DEFENDER

FEDERAL DEFENDERS FOR THE

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

817 South Court Street

Montgomery, Alabama 36104

(334) 834-2099

Counsel of Record

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 14-10721-P

JOSEPH CLIFTON SMITH,

Petitioner - Appellant,

versus

DONAL CAMPBELL,
COMMISSIONER KIM TOBIAS THOMAS,

Respondents - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Alabama

Before: TJOFLAT, HULL and WILSON, Circuit Judges.

BY THE COURT:

The Court grants Smith's application for a certificate of appealability as to these issues:

1. Whether the Alabama state courts' procedural ruling—that in his Rule 32 post-conviction pleadings as to his mental retardation claim, Smith failed to comply with the specificity pleading requirements in Rule 32.6(b) of the Alabama Rules of Criminal Procedure—was contrary to or an unreasonable application of Atkins v. Virginia, 536 U.S. 304 (2002)?
2. Whether the Alabama state courts' merits determination—that Smith did not show significant deficits in adaptive behavior

manifested before age 18—is an unreasonable determination of the facts or an unreasonable application of Atkins?

3. Whether the Alabama state courts' merits determination—that Smith did not show subaverage intellectual functioning—is an unreasonable determination of the facts or an unreasonable application of Atkins?

Smith's application as to other issues is denied. The Court grants Smith's motion to proceed in forma pauperis.