

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME
COURT

Access to Courts - Indigent Paper

24-7525

BEFORE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT

LACEN SAVAK,
PETITIONER,
VS.


STATE OF IDAHO,
RESPONDENT.

ON ~~U.S.~~ SUPREME COURT RULE 44
PETITION FOR REHEARING
FROM THE 06 OCTOBER 2025
U.S. SUPREME COURT RULING

LACEN SAVAK,
PRO SE,
PETITIONER
PRISONER 18114
UNIT 9 / BSCB
P.O. BOX 14
BOISE, IDAHO 83701

NO PHONE

13 MARCH 26



THE RULING OF THE U.S.
SUPREME COURT ~~THAT~~
ISSUED 06 OCTOBER 2025
IS WRONG BECAUSE:

(LACEY HAD RECEIVED NOTICE
FROM THE COURT THAT THE
RULINGS WOULD ISSUE
06 OCTOBER 2025. - AN ATTORNEY
CHECKED, AND, FOUND IT WAS
DENIED. - LACEY WROTE A
"SUPREME COURT RULE 44
PETITION FOR REHEARING"
AND SENT IT 07 OCTOBER 2025.

WHEN NO RESPONSE CAME
BY 03 FEBRUARY 2026, LACEY
WROTE A "INQUIRY ON STATUS
OF TIMELY FILED RULE 44
PETITION FOR REHEARING (COPY
ATTACHED)" AND ATTACHED
THE "SUPREME COURT RULE 44
PETITION FOR REHEARING"
AND SENT IT TO THE COURT.

LACEY RECEIVED IT AND
A COVER LETTER TODAY.
ALL IS "ATTACHED".

THE DATA IN THE ATTACHED
IS SEEKING REHEARING ON
24-7525. - THE FACTS ARE

THAT IN 1981, LACEY WAS
CHARGED IN APRIL 1981 WITH
A MURDER OF DIXIE WILSON,
AT A GAS STATION. - [BECAUSE
OF LACEY'S TRAINING, AS A
CHIEF, WITH THE MILITARY,
LACEY DID NOT DISCLOSE
THE OPERATIONS, WHICH
MIGHT HAVE HAD A BAD
EFFECT ON SECURITY FOR
OUR MILITARY FORCES OUT-
SIDE AMERICA, AND THEIR
FAMILY.]

SEVERAL WEEKS BEFORE
TRIAL, LACEY HAD THE
PUBLIC DEFENDER FOUND
INCOMPETENT. -

AT JURY SELECTION, THE
SAME ATTORNEYS WERE
LEFT ON BY THE TRIAL
JUDGE. A JURY WAS SELECTED,
THE STATE ADDED A
"FELONY MURDER" AND
THE TRIAL STARTED. -

THE ONLY CRIMES LACEY
IS IMPRISONED FOR
IS THE FELONY MURDER
THAT LACEY HAS NEVER

BEEN ARRANGED AND
NEVER HAD A PRELIMINARY
HEARING.

Access to Courts - Indigent Paper

CALL THREE, ARRANGEMENT,

PRELIMINARY HEARING, AND
COMPETENT COUNSEL, ARE ALL
REQUIRED BY THE U.S.
CONSTITUTION AND LAWS.

THE TEXT OF THE "RULE
UP SET DOWN FOR REHEAR-
ING", WHICH OBSTINATELY
STATE THE GROUNDS, AND,
ARE PRESENTED IN GOOD
FAITH AND NOT DELAY, ARG!
(THIS IS TRUE TO THE
BEST OF LACON'S KNOWLEDGE
AND BELIEF):

"I,

THE U.S. SUPREME COURT RULING
IN MARRANDAU ARIZONA 84 U.S.
436 WHEN LAW ENFORCEMENT
CONTINUED TO INTERROGATE
PRETZER AFTER HE STATED
HE ONLY WANTED TO TALK
TO AN ATTORNEY AND A
MINISTER.

THE POLICE ADMITTED AT TRIAL THAT THEY STATED SUCH AND THEN ALLEGED LACEY MADE STATEMENTS AFTER, AND, ALLEGED THEY DID NOT MAKE A RECONCILIATION, WHILE THEY DID ON THE CO-DEFENDANT IN THE NEXT ROOM.

II

THE JURY WAS SELECTED, AND AFTER THAT A SECOND "FELONY MURDER" WAS ADDED AND THE TRIAL STARTED. - LACEY WAS NEVER ARRAIGNED AND NEVER HAD A PRELIMINARY HEARING, IN VIOLATION OF LAW (AMENDMENTS 5, 6, 14), VIOLATES CULBERTSON ALABAMA, 399 U.S. 1

III

THE "FELONY MURDER" WAS ADDED AFTER A JURY WAS SELECTED, AND THEN THE TRIAL STARTED. - THE JURY WAS TOLD THEY COULD CONVICT LACEY OF TWO MURDERS ON ONE BODY. - THE "FELONY MURDER" IS A "LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSE" AND WHEN THE "PREMEDITATED MURDER" AND ITS LETTER INCLUDED

OFFENSES WERE FOUND
 ACQUITTED, THE SECOND "FELONY"
 MURDER IS DOUBLE JEOPARDY,

IV

LACEY WAS FORCED INTO UN-
 HEALTHY CONDITIONS PRETRIAL
 THAT RESULTED IN THE INTRO-
 DUCED OF STAPHYLOCOCCUS
 INTO LACEY'S BLOOD, AND DENIAL OF
 MEDICAL CARE IS TORTURE AND
 ABUSE.

V

ATTACHMENT PP OF INITIAL
 WRIT / PETITION VIOLATES
 WITHROW V. LARKIN AND MAKES
 TRIAL ILLEGAL (CAPITAL CASE
 TRIAL)

VI

TRIAL COUNSEL FOUND INCOM-
 PETENT THREE WEEKS BEFORE
 TRIAL AND LEFT ON. - TRIAL AND
 ALL PROCEEDINGS ILLEGAL.

VII

TRIAL JUDGE ADMITTED HE
 TRAINED FEDERAL JUDGE WHO
 HEARD FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS,
 USING LACEY'S TRIAL. - APPEAL
 FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS ILLEGAL

VIII

NEW CORRECTED/AMENDED
JUDGMENT IS VIEW APPEAL-
MAYWOOD V. PATTERSON 561 US
320 AND SEVAK V. DUE

IX

LACEY WAS DENIED THE
JURY SENTENCING (4141
358), DENYING SENTENCING
PROTECT BUNS.

X

U.S. CODE REQUIRES A CAPITAL
CASE / FEDERAL HABEAS CORPUS
BE DONE IN 450 DAYS. FEDERAL
HABEAS JUDGE HAS DELAYED
SINCE 1996.

XI

WINNIE, FEDERAL HABEAS
JUDGE, FOUND WRONG IN
SEVAK V. HARDISON 08-99006
SEVAK V. CHRISTENSEN 19-35713

XII

IDAHOW LAW 18-4004 REQUIRES
"THE COURT SHALL IMPOSE A
LIFE SENTENCE WITH A MINIMUM
PERIOD OF CONFINEMENT OF
NOT LESS THAN TEN YEARS".

8
LACEY HAS BEEN HELD OVER
46 YEARS ON A 30 YEAR
SENTENCE, Access to Courts - Indigent Paper

XIII

THE IDAHO SUPREME COURT
REFUSED LACEY AN APPEAL
BECAUSE HE LACKS MONEY/
CANNOT PAY - THAT VIOLATES
GRIFFIN V. ILLINOIS 357 U.S.
12.

XIV

THE APPENDIX IN THE
PETITION PROVES IN 2024
THE PRISON PARALEGAL WITH
HOLDING OVER 150 DOCUMENTS
THE COURT SENT - VIOLATES
ARTHUR ANDERSEN LP V. UNITED
STATES 544 U.S. 696

XV

THESE ARE THE ISSUES
ADDRESSED IN THE "PETITION
FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS"
ON 24-7525 (SIVAK V. STATE)
AND ARE OF NATIONAL
SIGNIFICANCE, BECAUSE IF
THE GOVERNMENT IS NO LONGER
REQUIRED TO GIVE CONSTITUTIONAL
AND STATUTORY

PROTECTIONS, IT WILL BE
A DICTATORSHIP LIKE NAZI
GERMANY OR OTHER SUCH
REGIMES.

ALL FUTURE CASES WILL BE
ADVERSELY AFFECTED.

~~LA~~ ~~CONSIST~~ THIS DOCUMENT
TO:

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ONE FIRST ST. N.E.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20543

DATED THIS 07TH DAY OF OCTOBER
2025,




LA CONSENT VAK 18114
UNIT 09 (JSCB
N. OB 0X14
BOBSE, J DAH 083707

LACEN STATES THAT
DATA WAS BEING TRUNG AND
CORRECT TO THE BEST OF

LACEN'S KNOWLEDGE AND
BELIEF.

LACEN SENT THIS Docu-
ment BY PRISON MAIL TO
US SUPREME COURT
ONE FIRST ST., N.E.
WASHINGTON, DC, 20543

DATE: THE 14TH DAY OF
MARCH 2026,



LACEN SVAK 18114
UNIT 9/ISSC
P. BOX 14
BOISE, IDAHO 83707

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**