

No. \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

— PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI


*Rehearing - Case no. 24-7386*

Monique C. Wallace  
(Your Name)

(Address)

(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)



My case against Aramark at Capital One Arena is worthy of being heard and reheard on any and every occasion and end. I am a woman who was sexually assaulted with no belief or justice served prior to filing suit. The workplace trauma from racism, bullying, and certain prejudices that I experienced are still disturbing and mind-blowing! All should have been taken seriously to the point of arrest, lie detector tests, and charges being given to the ones accused. Because none of the processes took place, I am forced to continuously heal from the events while battling the post emotional impacts that they have and have had over my life for years! Any normal individual or any organization would gasp, "The audacity of any man or woman who feels unapologetically entitled to sexually assault someone and is unapologetic with the bravery to have an offensive attitude after being reported for doing so"! Had I retaliated, I would have had consequences and repercussions to suffer! Moreover, I may have been arrested and fined. That seems to be the culture in the United States and its capital.

Aside from the sexual assault, who has the authority to force an employee or employees to remain working after their scheduled shift(s) have ended? A bully for a manager or supervisor? Am I correct? Who has the authority to yell out statements of racism? An evil, uneducated, limited brained, and mentally deranged person or organization! Am I correct? What coworker has the authority to scream at, demean, belittle, and degrade another employee over the belief(s) that they own a segment or a station in the workplace? Those who feel permitted or who was granted certain superiority which makes them repulsively bold enough to perform in such ways! Who has the authority to tell an employee that they can not eat the food (permitted by the company and management)? Again, a bully and someone or group that seeks to control due to their make-believe superiority!

In Washington, DC, there is zero profession, customer service and no true service towards others! Individuals within each level of capitalism are hired with obviously no training. The majority are employed people possessed with addiction and mental health illnesses! The same goes for the people in human resources, managers, supervisors, recruiters, and the hiring staff! Regarding, "The petition shall be presented together with certification of counsel (or of a party unrepresented by counsel) that it is restricted to the grounds specified in this paragraph and that it is presented in good faith and not for delay", I am a woman who is pro se with the knowing that humanity is very slim, yet possible! All of these inquiries and more are what I would love for the Supreme Court of the United States to take seriously and make them count for others like myself who were brought up in and taught authentic professionalism, customer service and to be of service for and towards others!

To my knowledge, the court has legislative and judicial actions that they can and will take. Which action the United States Supreme Court took which resulted in my writ of certiorari being denied are my further questionings. With, "But it's grounds shall be limited to intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented, I ask, "Will the Supreme Court choose to hear my case that bring up the same legal issue but with new information? Will The Supreme Court overturn its precedent due to societal norms being changed. The society of Washington, DC is changing. The proof that I have is from the presence of the United States National Guards in Washington DC and their community services, here! Again, had I retaliated, I would have had consequences and repercussions to suffer! Moreover, I may have been arrested and fined. That seems to be the culture in the United

States and its capital. Work place bullying, harassments of all kinds, and racism, is only taken serious once someone or people are harmed or die. I never wanted to be the people who behaved in such ways that would hurt my peers, co-workers, my staff, management, or supervisors! Because so many in them are now familiar with the fact that many kind spirited people like myself are usually targeted and tortured, it would be unjust, unethical, and inhumane for my case to be further denied and dismissed.

I am one who talk to and walk beside them on the streets of Washington, DC. The photos and videos are available upon request. Since they arrived in Washington, DC, I have always aimed to know them regardless of all the hate thrown at them on a daily basis (by the same people who have conducted such atrocities that I stated above, earlier)! Many of those that are throwing shame and hate at the United States National Guards and the president of the United States are those that have chosen to be on the streets and people who hold professional titles (who sexually assault, sexually harass, assault, and harass other people). They are the same type of people. The only difference is some have jobs and some do not have jobs! I have undergone the activities of such. I refuse to be dishonest. Wasn't "safety" the entire point of appointing the United States National Guards being stationed throughout the capital of the United States? If so, why and how would those at Aramark at Capital One Arena not be held accountable which defines my case being accepted?

Actions from the individuals of Aramark at Capital Arena have completely amazed me to the point where I feel as if they (and others like them in Washington DC) will continue to get away with what they have done to me (and others)! The denial of my writ of certiorari makes me wonder if the court's decision was based on interpretation of a federal statute and if congress can pass a new or revised law to change the outcome! If it was a constitutional interpretation, was there a two-thirds vote or three-fourths ramification of the state? It was taught to me that the District of Columbia is not a state. Stated as such, I am unaware of the correct route to come at certain angles of the laws here in Washington, DC. I must express that if the sole purpose for the National Guards arrival was to improve the safety of the residence and citizens of Washington, DC (on and off of the streets), it could and should be more ethical and moral reasonings for my case to be reheard! This would not be a contradiction of the purpose.

If I may be honest with the Supreme Court of the United States, I often wonder why it has taken so long for the United Stated National Guards to be stationed here in the nation's capital. During my six years and some change of residing in the nation's capital, I have felt the most safest with the guards here, present on the streets, and inside of certain establishments. Before their arrival, I was sexually assaulted a year or so ago as I was walking down the street during the daytime. There were no follow-ups from the police reports or the detectives, either! I have never felt safer due to the arrival and service of the United States National Guards. Within the last month and a half, I have overheard others speak about how much safer they on their jobs as well. Prior to, there was no assistance in DC given to women after being bullied, sexually harassed, sexually assaulted, or assaulted on the job. I can totally relate because I was a woman with justice never being served to me after those experiences! I am overly excited about the protection now available to destress because I never knew that it would be possible in the District of Columbia!

The newest information that I have to give is the fact that Washington, DC is transitioning (by force and it is sad to have to be this way) for the greatest good for those that will appreciate the amazing efforts of the federal government and others responsible for such wonderful change (which was needed 20 years ago to tell the truth)!

It is rumored that the President of the United States, Donald Trump stated that there is an automatic seven-year prison sentence and a felony for any man who touches a woman. Whether the rumor is true or not has me wondering if this can be new information and a new law usable in the United States Supreme Court. Once more, being familiar with the fact that many kind-spirited people like myself are usually targeted and tortured, it would be unjust, unethical, and inhumane for my case to be further denied and dismissed. Part of the reason why I am not well is due to no justice being served for my pain and agony! I welcome the best decision to be presented and granted to me as I continue to heal from an overcome such devastating experiences from Aramark at Capital One Arena!