

No. 24-7331

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

In re JEREMIAH S. FARMER - PETITIONER

VS.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA - RESPONDENT

ON PETITION FOR A REHEARING OF
AN ORDER DENYING A PETITION
FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Jeremiah S. Farmer #16931-027
U.S. Penitentiary ADMAX, P.O. Box 8500
Florence, CO. 81226

"Pro se"

RECEIVED

OCT 28 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION PRESENTED

Whether a federal court's failure to implement Article III's case-or-controversy requirement of causation undermines the public's confidence in the judicial process?

Whether did the Government prove that any specific overt acts was in violation of interstate-commerce?

Whether did the Government's evidence or charged overt acts against former violated the interstate commerce?

LIST OF PARTIES

A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose order is the subject of this petition is as follows :

(1) UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

(2) UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF INDIANA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW... 1

JURISDICTION..... 2

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY
PROVISIONS INVOLVED..... IN

STATEMENT OF THE CASE..... V

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION
FOR REHEARING..... 3-5

CONCLUSION..... 5

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Decision of the United
States Court of Appeals

APPENDIX B Order of the United
States District Court

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

CONSTITUTION PROVISIONS: ARTICLE III ... 3-5

STATUTES INVOLVED: 18 U.S.C. § 3231 ... 4

RICO offense ... 3-5

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This case involves a question of exceptional importance, and its resolution is necessary to reinforce confidence in the judicial process in regards to a controversy being ongoing when Article III causation has not been established.

The Government's evidence and or overt acts did not violate interstate commerce.

Furthermore the Government relied on the certificate of appealability No. 22-2002 a civil case to deny the Article III motion No. ~~21~~-2299. a criminal Case. (v)

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR REHEARING

Petitioner respectfully prays that the
Petition for Rehearing is granted.

OPINIONS BELOW

The opinion of the United States
Court of Appeals appears at
United States of America v. Farmer,
No. 24-2299, U.S. Court of Appeals
for the Seventh Circuit. Order
entered August 8, 2024.

The opinion of the United States District
Court appears at United States of America
v. Farmer, No. 2:15-cr-00072-PPS. Order
entered July 8, 2024.

The order and opinion of the
U.S. Court of Appeals denied the
Article III motion No. 24-2299 on
September 18, 2024.

November 5, 2024 Court of Appeals denied
re-hearing for Article III motion
No. 24-2299.

JURISDICTION

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION FOR REHEARING

GROUND: The district court's failure to require the government to establish Article III causation undermines the public's confidence in the judicial process.

Supporting Facts: In this case, the U.S. District Court allowed the government to prove a RICO offense, without requiring the government to establish that the enterprise was engaged in interstate commerce or that its activities affected interstate commerce. As a result, the government was relieved of Article III's case-or-controversy causation requirement to connect the alleged injury in fact to a jurisdictional element that would establish legislative authority.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION FOR REHEARING
Without a finding of the interstate commerce element of a RICO offense, the Petitioner was not convicted of an "offense against the laws of the United States" within the plain-text meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3231, which means that the U.S. District Court did not have statutory authority under 18 U.S.C. § 3231, and it is the duty of this court to examine the statutory authority of the lower courts, when the statutory authority is in question. The interstate commerce element of a RICO offense ensures that the conduct regulated is within the federal government's limited power to proscribe, and therefore, the interstate commerce element of a RICO offense is the jurisdictional basis of the offense, and when that jurisdictional basis is not established, then the controversy is ongoing.

CONCLUSION

I, Jeremiah S. Farmer, hereby certify that this Petition For Rehearing is restricted to a substantial ground not previously presented, and that it is presented in good faith and not for delay.

Signature: Jeremiah Farmer

I, Jeremiah S. Farmer, declare under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 1746, that this Petition For Rehearing was deposited in the institutional mail on the 10th day of October, 2025, and that first class postage has been prepaid.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this Petition For A Rehearing Of An Order Denying A Petition For A Writ of Certiorari was sent to opposing counsel on the 10th day of October, 2025, via prepaid first class U.S. postage mail.

Signature: Jeremiah Farmer