

NO. 24-727

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

CENTERVILLE CLINICS INC.,  
*Petitioner,*

v.

JANE DOE,  
*Respondent,*

and

UNITED STATES,  
*Respondent.*

---

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the  
Third Circuit

---

**MOTION TO DEFER CONSIDERATION OF  
PETITION FOR REHEARING OF ORDER DENYING CERTIORARI**

Pursuant to Rule 21 of the Rules of this Court, Petitioner Centerville Clinics, Inc. (Centerville) respectfully requests that the Court defer consideration of its petition for rehearing of the Court's March 24, 2025 Order denying certiorari.


1. The Third Circuit's decision below was expressly rejected by, and conflicts with, the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Blumberger v. Tilley*, 115 F.4th 1113 (9th Cir. 2024).
2. On April 9, 2025, citing the "direct conflict" with the decision below, the plaintiff in *Blumberger* petitioned for certiorari. Pet. for Cert. 2, *Blumberger v. Tilley*, No. 24-1072. Any petition for certiorari by the Solicitor General in *Blumberger* is due

today, April 18, 2025. See No. 24A865 (granting Solicitor General's application for 30-day extension) (Kagan, J.).

3. Also today, Centerville timely petitioned for rehearing.

4. Deferring consideration of Centerville's rehearing petition, as requested, would allow the Court to grant or deny the petition based on the Court's resolution of proceedings in *Blumberger v. Tilley*, No. 24-1072 (April 9, 2025).

Respectfully submitted,



MATTHEW SIDNEY FREEDUS

*Counsel of Record*

ROSIE DAWN GRIFFIN

FELDESMAN LEIFER LLP

1129 20th Street NW, Suite 400

Washington, DC 20036

202.466.8960

[mfreedus@feldesman.com](mailto:mfreedus@feldesman.com)

[rgriffin@feldesman.com](mailto:rgriffin@feldesman.com)

*Counsel for Petitioner*  
*Centerville Clinics Inc.*

APRIL 2025